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# Introduction

Regular disclosure of extractive industry data is of little practical use without public awareness, understanding of what the figures mean, and public debate about how resource revenues can be used effectively. The EITI Requirements related to outcomes and impact seek to ensure that stakeholders are engaged in dialogue about natural resource revenue management. EITI disclosures lead to the fulfilment of the EITI Principles by contributing to wider public debate. It is also vital that lessons learnt during implementation are acted upon, that recommendations from EITI implementations are considered and acted on where appropriate and that EITI implementation is on a stable, sustainable footing.

The multi-stakeholder group may use this template to monitor the outcomes and impact of EITI implementation. Where information is already available elsewhere, it is sufficient to include a link to other publicly available documentation. The scope of this template reflects EITI Requirement 1.5 on work plan and Requirements 7.1 to 7.4 on outcomes and impact.

The MSG is required to review the outcomes and impact of EITI implementation annually (Requirement 7.4). The MSG is encouraged to update this document annually to monitor progress, keep track of efforts to improve data accessibility and inform work planning.

To inform Validation, the MSG is required to submit the completed form to the International Secretariat Validation team by the Validation commencement date. The period captured in this review may be the period since the previous Validation or the previous calendar/fiscal year. The MSG should clearly indicate the period covered by its review.

The MSG’s annual review of the outcomes and impact of EITI implementation should be publicly available, and stakeholders beyond MSG members should have an opportunity to provide feedback on the EITI process (Requirement 7.4).

# Part I: Relevance of EITI implementation

## Work plan (Requirement 1.5)

MSG’s self-assessment:

Not applicable /Not met / Partly met / Mostly met / Fully met / Exceeded

Justification:

The objective of this [requirement](https://eiti.org/eiti-standard-2019) is to ensure that the annual planning for EITI implementation supports implementation of national priorities for the extractive industries while laying out realistic activities that are the outcome of consultations with the broader government, industry and civil society constituencies. The annual EITI work plan should be a key accountability document for the MSG vis-à-vis broader constituencies and the public.

**1. Basic information about the current EITI work plan.**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Period covered by the current EITI work plan | *[Insert period covered here, e.g. 2021]* |
| Information on how the public can access the work plan. | *[Insert link or other instructions here]* |
| Process for producing the current EITI work plan | *[Summarise the process here. Include references to MSG meetings and other events where the work plan was discussed.]* |
| MSG approval of the work plan | *[Date of approval]* |

**2. Explain how the work plan’s objectives reflect national priorities for the extractive industry. Provide links to supporting documentation, such as studies or national development plans, if available.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

*3. Optional question: Has the MSG developed a theory of change on how EITI implementation will address the identified challenges of the sector in your country? If yes, please reference the corresponding document here.*

|  |
| --- |
|  |

## Monitoring progress

**4. Provide an overview of activities undertaken in the period under review and progress in achieving the objectives of the previous work plan**. The MSG is encouraged to provide a summary here and to document progress in more detail in the work plan itself.

|  |
| --- |
| *[Summarise progress in implementing the previous work plan. Provide a link to the previous work plan with a more detailed analysis of progress in undertaking planned activities and achieving each objective or attach it to this submission.]* |

## Recommendations from EITI implementation (Requirement 7.3)

MSG’s self-assessment:

Not applicable /Not met / Partly met / Mostly met / Fully met / Exceeded

Justification:

The objective of this [requirement](https://eiti.org/eiti-standard-2019) is to  ensure that EITI implementation is a continuous learning process that contributes to policy-making, based on the MSG regularly considering findings and recommendations from the EITI process and acting on those recommendations it deems are priorities.

**5. Provide an overview of the multi-stakeholder group’s responses to and progress made in addressing the recommendations from EITI reporting and Validation and gaps in information in accordance with Requirement 7.3.**

The multi-stakeholder group is required to list each recommendation and the corresponding activities that have been undertaken to address the recommendations and the level of progress in implementing each recommendation. Where the government or the multi-stakeholder group has decided not to implement a recommendation, it is required that the multi-stakeholder group documents the rationale.

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Recommendation:** | **Status/progress:** |
|  | *[Has the recommendation been partly or fully addressed? How is the MSG following up on the recommendation? Has the MSG identified an agency or actor responsible for addressing the recommendation? If the MSG has decided not to implement the recommendation, please document the rationale.]* |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| *(add rows as necessary)* |  |

**6. How have lessons learned from EITI implementation informed the current work plan?**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

## Innovations and impact

**7. Summarise any steps taken by the MSG to exceed EITI Requirements in a way that addresses national or local extractive sector governance priorities**.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**8. What kind of outcomes and impact have these measures resulted during the period under review?**

|  |
| --- |
| *[Explain how the MSG tracks outputs, outcomes and impact and include link(s) to any relevant documents. Summarise the outcomes and impact of efforts to ensure that EITI implementation addresses national or local extractive sector priorities. If the MSG has documented this elsewhere, please provide a link to relevant documents. Outcomes and impact can be disaggregated by constituency or beneficiary group, if relevant.]*] |

**8. If the MSG has plans to include new issues or approaches to EITI implementation, please describe these**.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**9. What kind of outcomes and impact are these plans expected to result in?**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**10. Summarise the MSG’s efforts to strengthen the impact of EITI implementation in the period under review, including** **any actions to extend the detail and scope of EITI reporting or to increase engagement with stakeholders. The MSG is encouraged to document how it has taken gender considerations and inclusiveness into account.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Part II: Public debate

## Open data (Requirement 7.2)

MSG’s self-assessment

Not applicable /Not met / Partly met / Mostly met / Fully met / Exceeded

Justification:

The objective of this [requirement](https://eiti.org/eiti-standard-2019) is to enable the broader use and analysis of information on the extractive industries, through the publication of information in open data and interoperable formats.

**11. Open data policy and disclosures**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Provide a link to the open data policy agreed by the MSG (Requirement 7.2.a) | *[Add link(s) to relevant open data policy(ies) and any commentary.]* |
| Is EITI data available in open data format and publicised? (Requirement 7.2.b) | *[EITI data refers to disclosures within the scope of the EITI Standard, including the tables, charts and figures from EITI reports.]* |
| Has the MSG identified gaps in the availability of EITI data in open format? If yes, what kind of gaps? (Requirement 7.2.b) |  |
| Has the MSG undertaken efforts to improve the availability of data in open format? If yes, please describe these. (Requirement 7.2.b) |  |
| Have summary data files been completed for each fiscal year for which data has been disclosed? (Requirement 7.2.c) |  |
| *What systematically disclosed data that is in the scope of EITI disclosures is machine readable and inter-operable? (Requirement 7.2.d)* |  |

## 

## Outreach and communications (Requirement 7.1)

MSG’s self-assessment

Not applicable /Not met / Partly met / Mostly met / Fully met / Exceeded

Justification:

The objective of this [requirement](https://eiti.org/eiti-standard-2019) is to enable evidence-based public debate on extractive industry governance through active communication of relevant data to key stakeholders in ways that are accessible and reflect stakeholders’ needs.

**12. Describe the MSG’s efforts in the period under review to ensure that information published about the extractive sector is comprehensible and available in appropriate languages**.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**13. Describe examples of use of EITI data.**

|  |
| --- |
| *[Document instances of use of EITI data in various formats, whether from MSG members or any stakeholders. Examples of types of EITI data use could include:*   * *Print and broadcast media coverage of stories referencing EITI data* * *Research and analytical studies drawing on EITI data* * *Advocacy and lobbying notes referencing EITI data* * *Parliamentary submissions or proceedings drawing on EITI data* * *Etc.*   *Provide links to supporting evidence where available.]*  Anecdotal evidence can also be recorded, for instance in the following way:  [This person / group ] has used [type of data in the scope of EITI disclosures] to do [what the data was used for / what problem did it solve.] |

**14. Provide information about outreach events organised to spread awareness of and facilitate dialogue about governance of extractive resources, building on EITI disclosures**.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Event name** | **Brief description of the event** | **Date** | **Location** | **Organiser** | **Number and type of attendees** | **Links to further information** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| *[Add rows as necessary]* |  |  |  |  |  |  |

**15. Describe the MSG efforts in the period under review to consider access challenges and information needs of data users, including different genders and subgroups of citizens**.

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**16. Describe other efforts by the MSG in the period under review to ensure that information is widely accessible and distributed**.

|  |
| --- |
| *[Document whether the MSG has*   * *produced summary reports, thematic reports or other analysis* *that is accessible, concise and easily understood by target audiences;* * *summarised and compared the share of each revenue stream to the total amount of revenue that accrues to each respective level of government.* * *undertake capacity-building efforts, especially with civil society and through civil society organisations, to improve understanding of the information and data from the reports and online disclosures and encourage use of the information by citizens, the media and others.*   *Provide links to supporting evidence.]* |

**17. How could the MSG improve the accessibility and distribution of information, considering the needs of different subgroups of citizens?**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

# Part III: Sustainability and effectiveness

Outcomes and impact of EITI implementation on natural resource governance (Requirement 7.4)

The objective of this [requirement](https://eiti.org/eiti-standard-2019) is to ensure regular public monitoring and evaluation of implementation, including evaluation of whether the EITI is delivering on its objectives, with a view to ensuring the EITI’s own public accountability.

MSG’s self-assessment

Not applicable /Not met / Partly met / Mostly met / Fully met / Exceeded

Justification:

**18. The MSG is requested to present any additional information and evidence related to the indicators for assessing the sustainability and effectiveness of EITI implementation**.

Each indicator will be assigned 0, 0.5 or 1 points by the EITI Board. The points will be added to the Outcomes and impact component score. The assessment of performance on the indicators will draw on information provided by the MSG, publicly available sources, stakeholder consultations and disclosures by the implementing country and companies. Please see the EITI Validation Guide for further information about how performance on these indicators will be assessed.

1. EITI implementation addresses nationally relevant extractive sector governance challenges. This indicator also recognises efforts beyond the EITI Standard.

|  |
| --- |
| *[Add MSG response and additional information/evidence.]* |

1. Extractive sector data is disclosed systematically through routine government and corporate reporting.

|  |
| --- |
| *[Add MSG response and additional information/evidence]* |

1. There is an enabling environment for citizen participation in extractive sector governance, including participation by affected communities.

|  |
| --- |
| *[Add MSG response and additional information/evidence.]* |

1. Extractive sector data is accessible and used for analysis, research and advocacy.

|  |
| --- |
| *[Add MSG response and additional information/evidence]* |

1. EITI has informed changes in extractive sector policies or practices. s

|  |
| --- |
| *[Add MSG response and additional information/evidence]* |

# Part IV: Stakeholder feedback and MSG approval

**19. Describe opportunities provided to stakeholders beyond MSG members to give feedback on the EITI process, including the EITI work plan.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**20. Describe how any feedback from stakeholders beyond MSG members have been considered in the review of the outcomes and impact of EITI implementation.**

|  |
| --- |
|  |

**21. Date of MSG approval of this submission and information on how the public can access it, e.g. link to national EITI website**.

|  |
| --- |
|  |