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Why contract transparency matters

Contracts, licenses and associated agreements are important elements of a country’s legal framework. They explain the rights and obligations of all parties involved in the exploration and production of oil, gas and minerals.

By shedding light on the rules and terms that govern extractives projects, contract transparency can help curb corruption and empower citizens to assess whether they are getting a good deal for their resources. Publication of contracts gives visibility on how much revenue is expected to flow to national and subnational governments. This information can be crucial in contexts where precious revenues are impacted by market volatility and emerging energy transition policies.

The EITI Standard requires EITI implementing countries to disclose all contracts and licenses that are granted or amended from 1 January 2021. This requirement reflects how contract transparency in the extractive sector has become a global norm in recent years.

When EITI countries commit to contract transparency, they accept to publicly disclose the full text of any contract, license, concession or other agreement governing the exploitation of oil, gas and mineral resources.
Benefits of contract transparency

Benefits for citizens
Contract transparency can be a powerful antidote to corruption in resource-rich countries.

- Contract transparency helps all parties to understand the terms on which oil, gas and mining activities take place.
- Communities affected by extractives operations can see how much revenue to expect from companies.
- Communities can see what subsidies and tax incentives are awarded to companies.
- Citizens can understand what obligations are placed on companies to protect communities and the environment, make social payments or provide local employment. Knowing this information enables citizens to monitor whether extractive companies are fulfilling their obligations.

Benefits for companies
Publishing the rules and terms of extractive projects supports responsible investment.

- Contract disclosure makes it easier for companies to show that they comply with their financial and social obligations, helping them to address reputational risks.
- Disclosing the terms of contracts supports open, fact-based dialogue that can build trust, reduce conflict and reinforce a company’s social license to operate.

Benefits for governments
Publishing the terms of extractive contracts helps governments maximise revenue from the extractive sector to meet development needs.

- Contract disclosure incentivises government officials to arrange fair contracts with reputable companies, drafted in a way that is consistent with the country’s legal framework.
- Opening contracts deters officials from concluding agreements that are disadvantageous or result in personal gain.
- Open contracts can be compared, helping to create a level playing field for business.
- Contracts that are disclosed can be more easily enforced. All agencies and ministries within government become aware of the contract terms and can collaborate more effectively to ensure that contract terms are maintained. Taxation rules are more likely to be applied accurately, thus contributing to domestic resource mobilisation.

16 companies have made a public commitment to support contract transparency
## Common myths about contract transparency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Myth</th>
<th>Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contracts are too technical and complex for the public to understand.</td>
<td>While contracts in the extractive sector are not always easy to interpret, civil society organisations, accountability initiatives and the media can perform an intermediary role in scrutinising and explaining them.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts cannot be disclosed because they contain commercially sensitive information that could harm competition.</td>
<td>Research shows that contracts rarely contain information that is commercially sensitive. Furthermore, there is no universal agreement on what constitutes commercially sensitive information. Companies such as Rio Tinto and Total have taken the position that legal and commercial issues are addressed when host governments decide to publish contracts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contract transparency makes it difficult for the government to negotiate good deals.</td>
<td>Public and commercial scrutiny provides an incentive for parties to conclude sustainable deals that lead to a more stable business environment. Governments are in a better position to negotiate good deals when they have access to contracts other than their own. Contract publication can reduce risks of negotiating contracts that deviate from legal and fiscal frameworks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contracts contain confidentiality clauses which are insurmountable and cannot be addressed.</td>
<td>According to a 2009 report on oil, gas and mining contracts, most confidentiality clauses do not refer to contracts. One potential solution is that parties to the contract can be asked to execute waivers of confidentiality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>License regimes do not require contract disclosure.</td>
<td>Some licenses may include project-specific terms that vary from other contracts. The EITI Standard applies equally to license regimes. The onus is on the country to substantiate any claim that there is no deviation from standard terms.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Contract transparency as a global norm

More than half of EITI implementing countries have published extractive contracts with varying levels of disclosure. International institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) and the OECD support the principle of contract transparency and recognise its benefits.

There is also strong support from private sector forums like the International Council on Mining and Metals (ICMM) and The B Team, as well as leading development finance institutions and international governance organisations like the UN and the International Finance Corporation (IFC).

Further, a growing number of oil, gas and mining companies endorse contract transparency. Many EITI supporting companies have supported contract transparency either through disclosure practices, policies or statements of support, including BHP, BP, Equinor, Freeport-McMoRan, Kosmos, Newmont Rio Tinto, Shell, Total and Tullow.

“We believe that the only way for a level playing field to be guaranteed is for governments to disclose contracts (and to do so in a systematic way), either through an EITI requirement or through government regulation.”

TOM BUTLER, CEO
INTERNATIONAL COUNCIL ON MINING AND METALS
Requirements in the EITI Standard

Contract transparency under the EITI Standard means the disclosure of the full text of any contract, license, concession, production-sharing agreement or other agreement granted by, or entered into by, the government which provides the terms attached to the exploitation of oil, gas and mineral resources. This includes the full text of any annex, addendum or rider which establishes details relevant to the exploitation rights or the execution thereof, as well as the full text of any alteration or amendment to these documents.

Under Requirement 2.4 of the EITI Standard, implementing countries are required to:

• Disclose any contracts and licenses that are granted, entered into or amended after 1 January 2021.

• Document the government’s policy on disclosure of contracts and licenses that govern the exploration and exploitation of oil, gas and minerals. This includes:
  • A description of whether legislation or government policy requires or prohibits disclosure of contracts and licenses
  • An explanation for deviation from policy, if any
  • An explanation of where the government policy is embodied
  • Documentation of the multi-stakeholder group’s discussion on what constitutes government policy on contract disclosures.
  • Reforms relevant to the disclosure of contracts and licenses planned or underway
  • An overview of which contracts and licenses are publicly available including a list of all active contracts and licenses with corresponding links
  • An explanation of legal or practical barriers where contracts cannot be published

Implementing countries are encouraged to publicly disclose any contracts and licenses that provide the terms attached to the exploitation of oil, gas and minerals (entered into before 2021).

The multi-stakeholder group is expected to agree and publish a plan for disclosing contracts with a clear time frame for implementation and addressing any barriers to comprehensive disclosure. This plan will be integrated into work plans covering 2020 onwards.
Legislating contract disclosure in countries

EITI processes are helping countries advance contract disclosure in many ways, including in sector-wide legislation. Over the past ten years, the number of countries adopting law that favour disclosure has increased significantly, demonstrating that contract transparency is becoming more commonplace.

AFGHANISTAN: The petroleum contracts state: “The Ministry shall have the right to keep a copy of this Contract in the Hydrocarbons Register, publish and keep publicly available and distribute to provincial offices such information and reports on the Contract, related documents and the Contractor as is required pursuant to the Hydrocarbons Law.” These contracts have been published by the Government.

DOMINICAN REPUBLIC: The Dominican Republic passed legislation requiring that all acts and activities of the “Public Administration” be made public. This includes mining contracts.

MEXICO: Mexico has targeted legislation on disclosure of oil and gas site assignments to the state-owned company Pemex as well as contract allocations to private investors in the hydrocarbon sector.

MONGOLIA: The contract for the most important mine in the country—the Oyu Tolgoi copper mine—states in clause 15.21 that “This Agreement shall be made public.” The government has published both the original 2009 contract and the 2015 contract amendment.

UKRAINE: Legislation has been developed with the aim of harmonising Ukraine’s legislation with the EITI Standard and the EU Accounting Directive. Law 2545-VIII mandates the disclosure of payments at the project level, the ultimate beneficial owners of companies and “material” elements of contracts relating to the extractive industry, such as social obligations, building infrastructure and barter arrangements.
Contract transparency in action

**Key**

- **Oil and gas contracts**
  - Some/all contracts
  - No contracts

- **Mining contracts**
  - Some/all contracts
  - No contracts

---

**PERU**

Peru discloses oil and gas contracts through an online, publicly accessible portal.

> perupetro.com.pe

---

**GHANA**

Ghana’s journey to contract disclosure has been possible due to combined efforts by governments, companies and civil society. In February 2018, the government launched an online public register with 18 major petroleum contracts, responding to increased demands for contract transparency.

> ghanapetroleumregister.com

---

**MEXICO**

Through its National Hydrocarbons Commission (CNH), the Government of Mexico has created a portal that not only makes oil and gas contracts public, but also allows users to see changes to contracts over time.

> rondasmexico.gob.mx

---

**UKRAINE**

The State Service of Geology and Subsoil of Ukraine publicly discloses subsoil contracts issued from 2016 onwards.

> geo.gov.ua
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900+
petroleum and mining contracts have been published in EITI implementing countries

PHILIPPINES
In the Philippines, the civil society organisation involved in the multi-stakeholder group (Bantay Kita) has helped local communities and indigenous peoples understand and evaluate mining contracts. This has empowered stakeholders in mining areas to monitor companies’ compliance with contractual obligations and has informed discussions on local development projects.

> contracts-eiti.dof.gov.ph

CHAD
As a fragile state, Chad lacks the resources to systematically publish contracts and licenses online. Yet commitment from the government, along with the national secretariat’s innovative and solution-oriented approach, has paved the way to contract transparency. Following a decree in November 2019, ITIE-Chad collated existing contracts in open data formats, available online.

> itie-tchad.org/mini-cadastre

ARMENIA
Armenia amended its Subsoil Code in 2017 to require publication of extractive contracts by Ministries – a reform that was heavily supported by Armenia’s EITI Multi-Stakeholder Group. All contracts are now accessible through the website of the Ministry of Infrastructure and Natural Resources.

> minenergy.am
Publication and use of contracts

Public contracts are only truly “public” if they are easy to find, browse, search and use. If governments do not seek to improve access to meet these criteria, increased disclosure may not achieve the desired outcomes.

The EITI’s requirement on contract publication offers an opportunity to move from policy to practice. Publication of contracts is technologically simple and not labour intensive. Some EITI countries publish extractive contracts through government platforms such as Armenia and Mexico. Others publish contracts through a dedicated contracts portal, such as Ghana and the Philippines. Some companies also publish contracts on their websites, including Kosmos, Rio Tinto and Tullow.

Using contracts to inform debate and strengthen accountability

Contracts yield valuable information to communities who wish to see how revenue from their resources flows to regional or local governments. They can be analysed and used to help citizens understand and monitor performance on the obligations placed on companies, including measures to protect communities and the environment, make social payments, provide local employment or use local suppliers.

GUYANA: Civil society organisations in Guyana have analysed publicly available Production Sharing Contracts to raise concerns regarding exemptions on capital gain tax.

MALAWI: In Malawi, a 2017 report by civil society organisations analysed the terms of disclosed production sharing agreements and inconsistencies with the Model Production Sharing Agreement (PSA).

MOZAMBIQUE: In 2019, civil society in Mozambique used the disclosed 2006 Exploration and Production Concession Contracts (EPCCs) for the Rovuma Basin to conduct an independent government revenue forecast.

TANZANIA: Africa Energy Tanzania has used its disclosed PSAs to explain the terms of their contract and its implications through a public FAQ. Tanzania has introduced a contract disclosure law.
CASE STUDY

**Democratic Republic of Congo**

**Using contract disclosure to track revenue collection**

In October 2020, a contract was published in the DRC detailing the sale of royalties from the Metakol Project – tailings that host over 112 million tonnes of copper and cobalt resources – to Multree, a company registered in the British Virgin Islands and reportedly owned by Dan Gertler.

ITIE-RDC played a key role in analysing and publishing the contract. Media outlets reporting on the story and local stakeholders were able to access the agreement online and use it to inform the public.

In the DRC, the extractive sector contributes more than one fifth of government revenue on average. Contract publication has helped track revenue collection and has resulted in better understanding of the fiscal terms of extractive projects. For example, contracts between foreign companies and state-owned enterprises (SOEs) were clarified following their disclosure. This helped improve the monitoring of revenues paid by extractive companies to SOEs, and of transfers of revenues from SOEs to the treasury.

CASE STUDY

**Tanzania**

**Using contract disclosure to explain deviations from model contracts**

Following the disclosure of the Production Sharing Agreement (PSA) over the Songo Songo Gas Field, the natural gas company PanAfrican Energy explained the terms of the contract to describe how the government of Tanzania earns revenues from the project. The company further highlighted its total contribution to government revenues, which aligns with the fiscal terms, profit gas sharing ratios and computation of costs in the contract.

The company referred to the disclosed stipulations to explain deviations from the model PSA, including why royalties were not required, why an abandonment fund was not agreed, and the risk factors that were considered in agreeing on a maximum cost recovery rate that was higher than what was stated in the contract. They illustrated how “protected gas” is accounted for as the company’s domestic obligation without a corresponding revenue. Disclosing the contract helped the company shed light on why the company has not paid Additional Profits Tax despite stipulations to do so, explaining that “the costs are such that profits have never reached the levels of investor returns whereby APT becomes applicable.”

One fifth of the Democratic Republic of Congo’s government revenue comes from the extractives sector.
Endnotes

1 See “Q&A with Rio Tinto”, eiti.org/blog/q-a-with-rio-tinto.

2 See “Q&A with Total: the first major to adopt contract transparency policy”, eiti.org/blog/q-a-with-total-first-major-to-adopt-contract-transparency-policy.

3 Rosenblum and Maples, Contracts Confidential, 23.


7 See the EITI’s guidance on implementing Requirement 2.4 on contract disclosure: eiti.org/document/guidance-note-7-on-contract-transparency-checklist.


9 See “Songo Songo PSA Questions & Answers”, panafricanenergy.com/faqs.