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La decisión del Consejo

Following the conclusion of Ethiopia’s Validation, the EITI Board decided that Ethiopia has made meaningful progress overall in implementing the EITI Standard. In accordance with requirement 8.3c, Ethiopia will be requested to undertake corrective actions before the second Validation on 27 August 2020.

The Board congratulated the Government of Ethiopia and Multi-Stakeholder Group (MSG) on the progress made in improving transparency and accountability in the extractive industries. Ethiopia’s EITI implementation has targeted issues of national importance, such as artisanal and small-scale mining, social expenditures and mining licensing by different tiers of government. However, the focus on reconciliation, licensing and social expenditures has not been matched by an equivalent attention to detail in areas such as state participation or subnational transfers, despite public interest in such issues.

The strong country ownership on the part of the government has not been matched by an equivalent engagement from industry or civil society. The Board noted that, while trust has gradually been built amongst stakeholders directly involved in EITI implementation, divisions have emerged within civil society between those directly involved in EITI implementation and those outside.

Constraints on civil society operation in Ethiopia have weakened civil society engagement in EITI implementation. The Board took note of the Validator’s findings regarding requirement 1.3 on civil society engagement and adherence to the civil society protocol. The Board agreed that in the period between March 2014 - April 2018 there were significant legal and administrative constraints that impacted the ability of civil society organisations to operate and express themselves freely. The Board agreed to consider developments subsequent to the commencement of Validation, noting the efforts by the country’s new leadership to improve the space for civil society. The Board welcomed the government efforts to repeal or amend laws that restricted civil society’s freedom of expression, operation and association.

The Board commended ongoing reforms to shift the mandate of government agencies from control and monitoring to supporting and enabling civil society to contribute in public debate. The Board called on the government and stakeholders to continue working together to improve civil society engagement in extractive sector governance. The Board has determined that Ethiopia will have 18 months, i.e. until 27 August 2020 before a second Validation to carry out corrective actions regarding the requirements relating to civil society engagement (1.3), MSG governance (1.4), license allocations (2.2), license register (2.3), policy on contract transparency (2.4), state-participation (2.6), export data (3.3), comprehensiveness (4.1), SOE transactions (4.5), direct subnational payments (4.6), data quality (4.9), subnational transfers (5.2), social expenditures (6.1), SOE quasi-fiscal expenditures (6.2), and documentation of outcomes and impact (#7.4), with the environment for and engagement of civil society and state participation being the main areas of concern. Failure to achieved meaningful progress with considerable improvements across several individual requirements in the second Validation will result in suspension in accordance with the EITI Standard. In accordance with the EITI Standard, Ethiopia’s MSG may request an extension of this timeframe, or request that Validation commences earlier than scheduled.

The Board’s decision followed a Validation that commenced on 1 April 2018. In accordance with the 2016 EITI Standard, an initial assessment was undertaken by the International Secretariat. The findings were reviewed by an Independent Validator, who submitted a draft Validation report to the
MSG for comment. The MSG’s comments on the report were taken into consideration by the independent Validator in finalising the Validation report and the independent Validator responded to the MSG’s comments. In addition, the Board considered an update from the International Secretariat on the engagement of civil society following the commencement of Validation. The final decision was taken by the EITI Board.

Contexto

The Government of Ethiopia first committed to implement the EITI in February 2009. An interim multi-stakeholder group (MSG), the EEITI National Steering Committee (ENSC), was formed in July 2009. After deferrals of the EITI Board’s decision on Ethiopia’s 2009 EITI Candidature application several times, the government restructured the ENSC to the Multi-Stakeholder Board (MSB) and re-applied in October 2013. The country was accepted as an EITI Candidate in March 2014 at the EITI Board’s meeting in Oslo.

The Validation process commenced on 1 April 2018. In accordance with the Validation procedures, an initial assessment was prepared by the International Secretariat [English]. The Independent Validator reviewed the findings and wrote a draft Validation report [English] [French]. Comments from the MSG [English] and from civil society MSG members [English] were received on 19 November 2018. The Independent Validator reviewed the comments and responded to the MSG [English], before finalising the Validation report [English] [French].

The Validation Committee reviewed the case on 10 October 2018, 30 October 2018 and 23 January 2019.

[In addition, on 27 February, the Validation Committee considered whether the Board should exercise its discretion to consider developments related to the environment for civil society engagement since the commencement of Validation in the April 2018-February 2019 period, as requested by the MSG. The Committee considered that the proposal to consider new developments complied with the four criteria for considering developments after the commencement of Validation, namely that it had the MSG’s support, that it was specific and verifiable, it had material significance and it was timely.

The Committee reviewed the following updates from the International Secretariat on developments related to civil society engagement subsequent to the commencement of Validation, in the April 2018-February 2019 period.

Expression: The initial assessment and Validation report found that, while there was evidence that civil society representatives on the MSG freely express their opinions at MSG meetings, there were legal and practical constraints on public advocacy, including on extractives issues, by foreign-funded CSOs. Several recent developments point towards a gradual easing of these constraints, including:

- Curbs on media: While the government has continued to block Internet access in specific instances of violent protests and unrest for a matter of weeks (in August 2018 in the eastern Somali region[1] and in September 2018 amid clashes in Addis Ababa[2]), the level of Internet censorship appears to have generally declined since April 2018. In June 2018, the government allowed access to 264 previously-blocked websites, including diaspora-based media such as
the Oromia Media Network and Ethiopian Satellite Television and Radio.[3] The government has also released all incarcerated journalists in 2018, for the first time in 13 years according to the Committee to Protect Journalists’ 2018 annual prison census.[4] Freedom House’s highlighted "[p]ositive developments (...) in growing access to the Internet and censored content, decreasing online self-censorship, and the release of imprisoned bloggers. (...) Under [Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed’s] short tenure, the internet has become more accessible, as networks were less disrupted and content became less censored. (...) While Ethiopian citizens have become optimistic about the direction their country is heading, repressive laws that have enabled authoritarianism remain on the books, including laws designed to constrain freedom of expression and enable unchecked surveillance.”[5] The Attorney General’s Legal Reform Advisory Council established in June 2018 has been developing revisions to the Freedom of the Mass Media and Access to Information Proclamation, expected to be submitted to Parliament in 2019.[6]

- Civil society representatives consulted highlighted the easing of restrictions on freedom of the media and of expression. They emphasised that the removal of the bans on previously-restricted newspapers, radio and television stations had brought about a significant change in the media landscape since April 2018. There was consensus among civil society, government and industry stakeholders consulted that restrictions on freedom of the media and of expression had effectively been lifted since April 2018. Nonetheless, several CSOs consulted noted that local journalists’ capacity constraints remained a challenge to ensuring fair and balanced reporting.

- Anti-terror legislation: The government’s easing of restrictions on the media has been accompanied by a broader effort to lift the state of emergency and reform the 2009 Anti-Terror Proclamation. In June 2018, the government lifted the state of emergency imposed since February 2018 and released thousands of political prisoners incarcerated over the 2014-2017 period.[7] There have been incidents of violent dispersal of peaceful demonstrations have continued, for instance in Addis Ababa in September 2018[8] and the Afar and Tigray regions in October 2018[9]. These do not appear to have been linked to demonstrations related to extractive industry governance or public financial management, but rather to broader calls for democratic reforms. The Legal Reform Advisory Council established by the Attorney General in June 2018[10] has been developing revisions to the 2009 Anti-Terror Proclamation (ATP), under which arrests of these political prisoners had been based.[11] Attorney General Berhanu Tsegaye announced in January 2019 that a draft bill amending the Anti-Terror Proclamation would be submitted to Parliament (House of People’s Representatives) in 2019.[12] On 12 December 2018, the US Millennium Challenge Corp. (MCC) selected Ethiopia to receive “threshold program assistance”[13], notably recognizing “a series of significant reforms, including releasing thousands of political prisoners, apologizing for past state-led human rights abuses, and easing restrictions on media outlets.”[14]

- Self-censorship: While the initial assessment and Validation report highlighted a certain level of self-censorship in relation to certain mining issues amidst a state-controlled media in the period of 2014 - April 2018, there is growing evidence of open and critical discussion of issues previously considered “too sensitive” for public discussion. Prime Minister Ahmed has announced his support for freedom of expression on several occasions, including in his
inaugural address where he welcomed dissenting opinions.[15] There is now evidence in Ethiopian media of public criticism of the three key topics identified as “off limits” in the initial assessment, namely of MIDROC[16], Metals and Engineering Corp. (METEC)[17] and the Endowment Fund for the Rehabilitation of Tigray (EFFORT).[18] Several CSOs consulted considered that there were no longer any issues “too sensitive” for public discussion and highlighted that the issues of MIDROC and METEC had been openly discussed at a January 2019 MSG meeting. These representatives also highlighted that discussions on the MSG had evolved from being focused narrowly on EITI reporting to more sensitive issues, including extractives policy reforms.

- **Curbs on advocacy:** The February 2019 amendment of the 2009 Charities and Societies Proclamation (see operation) has effectively removed the curbs on CSOs’ ability to engaged in public lobbying and advocacy on issues of transparency and good governance. By removing restrictions on individual CSO membership based on nationality and residence, the amended CSP effectively allows all CSOs to engage in public advocacy.[19] Several CSOs consulted expressed satisfaction that civil society’s input to the drafting of the legal amendments had effectively removed curbs on CSOs’ ability to freely engaged in public advocacy. While there is little evidence of public civil society advocacy and lobbying in relation to EITI issues specifically in the short period since the CSP’s amendment, the removal of legal curbs on CSOs’ advocacy work addresses a key breach to the Civil Society Protocol identified in the initial assessment and Validation report.

**Operation:** The initial assessment and Validation report found that evidence gathered showed a regressive trend in the 2014-2018 period when it came to an enabling legal framework for NGOs. Several regulations since 2009 had weakened civil society’s capacity to engage fully and proactively in EITI implementation. The 10% cap on foreign funding for CSOs able to engage in advocacy had led to a reduction in the number of such charities since 2009. The 30% cap on ‘administrative’ costs, defined broadly to include all capacity-building and coordination activities, has forced CSOs to dedicate most attention to pure ‘project’ costs. Evidence was found of one CSO directly involved in the informal EITI network (PANE) having lost its registration from the Charities and Societies Agency in 2015. However, several recent developments point towards the prospect of reforms that could ease these constraints in future, including:

- **Civil society input to legal reforms:** In June 2018, the Attorney General established a Legal Reform Advisory Council[20], composed of 13 independent legal professionals, to develop recommendations for comprehensive revisions to restrictive laws including the 2009 CSP and restructuring of institutions such as the Charities and Societies Agency.[21] Several CSOs consulted highlighted the extensive consultations with both Ethiopian and international civil society in drafting legal amendments, including through public and bilateral consultations. The Legal Advisory Council approved submitted a draft CSP bill to the Office of the Federal Attorney General on 21 October 2018.[22] Following a further round of public hearings in January 2019[23], the amended CSP was approved by Parliament on 5 February 2019.[24]

- **Key legal reforms:** Independent analysis of the reforms, including a review of the draft bill by Amnesty International in December 2018[25], has lauded several improvements over the 2009 CSP. Key reforms include the removal of funding restrictions on CSOs that promote human
rights, good governance, and related themes such as anti-corruption and conflict resolution; conversion of the Charities and Societies Agency into a CSO Board with minority civil society participation; removal of restrictions on individual CSO membership based on nationality and residence; and guaranteeing the right of CSOs, including foreign CSOs, to challenge the new CSO Board’s decisions on registration applications, including in courts of law. The amended CSP also transitioned from a strict “authorisation” model of CSO registration to a “declaration” model, where CSOs are only required to notify government of their ongoing operations on an annual basis rather than seeking re-registration every three years.

Government officials consulted highlighted the significance of these reforms and expected a flurry of new registrations from CSOs in coming months. The officials explained that they were considering measures to streamline the logistics of registration, although capacity constraints hindered their ability to launch an automated online registration system. A senior government official emphasised the paradigm shift in the government’s approach to civil society, from a focus on control and enforcement to one on support and monitoring. Even ahead of implementation of the amended CSP, several CSOs consulted considered that there had already been important changes in the government’s approach to enforcing existing regulations, noting the lack of audits of CSOs in the past nine months.

- **Access to funding:** While the amended CSP maintains a cap of 20% on CSOs’ administrative costs[26], it has significantly narrowed the definition of administrative costs to exclude staff salary and capacity-building activities, among others. While there is little evidence of new foreign funding of CSOs in the short period since the amended CSP was enacted, the removal of legal constraints on CSOs’ ability to raise foreign funding addresses a key breach to the Civil Society Protocol identified in the initial assessment and Validation report.

**Association:** The initial assessment and Validation report found that there did not appear to be any regulatory or practical barriers to CSOs’ ability to communicate with each other in relation to EITI, aside from the logistical challenges of operating in a vast, predominantly rural, country. While the constituency had established an informal EITI network and agreed a charter to coordinate its activities however, there were questions regarding the degree of representativeness and independence of organisations involved. There is little new evidence of improved civil society association in relation to EITI implementation since April 2018. While the government and industry constituencies refreshed their MSG membership in 2018, there is no evidence that the civil society constituency has yet started the process of renewing its MSG membership, which was scheduled to take place in April 2018 according to the EITI CSO Charter but has since been postponed to April 2019. Several CSOs consulted explained that their activities related to EITI implementation were still coordinated by the EEITI National Secretariat. They lamented what they perceived as poor communication between the EEITI and civil society, which hindered their ability to effectively associate and engage in all aspects of EITI implementation.

**Secretariat’s assessment of recent developments:** There is evidence, in both review of publicly-accessible documents and views of stakeholders (both in-country and overseas), that significant developments in the April 2018-February 2019 period indicate a positive direction of travel in the environment for civil society engagement in EITI implementation. The November 2018 CIVICUS Monitor noted: “In Ethiopia (…), following years of popular unrest and the severe repression of all forms of dissent, 2018 has witnessed a remarkable about-turn. New Prime Minister Abiy Ahmed has released political prisoners, eased restrictions on electronic communication and made important
progress towards reforming some the country’s most repressive laws.”[27] There is evidence of removal of barriers to freedom of expression and of the media, combined with easing self-censorship on EITI-related issues previously considered “too sensitive” for public discussion. The amendment of the 2009 Charities and Societies Proclamation in February 2019 has removed the legal restrictions on civil society’s ability to operate, including raising funding, for their EITI-related activities. While the timeframe has been too short to assess the implementation of these legal reforms in practice, the removal of legal restrictions on civil society addresses key breaches to the Civil Society Protocol identified in the initial assessment and Validation report. There is however still little evidence of civil society using this growing space to more fully, actively and effectively associate with each other and engage in all aspects of EITI implementation. As such, the Secretariat’s view is that developments related to civil society engagement in EITI in the April 2018-February 2019 period indicate a positive direction of travel that would warrant an upgrade in the assessment of Requirement 1.3 from “inadequate progress” to “meaningful progress”.

Based on the findings above, the Validation Committee agreed to recommend the assessment card and corrective actions outlined below.

The Committee also agreed to recommend an overall assessment of “meaningful progress” in implementing the 2016 EITI Standard. Requirement 8.3.c. of the EITI Standard states that:

ii. Overall assessments. Pursuant to the Validation Process, the EITI Board will make an assessment of overall compliance with all requirements in the EITI Standard.

...  

iv. Meaningful progress. The country will be considered an EITI candidate and requested to undertake corrective actions until the second Validation.

The Validation Committee agreed to recommend a period of 27 August 2020 to undertake the corrective actions. This recommendation takes into account that the challenges identified are relatively significant and seeks to align the Validation deadline with the timetable for Ethiopia’s 2016/17 and 2017/19 EITI Reports.


February 2019.


[19] Ibid.


[26] Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (November 2018), op.cit..

## Tarjeta de evaluación

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categorías</th>
<th>Requisitos</th>
<th>Nivel de progreso</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sin progreso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Inadecuado</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Satisfactorio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sobresaliente</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supervisión por parte del grupo de multipartícipes</td>
<td>Compromiso gubernamental (#1.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compromiso del sector privado (#1.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Compromiso de la sociedad civil (#1.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gobernanza del grupo de multipartícipes (#1.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Plan de trabajo (#1.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Licencias y contratos</td>
<td>Marco legal (#2.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asignación de licencias (#2.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Registro de licencias (#2.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Políticas sobre divulgación de contratos (#2.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Beneficiarios reales (#2.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Participación estatal (#2.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploración y producción</td>
<td>Datos de la exploración (#3.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Datos de la producción (#3.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Datos de la exportación (#3.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recaudación de ingresos</td>
<td>Divulgación exhaustiva (#4.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ingresos en especie (#4.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Acuerdos de intercambio (#4.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ingresos por transporte (#4.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transacciones de empresas estatales (#4.5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Pagos subnacionales directos (#4.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Desagregación (#4.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Puntualidad de los datos (#4.8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Calidad de los datos (#4.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asignación de ingresos</td>
<td>Distribución de ingresos (#5.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Transferencias subnacionales (#5.2)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gestión de ingresos y gastos (#5.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Requisitos del EITI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categorías</th>
<th>Requisitos</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Nivel de progreso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contribución socioeconómica</td>
<td>Gastos sociales normados (#6.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gastos cuasifiscales de empresas estatales (#6.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Contribución económica (#6.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resultados e impacto</td>
<td>Debate público (#7.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Accesibilidad de los datos (#7.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Seguimiento de las recomendaciones (#7.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Resultados e impacto de la implementación (#7.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overall Progress</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Sin progreso.** Quedan pendientes todos o prácticamente todos los aspectos significativos del requisito o de los requisitos y que no se cumple el objetivo más global del requisito o de los requisitos.

**Progreso insuficiente.** No se han implementado aspectos significativos del requisito o de los requisitos y que está lejos de cumplirse el objetivo más global del requisito o de los requisitos.

**Progreso significativo.** Se están implementando aspectos significativos del requisito o de los requisitos y que se está cumpliendo el objetivo más global del requisito o de los requisitos.

**Progreso satisfactorio.** Se han implementado todos los aspectos del requisito o de los requisitos y que se ha cumplido el objetivo más global del requisito o de los requisitos.

**Outstanding progress (Beyond).** The country has gone beyond the requirements.

Esta disposición recomendada por lo que no debe ser tenido en cuenta para evaluar el cumplimiento.

El grupo de multipartícipes ha demostrado que este requisito no es aplicable en su país.

**Acciones correctivas**

The EITI Board agreed the following corrective actions to be undertaken by Ethiopia. Progress in addressing these corrective actions will be assessed in a second Validation commencing on **27 August 2020**:

1. In accordance with Requirement 1.3.b, the government should ensure an enabling environment for civil society to freely express opinions with regards to natural resource governance. In accordance with Requirements 1.3.c and d, the government must ensure that there are no obstacles to civil society participation in the EITI process and must refrain from...
actions which result in narrowing or restricting public debate in relation to implementation of the EITI. In accordance with Requirement 1.3.a, civil society must demonstrate that it is fully, actively and effectively engaged in the EITI process. In accordance with requirement 8.3.c.i, the civil society constituency should develop and disclose an action plan for addressing the deficiencies in civil society engagement documented in the initial assessment and Validator’s report within three months of the Board’s decision, i.e. by <Board Decision + 3 months>.

2. In accordance with Requirement 1.4, the MSG should ensure that each constituency has clear procedures for the selection of MSG members and channels of communication between the MSG representatives and their constituencies. The MSG could task each stakeholder group to clarify their internal nominations and representation procedures to improve the transparency and participation in the process. The MSG should also agree a process to ensure greater accountability of MSG representatives to the constituencies. This should include establishing mechanisms of consultation and reporting between MSG representatives and their wider constituencies.

3. In accordance with Requirement 2.2, Ethiopia should clearly define the number of mining, oil and gas licenses awarded and transferred in the year(s) under review, describe the statutory allocation and award procedures, including specific technical and financial criteria, and highlight any non-trivial deviations in practice. In addition, Ethiopia may wish to comment on the efficiency of the current license allocation and transfer system as a means of clarifying procedures and curbing potential non-trivial deviations, particularly related to Regional Governments’ licensing activities.

4. In accordance with Requirement 2.3, Ethiopia is required to maintain a publicly available register or cadastre system(s), including comprehensive information on all active oil, gas and mining licenses. In the interim, the MSG should ensure that information set out under Requirement 2.3.b be publicly-accessible for all mining, oil and gas licenses held by companies included in the scope of EITI reporting.

5. In accordance with Requirement 2.4, Ethiopia should ensure that the government’s policy on contract disclosure is publicly clarified for both mining contracts and oil and gas PSAs. Where applicable, Ethiopia should provide an overview of the contracts and licenses that are publicly available, and include a reference or link to the location where these are published or guidance on how to access them.

6. In accordance with Requirement 2.6, Ethiopia should disclose a comprehensive list of state participations in the extractive industries, including the terms associated with state equity, and publicly clarify the prevailing rules and practices regarding the financial relationship between the government and state-owned enterprises (SOEs), e.g., the rules and practices governing transfers of funds between the SOE(s) and the state, retained earnings, reinvestment and third-party financing. This should include a comprehensive overview of loans and guarantees extended by the state or SOEs to any extractives company.
7. In accordance with Requirement 3.3, Ethiopia should ensure that the export volumes and values of each mineral commodity exported in the year(s) under review are publicly available.

8. In accordance with Requirement 4.1, Ethiopia should ensure that future EITI reporting clearly explain all unreconciled discrepancy and provide a clear assessment of whether discrepancies materially affect the comprehensiveness of the reconciliation. In addition, Ethiopia should ensure that full unilateral government disclosure of material revenues, including from non-material companies, is provided disaggregated per material revenue stream.

9. In accordance with Requirement 4.5, Ethiopia should ensure that all material company payments to SOEs and all transactions between SOEs and government entities be comprehensively disclosed and reconciled.

10. In accordance with Requirement 4.6, Ethiopia should establish whether direct subnational payments, within the scope of the agreed benefit streams, are material ahead of future EITI reporting. Where material, the MSG is required to ensure that reconciled information on company payments to subnational government entities and the receipt of these payments be publicly accessible.

11. In accordance with Requirement 4.9.a, the EITI requires an assessment of whether the payments and revenues are subject to credible, independent audit, applying international auditing standards. In accordance with requirement 4.9.b.iii and the standard Terms of Reference for the Independent Administrator agreed by the EITI Board, the MSG and Independent Administrator should:

12. examine the audit and assurance procedures in companies and government entities participating in the EITI reporting process, and based on this examination, agree what information participating companies and government entities are required to provide to the Independent Administrator in order to assure the credibility of the data in accordance with Requirement 4.9. The Independent Administrator should exercise judgement and apply appropriate international professional standards in developing a procedure that provide a sufficient basis for a comprehensive and reliable EITI Report. The Independent Administrator should employ his/her professional judgement to determine the extent to which reliance can be placed on the existing controls and audit frameworks of the companies and governments. The Independent Administrator’s inception report should document the options considered and the rationale for the assurances to be provided.

13. ensure that the Independent Administrator provides an assessment of comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented, including an informative summary of the work performed by the Independent Administrator and the limitations of the assessment provided.

14. ensure that the Independent Administrator provides an assessment of whether all companies and government entities within the agreed scope of the EITI reporting process provided the requested information. Any gaps or weaknesses in reporting to the Independent Administrator
must be disclosed in the EITI Report, including naming any entities that failed to comply with the agreed procedures, and an assessment of whether this is likely to have had material impact on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the report.

15. In accordance with Requirement 5.2, Ethiopia is required to ensure that material subnational transfers of extractives revenues are publicly disclosed, when such transfers are mandated by a national constitution, statute or other revenue sharing mechanism. Ethiopia should also disclose any discrepancies between the transfer amount calculated in accordance with the relevant revenue sharing formula and the actual amount transferred between the central government and each relevant subnational entity. Ethiopia may wish to consider whether publishing the detailed calculations of subnational royalty transfers as a means of achieving this objective. Ethiopia is encouraged to reconcile subnational extractives transfers.

16. In accordance with Requirement 6.1, Ethiopia should ensure that information on mandatory social expenditures, clearly disaggregated between cash and in-kind and by non-government beneficiary, is publicly accessible.

17. In accordance with Requirement 6.2, Ethiopia should undertake a comprehensive review of all expenditures undertaken by extractives SOEs that could be considered quasi-fiscal. Ethiopia should develop a reporting process for quasi-fiscal expenditures with a view to achieving a level of transparency commensurate with other payments and revenue streams.

18. In accordance with Requirement 7.4, Ethiopia should annually assesses and document progress made by Ethiopia against the EITI requirements or recommendations from the EITI Report.

The government and the MSG are encouraged to consider the other recommendations in the Validator’s Report and the International Secretariat’s initial assessment, and to document the MSG’s responses to these recommendations in the next annual progress report.

Noticias

Países EITI avanzan hacia sectores de las industrias extractivas transparentes y responsables

Consejo EITI evalúa implementación en ocho países

El consejo EITI evaluó el estatus de ocho países implementadores, incluyendo Etiopía, Ghana, Guinea, Malawi, Mauritania, Nigeria, Noruega y Trinidad and Tobago en su reunión en Kiev del 27-28 de febrero. El Consejo acordó que Nigeria y Noruega han logrado progreso satisfactorio en la implementación del Estándar EITI. En otros casos, el Consejo notó el progreso y detallo las acciones correctivas para cumplir con el Estándar.
Por qué el EITI Valida países

El estatus de un país implementador del EITI se determina mediante la , que es el mecanismo de garantía de calidad del EITI. El proceso evalúa la calidad del desempeño de un país, sus divulgaciones y su impacto, de conformidad con los requisitos establecidos en el , examinando documentación y realizando consultas con el gobierno y partes interesadas de la sociedad civil y las empresas. Una Validación define e identifica los puntos fuertes y débiles de un país y prescribe una serie de medidas correctivas que se deben abordar en un periodo de 12 a 18 meses, tras lo cual dicho país se someterá a una segunda Validación para evaluar el progreso. La Validación incluye una que resume los resultados de un país. El Consejo EITI toma la decisión final sobre el estatus del EITI de un país, tras ponderar y considerar todas las pruebas recopiladas por el Secretariado Internacional EITI y el Validador independiente.

El presidente del EITI, Fredrik Reinfeldt, comentó que “más de la mitad de todos los países del EITI ya se han sometido a la Validación respecto al Estándar EITI. La tendencia general es positiva, y los gobiernos, las empresas y la sociedad civil a través de las regiones están demostrando un firme compromiso de instaurar la transparencia y la rendición de cuentas en la gestión de sus recursos naturales así como de utilizar los datos del EITI para impulsar reformas. La Validación ha demostrado que muchos países del EITI van más allá del Estándar EITI y cuentan con divulgaciones innovadoras en relación con los contratos de las industrias extractivas, la obtención de licencias y las ventas de la porción del Estado de petróleo, gas y minerales”.

Validaciones: Identificar el sector, evaluar fortalezas, reconocer impactos y diagnosticar debilidades

Guinea, Etiopía, Malawi y Trinidad y Tobago se han sometido recientemente a las primeras Validaciones y todos ellos han logrado un progreso significativo respecto al Estándar EITI.

El Consejo felicitó los esfuerzos de Ethiopia de reportar temas de importancia nacional como ser la minería artesanal y de pequeña escala, así como temas socio-ambientales. El Consejo elogió las reformas actuales de cambiar el mandato de agencias de gobierno de control y monitoreo a apoyo y facilitación de la contribución de la sociedad civil al debate público. De cara al futuro, el EITI puede jugar un rol clave para mejorar la relación entre compañías y comunidades locales afectadas. Asimismo, la Validación ha reflejado que queda trabajo por hacer para garantizar las divulgaciones exhaustivas sobre la participación estatal en el sector e informar en niveles subnacionales del gobierno. Este aspecto es importante en este momento en el que el país se embarca en la producción de petróleo y gas en la cuenca del Ogadén y prosigue sus esfuerzos de formalizar el sector de la minería. Al adoptar esta decisión, el Consejo tomó nota de las considerables limitaciones jurídicas y administrativas que se aplican a las organizaciones de la sociedad civil, que han repercutido en su capacidad de implicarse en el proceso EITI. No obstante, el Consejo encomió los esfuerzos de incluir a grupos de la sociedad civil en las reformas en curso.

Guinea, que cuenta con un sector de bauxita en rápida evolución y grandes reservas de mineral de hierro, experimentó mejoras en la exhaustividad y la calidad de la presentación de Informes EITI. El Consejo describió ocho medidas correctivas, entre ellas, la divulgación de información adicional sobre acuerdos de infraestructura, pagos subnacionales directos y gastos cuasi-fiscales. El Consejo señaló que el EITI debería influir en la supervisión del nuevo Fondo de Desarrollo Económico Local (FODEL).

Malawi ha establecido su grupo de multipartícipes como una plataforma para el debate basado en
hechos; además, la publicación de su segundo Informe EITI ha facilitado el escrutinio de datos de producción y exportaciones. Si bien el país tiene importantes depósitos de bauxita, carbón, piedra caliza, fosfato y uranio, el sector de las industrias extractivas sigue estando en una etapa de desarrollo, con pocas explotaciones mineras a gran escala en activo y exploraciones en el sector del petróleo. A fin de garantizar que los términos de las explotaciones estén a disposición del público, Malawi ha publicado todos los contratos en línea inspirándose en el Estándar EITI. La sociedad civil ha utilizado estos contratos para crear modelos financieros y esclarecer los términos precisos asociados con los acuerdos. Queda trabajo por hacer a fin de aportar claridad en torno a los fondos de petróleo extrapresupuestarios de Malawi, una laguna en lo que por otra parte se puede considerar un entorno transparente. También existe preocupación sobre la calidad de los datos y sobre quién recibe las contribuciones sociales.

**Trinidad y Tobago** ha recibido el reconocimiento de haber construido una plataforma dinámica para recopilar, publicar y debatir información sobre la manera en que se gestionan los recursos naturales del país. El Informe EITI ha identificado deficiencias en la recaudación de ingresos, el seguimiento de la producción y los costos y la información del catastro. De cara al futuro, se observan oportunidades para fortalecer la supervisión de la gestión de las licencias de minería, a fin de garantizar que la información sobre los beneficiarios reales se encuentre a disposición del público, y para mejorar la confianza pública en los datos oficiales de la producción y las exportaciones. Victor Hart, presidente del comité directivo del TTEITI, afirma que el país “seguirá innovando mediante el uso del EITI promoviendo la transparencia de los contratos, la presentación de informes ambientales y la participación de empresas de procesamiento, almacenamiento y transporte y de empresas de refinamiento y comercialización en la implementación del EITI”.

**Segundas Validaciones: profundizando transparencia y reforzando sistemas de gobierno**

“Actualmente varios países del EITI están llevando a cabo su segunda Validación y han realizado un progreso significativo en cuanto a aportar transparencia a sus sectores del petróleo, el gas y la minería”, afirmó Mark Robinson, Director Ejecutivo del Secretariado Internacional del EITI. “Estas segundas Validaciones han reflejado que los países están reformando eficazmente sus sectores y teniendo progreso significativo en la divulgación sistemática de datos EITI, reforzando los sistemas de gobierno”.

Ghana, Mauritania, **Nigeria** y Noruega se han sometido a sus segundas Validaciones y se evaluaron en base a las medidas correctivas prescritas.

**Ghana** logró un progreso significativo y ha abordado plenamente seis de las ocho medidas correctivas. La implementación de Ghana de las recomendaciones del EITI contribuyó a aplicar reformas que han aumentado los ingresos del gobierno procedentes del sector, una prioridad del gobierno que tiene como objetivo disminuir su dependencia de la ayuda externa. Se felicitó al país por publicar todos los contratos relacionados con la minería, el petróleo y el gas y hacerlos accesibles mediante portales en línea. Sin embargo, queda trabajo por hacer para garantizar la divulgación exhaustiva por parte de todas las empresas más importantes de petróleo y gas.

En **Mauritania**, productor líder de minerales de hierro, se están llevando a cabo diversos proyectos importantes de petróleo y gas. El país ha realizado un progreso significativo respecto al Estándar EITI, con mejoras en la supervisión de la implementación del EITI por parte del gobierno, el sector y la sociedad civil. El Consejo reconoció los esfuerzos de Mauritania en el uso de los Informes EITI como herramienta de diagnóstico para impulsar reformas en la gestión de licencias de las industrias
extractivas y la participación estatal en el sector de la minería. Se estableció una serie de medidas correctivas para fomentar las divulgaciones del otorgamiento de licencias y del registro de licencias, mejorar las divulgaciones sobre la participación estatal en el sector de la minería y reforzar la evaluación del impacto del EITI. El Consejo aplaudió los esfuerzos en curso para garantizar la divulgación sistemática de datos del EITI, que servirá para fortalecer los sistemas gubernamentales y lograr que la implementación del EITI sea más relevante de cara al futuro.

**Noruega**, país que ha apoyado al EITI desde sus principios, ha logrado un *progreso satisfactorio*. Su gestión de la riqueza procedente del petróleo ha sido celebrada ampliamente como una historia de éxito. No en vano, fue el primer país de la OCDE en implementar el EITI, publicando ocho Informes EITI de 2008 a 2015. En 2017, Noruega fue el primer país en presentar una solicitud ante el Consejo EITI a fin de integrar la implementación del EITI. En el, propiedad del gobierno, se publica información oportuna, exhaustiva y fiable así como informes detallados por país de las empresas. En consecuencia, el Consejo del EITI acordó que los Informes EITI independientes ya no eran necesarios y Noruega solicitó disolver su grupo de multipartícipes EITI. La transparencia y la gobernanza inclusiva se salvaguardan mediante diversos foros que utilizan el sector y la sociedad civil para promover la buena gobernanza, lo que incluye reuniones anuales de partes interesadas que tratan el progreso en la implementación EITI.
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