



**61<sup>st</sup> Board meeting**

**Virtual, 11-12 November 2024**

Submitted by: EITI International Secretariat

on: 5 December 2024

## Minutes

These Minutes capture the discussion and agreed outcomes of the 61st Board meeting.

## MINUTES OF THE 61<sup>ST</sup> EITI BOARD MEETING

---

### Table of Contents

61-1 Welcome and adoption of the agenda .....	3
61-2 Report from the Secretariat.....	3
Board Paper 61-2-A Implementation Progress Report– for information .....	3
Board Paper 61-2-B Outreach Progress Report – for information .....	5
61-3 Report from the Finance Committee.....	6
Item 61-3 EITI Presentation of Q3 Accounts and Full Year 2024 Outlook – for information.....	6
61-4 Joint Report from the Finance Committee and the Governance and Oversight Committee ....	6
Board Paper 61-4 Draft EITI International Secretariat 2025 Work Plan and Budget.....	6
61-5 Report from the Governance and Oversight Committee.....	7
Board Paper 61-5 Nomination Process for the EITI Chair 2026 – 2029 .....	7
61-6 Report from the Implementation Committee .....	7
Board Paper 61-6-A Terms of Reference for EITI reporting .....	7
Board Paper 61-6-B Consolidating the 2023 EITI Standard .....	8
61-7 Report from the World Bank.....	9
61-8 Report from the Validation Committee .....	10
Board Paper 61-8-A Report from the Validation Committee .....	10
Board Paper 61-8-B Update on broader issues for Validation model review and Validation working group .....	12
AOB.....	12

### Abbreviations

FC – Finance Committee

GOC – Governance and Oversight Committee

IC – Implementation Committee

LAC – Latin America and the Caribbean

MSG – Multistakeholder group

OCC – Outreach and Candidature Committee

OECD – Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development

RRC – Rapid Response Committee

VC – Validation Committee

EU – European Union

UK – United Kingdom

## 61-1 Welcome and adoption of the agenda

The **EITI Board Chair, Helen Clark** opened the 61<sup>st</sup> EITI Board meeting by warmly welcoming Board members and observers to the virtual meeting. She reminded the Board members that for a virtual meeting, only full Board members will have an opportunity to contribute to the discussion unless alternates have been specifically delegated to do so in advance. She encouraged Board members to contribute to the proceedings on the basis of mutual respect in the interest of arriving at decisions by consensus on the proposed items.

The Chair then introduced the draft Board meeting agenda which was approved.

She welcomed the following new Board members:

- Ms Sarah Yun, Director, Bureau of Energy Resources, Office of Policy Analysis and Public Diplomacy, US State Department, representing the Supporting Countries constituency;
- Mr Taco Westerhuis, Policy Advisor Circular Economy and Raw Materials, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Netherlands, who has returned to the Board as an alternate for the Supporting Countries constituency;
- Ms Jackie Freeman, Vice President, Tax Policy, North Sea, bp, representing the Supporting Companies constituency.

The Chair noted two vacant seats in the Supporting Countries constituency as well as two vacant seats in the Implementing Countries constituency.

She thanked Christine Detaille, departing Board member, for her long and dedicated service to the Board, especially her leadership in the Finance Committee. Christine expressed her gratitude for the constructive collaboration with all constituencies and highlighted the importance of strategic patience in reaching consensus.

The Chair noted apologies from the following Board members:

- Mr Hieu Vu, Director General, Explosives, Regulatory and Business Services Branch in the Lands and Minerals Sector, Natural Resources Canada
- Mr Richard Morgan, Head of Government Relations, Anglo American (Day 1)
- Mr Miguel Angel Diaz, Vice-Minister of Mines, Dominican Republic (Day 2)

The Chair encouraged Board members to participate in the ongoing Board self-assessment survey, which aims to evaluate the Board's performance in governance and oversight. The survey results will be reviewed at the March meeting.

## 61-2 Report from the Secretariat

### Board Paper 61-2-A Implementation Progress Report– for information

Mark Robinson introduced the Implementation Progress Report (IPR) for the period June 2024 to September 2024. He noted that the International Secretariat has provided capacity-development support to over 1,100 participants in EITI implementing countries. Policy priorities have been focusing on the dissemination of the 2023 Standard and updates to guidance notes. There are currently 55 implementing countries following the delisting of Afghanistan at the last Board meeting in Geneva. Progress continues with EITI implementation across most EITI countries. Cameroon, Ethiopia, Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, São Tomé and Príncipe's, Seychelles and Suriname continue to be suspended and the suspension from Albania was lifted due to the

publication EITI report 2019 to 2021. The spotlight highlights EITI's role in contract transparency in Guyana and the thematic focus the findings from the 2024 contract transparency stocktake. He noted that this edition features a section on the status of EITI reporting in implementing countries.

Board members thanked the Secretariat for the report and raised the following points:

- An industry representative noted good progress with 38 out of 55 countries disclosing contracts at various levels and inquired about the baseline data from 2022 to assess the trajectory of progress. He emphasised the importance of contract transparency for enabling civil society and other actors to compare companies' tax and royalty payments with their contractual obligations. He asked if there is any evidence that this comparison is being utilised by Multi-Stakeholder Groups (MSGs) or civil society organisations.
- Regarding systematic disclosures, he noted an increase in systemic disclosures, now at 36% of implementing countries. He pointed out significant regional variations, with some African regions showing only 12% uptake and suggested the Secretariat focus on targeted approaches in regions with low systemic disclosure rates, rather than a general approach.
- A CSO representative raised concerns about threats to civic space in Latin America, particularly in Peru, noting proposed anti-civil society laws and a regression in human rights guarantees. She mentioned a letter sent to the EITI advocating for civic space protections, which the EITI Board Chair acknowledged receiving.
- A civil society representative stressed the need for continuous civic space monitoring throughout implementation, not just during the annual Validation Board review, and suggested regular access to the IPR for this purpose. She asked if the International Secretariat actively encourages countries to improve implementation before publishing Validation results.
- A CSO representative expressed concerns about the slow progress of contract transparency disclosures in EITI implementing countries. She raised concerns with new agreements involving the EU, the US, the UK, and other countries, stressing the importance of addressing this issue promptly to ensure these critical minerals contracts remain transparent, and calling for urgent dialogue involving all stakeholders, including consumer countries on the Board.
- A CSO representative noted the slow pace in finalising guidance notes which are crucial for implementing the EITI Standard, including guidance on GHG emissions. He mentioned a perceived lack of sufficient consultation with the Board, particularly the implementation committee and emphasized the importance of involving civil society representatives in the preparation of these guidance notes.
- A supporting country representative noted significant regional differences in the systemic disclosure of contracts and requested explanations for these disparities. He also highlighted Peru's initial implementation challenges and recent rapid progress, seeking clarity on the reasons and the significant risks faced by civil society.
- A company representative emphasized the importance of continued collaboration on technical issues like greenhouse gas emissions (GHG), environmental impacts, and contracts. He stressed ongoing discussions with the Implementation Committee to focus on impactful areas and ensure adequate support, expressing appreciation for the progress and anticipation for further collaboration.

The Secretariat thanked Board members for their feedback and noted the following:

- The Secretariat expressed concerns about the ongoing human rights situation in Latin America noting that it continues to monitor the situation. It also acknowledged the need for more regular reporting on monitoring civic space noting that the Secretariat already monitors this issue closely and actions include targeted reminders to governments about their commitments through regular engagement. The situation in Niger is under enhanced scrutiny, with this period ending in March and plans for a targeted assessment.
- On contract transparency, the International Secretariat noted that the number of countries disclosing contracts increased from 37 in 2020 to 41 out of 55 in 2024.
- Regarding contracts for critical minerals, the EITI is collaborating with partners such as the Intergovernmental Forum (IGF) which is conducting a review of contracts for critical minerals. The international Secretariat will explore opportunities to make relevant information about these agreements available to the public.
- On Guidance Notes, the Secretariat noted that it has prioritised presentations, in-country training, and virtual trainings over producing guidance notes and committed to speeding up the production of guidance notes alongside training efforts. The Secretariat confirmed that the guidance note process would involve the Implementation Committee at the appropriate stage. The guidance note on greenhouse gas emissions will be submitted to the Implementation Committee for review and comment in early 2025.
- On systematic disclosures, the recommendation to adopt a more targeted approach was acknowledged, noting significant regional disclosure differences with actual levels potentially higher is due to data submission limitations. It further noted challenges such as challenges like limited technical capacity and slow uptake of systematic disclosure, emphasising the need for stronger advocacy.
- The International Secretariat announced plans to update the data strategy with a regional and country focus highlighted upcoming support for systematic disclosure in the DRC, supported by GIZ and referred to ongoing revisions to the summary data templates to enhance usability and strengthen systematic disclosures across countries.

## Board Paper 61-2-B Outreach Progress Report – for information

The International Secretariat presented the report, focusing on activities from June to September 2024.

Board members raised the following points:

- While a member from the Implementing Countries constituency expressed concern about Rwanda's potential membership, they recommended the production of a regional report which would capture production and exports by Rwanda and other countries in the Great Lakes region. *The Secretariat noted discussions during a recent mission to the DRC, where concerns regarding the legality of Rwanda's mining sector were raised. The need for a strategic regional approach for the Great Lakes region was acknowledged.*
- CSO representatives suggested the need for a careful approach to Chile's application, ensuring adequate civil society representation. *The Secretariat reaffirmed its commitment to advancing Chile's application and encouraged the civil society constituency within Chile's multi-stakeholder group to finalise its nominations to move the process forward.*
- Supporting Countries welcomed Seplat's membership and outreach towards Serbia, and encouraged a continued focus on Brazil and South Africa. They suggested prioritising one to two key countries and proposed involving Board members in select outreach missions to strengthen country membership applications. *The Secretariat welcomed the*

*suggestion to include Board members further in outreach activities, particularly in Brazil and South Africa.*

- Supporting Countries expressed appreciation for EITI's involvement in the critical mineral supply chain, highlighting its role in promoting transparency in energy transition developments.

## 61-3 Report from the Finance Committee

### Item 61-3 EITI Presentation of Q3 Accounts and Full Year 2024 Outlook – for information

Mark Robinson, on behalf of the Finance Committee, introduced the financial update for the period of January to September 2024 in addition to an updated budget outlook for the year.

The Secretariat reported a surplus for this period against a balanced budget for the same period. The cash balance is healthy. There are funds available to cover the next quarter's expenditure leaving the reserves untouched. The updated budget outlook for the year shows a balanced outcome with an increased proposed allocation of savings towards the 2026 Global Conference and / or an increase in reserves.

Mark noted that the detailed Q3 report would follow in a forthcoming Board Circular.

## 61-4 Joint Report from the Finance Committee and the Governance and Oversight Committee

### Board Paper 61-4 Draft EITI International Secretariat 2025 Work Plan and Budget

The International Secretariat presented the 2025 work plan and budget, detailing core objectives, key activities, and strategies for resource allocation. It was noted that, once approved, this document would serve as a tool for both EITI Board and Secretariat management.

Board members raised the following points:

- Supporting Countries expressed support for the budget, noting the increased travel cost in addition to acknowledging the need for support to implementing countries. Further details were requested on the 2026 Global Conference. *The Secretariat noted that the increase in travel costs reflects the feedback received on increased demand for support to implementing countries as reflected in the three-year outlook approved by the Board in June 2024. It further noted that the preparations for the 2026 Global Conference will begin in 2025 and will follow similar arrangements to the 2023 Global Conference.*

The Board subsequently approved the 2025 work plan and budget.

#### **Actions:**

- The Secretariat to publish the 2025 workplan and budget on EITI.org

## 61-5 Report from the Governance and Oversight Committee

### Board Paper 61-5 Nomination Process for the EITI Chair 2026 – 2029

The EITI Board will recommend a candidate for the position of Board Chair for the 2026-2029 term at the Members' Meeting in June 2026, as the current Chair will step down after two terms. The Governance and Oversight Committee (GOC) recommended establishing a Nominations Committee to lead the selection process. The proposed Committee, in line with previous practice, will have four members and four alternates representing implementing countries, supporting countries, companies, and civil society. A draft Terms of Reference was shared with the paper.

Board members raised the following points:

- Supporting Countries welcomed the early initiation of the process and suggested allowing constituencies to delegate membership to support staff in view of capacity constraints. They emphasised the importance of ensuring regular Board committee work is not disrupted. *The Secretariat clarified that the Nomination Committee will convene only as necessary to keep the number of meetings to a minimum. It proposed appointing both a Board member and an alternate to share responsibilities and encouraged constituencies to submit their nominees promptly.*
- Implementing Countries expressed general support and sought clarification on the level of support the Secretariat could provide to the Nominations Committee, given the need to safeguard confidentiality. *The Secretariat assured the Board that its support would be led by the Executive Director, supported by a senior colleague and the operations team. It emphasised that GDPR compliance would govern the process, ensuring confidentiality and adherence to data protection standards. The Board approved the proposed recommendation.*

#### **Actions:**

- Board constituencies to nominate their representatives to the Nominations Committee.

## 61-6 Report from the Implementation Committee

### Board Paper 61-6-A Terms of Reference for EITI reporting

The Implementation Committee introduced the paper, which had been updated by the Secretariat following feedback from the Board at its Geneva meeting, input from stakeholders and further discussions within the Implementation Committee. The Committee recommended that the Board approve the paper.

The Implementation Committee noted that the document aimed to formalise existing reporting approaches used by EITI countries, setting out reconciliation and risk-based reporting as the two main options while allowing countries to request Board permission to apply alternative approaches. The paper encouraged MSGs to adopt multi-year reporting to improve data timeliness. Further guidance and training would be provided by the International Secretariat.

Board members raised the following points:

- Supporting Countries welcomed the paper, highlighting the value of the risk-based approaches implemented in Germany and Mauritania useful precedents.

The Board approved the proposed recommendation.

**Actions:**

- The Secretariat to publish the Board decision on the EITI website.

## Board Paper 61-6-B Consolidating the 2023 EITI Standard

The Implementation Committee introduced a discussion paper, addressing challenges in implementing the 2023 Standard, which introduced new provisions on key resource governance issues like energy transition, anti-corruption, gender, and revenue collection. Stakeholders were experiencing challenges due to the rapid evolution of the EITI Standard, which had outpaced their capacity to understand and implement changes. Despite efforts by the International Secretariat to provide guidance and trainings, more support was needed, including a stronger focus on systematic disclosures. In the paper, the Secretariat proposed that future revisions to the EITI Standard should be data-driven, informed by reporting under the 2023 EITI Standard expected from the end of 2024 onwards and aligned with other standards to avoid duplication and misalignment.

The Committee noted that the paper was for discussion and did not reflect a consensus position within the committee.

Board members raised the following points:

- CSO representatives stated that the paper did not fully reflect their views. They emphasised the importance of keeping options open for strengthening aspects of the Standard, pending a review of the first reports under the 2023 EITI Standard. The focus, they argued, should be on delivering impact for communities. While alignment with other standards was important, they urged EITI to lead in setting best practice benchmarks. *The Secretariat highlighted ongoing efforts to enhance EITI's relevance for communities through a project in Colombia, Ghana and Indonesia, supported by the Ford Foundation, the project aims to assess the energy transition's impact on communities and improve access to data and dialogue through the EITI. The Secretariat further noted that alignment with other standards remains critical to avoid "standard shopping".*
- Supporting Countries supported Secretariat's recommendation, noting the significant updates introduced in 2023. The focus should now lie on implementation to ensure the EITI delivers real progress in the sector. Supporting countries emphasised that technical and financial capacity was already stretched in many implementing countries and at the International Secretariat.
- Implementing Countries strongly supported the Secretariat's recommendation, emphasising that the period up until the next Global Conference should be dedicated to consolidation to give time to meaningfully reflect on the implementation of the 2023 EITI Standard. They noted that EITI requirements often necessitate regulatory reforms, and governments need time to implement these changes effectively. *The Secretariat will take stock in Q1 2025 once the first batch of EITI reporting under the 2023 EITI Standard comes out with the aim of providing an update in March 2025.*



**Actions:**

- The Secretariat to take stock of implementation of the 2023 EITI Standard once new reporting becomes available in Q1 2025.
- Implementation Committee to discuss the paper further in Q1 2025 and prepare a recommendation to the Board at the next Board meeting.

## 61-7 Report from the World Bank

Robert Schlotterer presented the report from the World Bank to the Board, noting that a proposal for using remaining funds under EGPS II is under review and will be discussed at the Partnership Council meeting in December. He reaffirmed the World Bank's commitment to the EITI agenda, with plans to build on the lessons learnt to enhance the impact and sustainability of future interventions under EGPS III. He highlighted a recent diagnostic exercise aimed at assessing how the World Bank's engagement in EITI implementation could be more effective and sustainable. This exercise involved multiple consultations and surveys, with key findings indicating that EITI implementation has led to improved access to data, enhanced multi-stakeholder dialogue, and greater awareness of environmental, social, and governance issues in the extractive sector.

However, Robert pointed out a major concern: the limited support from host governments for national secretariats, especially regarding financial resources, staffing, and capacity building, which raises questions about the long-term sustainability of EITI implementation in some countries. He also noted that stakeholders have expressed concern about the frequent changes to the EITI Standard, calling for more flexibility and time to implement updates.

Looking ahead, Robert outlined the path forward for EGPS III, noting that the submission of a final concept note for the next phase was agreed. This note will be shared with EGPS shareholders for feedback in early December. While the World Bank has shifted away from directly supporting oil and gas infrastructure, he reaffirmed the Bank's ongoing commitment to supporting governance in the oil, gas, and mining sectors, particularly in countries where mining plays a significant role in the extractive industry.

Board members raised the following points:

- Implementing Countries raised concerns about the low disbursement rates of grants. They also inquired about the possibility of reallocating unused funds from the current EGPS II grants to EGPS III, to help countries align with the EITI 2023 Standard.
- CSO representatives called on the World Bank to highlight the crucial need to protect civil society organisations, ensuring their voices are heard and safeguarded, particularly in light of the growing challenges to democracy and civic space in a number of countries, including those in Central Asia. They also advocated for faster procurement processes for projects involving citizen engagement.
- Supporting Countries highlighted the importance of sustained donor engagement with EITI and for the EGPS to continue to support EITI implementation. They reaffirmed Germany's commitment and stressed the need for a long-term mechanism to support the transition from EGPS II to EGPS III. They stated that ownership of the EITI processes among stakeholders is crucial for sustainable progress.

The World Bank responded:

- World Bank is shifting from bank-executed to recipient-executed funding to help governments manage their own processes. World Bank is also considering granting an extension for the EGPS II grants.
- Importance of creating the right governance frameworks for CSO engagement.
- Ownership of EITI processes by the countries themselves as a key factor for success.
- Robert discussed the World Bank's evolving approach, focusing on providing more comprehensive support and streamlining procurement processes to drive long-term sustainability in EITI initiatives.

The Secretariat expressed its interest in providing constructive feedback on both the review and concept note being developed. It also stressed the importance of having accurate data and offered to review the survey results and concept paper.

## 61-8 Report from the Validation Committee

### Board Paper 61-8-A Report from the Validation Committee

The Validation Committee (VC) chair, Mr Hieu Vu, presented the Committee's recommendations to the Board and invited the Secretariat to provide further information.

#### Validation of Mauritania

Board members and observers from Mauritania recused themselves. Subsequently, the Committee Chair, with the support from the Secretariat, presented the paper and the recommendation from the VC to the Board.

Board members supported the recommendation and congratulated Mauritania on its data disclosures, particularly its data warehouse. They encouraged to consider if Mauritania could serve as a model example for other countries.

The Board approved the proposed recommendation.

#### **Actions:**

- The Board Chair to write to the Government of Mauritania to communicate the Board decision.
- The Secretariat to publish the Board decision on Mauritania's Validation and Validation report.

#### Validation of Indonesia

Board members and observers from Indonesia recused themselves. Subsequently, the Committee Chair, with the support from the Secretariat, presented the paper and the recommendation from the VC to the Board.

Board members commended Indonesia for its continued commitment to EITI implementation, despite numerous challenges, and supported the recommendation. They acknowledged efforts to strengthen systematic disclosures, including issues related to ESG. Improvements were needed to enhance data disaggregation, comprehensiveness and quality, and subnational reporting to ensure more data use. MSG governance could be further strengthened, including with regard to gender aspects and constituency engagement.

The Board approved the proposed recommendation.

**Actions:**

- The Board Chair to write to the Government of Indonesia to communicate the Board decision.
- The Secretariat to publish the Board decision on Indonesia's Validation and Validation report.

**Validation of the Central African Republic**

The Validation Committee Chair, with the support from the Secretariat, presented the paper and the recommendation from the VC to the Board.

Board members raised the following points:

- Supporting Companies highlighted challenges related to the civil society engagement, including for those not represented on the multi-stakeholder group (MSG). Concerns were raised about the overall transparency of the largest extractive project and a lack of disclosures on core areas, such as licenses, beneficial ownership and production data. Moving forward, the International Secretariat would need to consider how best to support the country, given the range of challenges identified.
- Implementing Countries questioned the potential benefits of suspending the Central African Republic (CAR). They emphasised the importance of considering the broader country context and impact of a potential suspension on further engagement. They suggested conducting a mission before taking a decision on suspension. They also highlighted the importance of continued EITI implementation for further stabilisation and improvement of the governance process and encouraged to continuously monitor developments.
- CSO representatives agreed with the proposed recommendation and suspension due to "partly met" on Requirement 1.3 and given a "low" overall score. They emphasised the importance of active CSO engagement for effective extractive sector governance. They argued that suspension would prompt the government to address urgent issues and strengthen accountability. CSO representatives also raised concerns related to intentions of implementation, in particular with regard to foreign funding. They observed that overall implementation efforts were limited.
- Supporting Countries agreed with the Validation report's findings. They suggested adding a more explicit indication that the suspension would be temporary and noting the possibility of early Validation request. They emphasised the importance of following the Validation procedure while acknowledging the need to support the country in strengthening its implementation.

Based on the discussion, the Secretariat prepared an updated recommendation along the lines suggested for the Board's consideration.

Board members supported the amended proposal. They, however, emphasised the importance of recognising and adding more information on the broader context and political instability to the recommendation. The Board Chair suggested further enhancement of the Board's statement, in particular with regard to the broader context and clearer reference to Requirement 1.3.

The Board approved the updated recommendation.

**Actions:**

- The Board Chair to write to the Government of the Central African Republic to communicate the Board decision.
- The Secretariat to publish the Board decision on the Central African Republic's Validation and Validation report.

## Board Paper 61-8-B Update on broader issues for Validation model review and Validation working group

The Validation Committee Chair, with support from the Secretariat, presented the paper and the recommendations to the Board.

Board members raised the following points:

- Supporting Countries supported the recommendation. They expressed the need for the review to be kept at a considerable level and asked that the proposed changes ensure good comparability with previous assessments.

The Board subsequently approved the proposed recommendation.

**Actions:**

- The Secretariat to publish the Board decision on the update on broader issues for Validation model review and Validation working group.

## AOB

Mark Robinson provided an update on the scheduling of upcoming Board meetings. He highlighted the following preliminary plans:

- An in-person Board meeting in **13-14 March**, Arusha, Tanzania
- A virtual Board meeting in **June 2025**.
- An in-person meeting in Yerevan, Armenia, in **October/November 2025**.
- An in-person meeting in Asia, in **March 2026**, leading up to the 2026 Global Conference.

Additionally, he noted that the Secretariat continues to assess the holding of the March 2025 Board meeting in Tanzania, with the intention of final confirmation by the end of November. Board members suggested that the Secretariat develop a contingency plan to address any unforeseen circumstances.

## Acknowledgements

Board Chair expressed gratitude to the translators for their excellent work, to the staff for their dedicated committee support, and to the Board members for their active participation and effective contributions. She also thanked the large number of observers for their interest and engagement.

## Annex - Participant List – 61<sup>st</sup> EITI Board Meeting

Grey - Absent

### CHAIR

Rt Hon. Helen CLARK

### COUNTRIES

#### Implementing Countries

**Mr Mohamed Lemine AHMEDOU**, EITI National Coordinator, Mauritania

Alt: **Mr Antoine Kocounseu MIMBA**, Chairman, EITI National Council Côte d'Ivoire

**Ms Isabel CHUVAMBE**, EITI National Coordinator, EITI Secretariat, Mozambique

Alt: **Ms Francess ALGHALI**, Minister of State, Office of the Vice-President, Sierra Leone

**Mr Agus Cahyono ADI**, EITI National Coordinator, Indonesia

Alt: **Mr Bayani AGABIN**, Undersecretary, Department of Finance, Philippines

**Mr Jean-Jacques KAYEMBE**, EITI National Coordinator, Democratic Republic of Congo

Alt: **Mr Florent Michel OKOKO**, EITI National Coordinator, Republic of the Congo

**Mr Miguel Angel DÍAZ**, Vice-Minister of Mines, Dominican Republic

Alt: **Mr Gregory McGUIRE**, Chairman of TTEITI Steering Committee, Trinidad & Tobago

**Ms Hasmik MANUKYAN**, EITI National Coordinator, Armenia

Alt: **Ms Svitlana GRYNCHUK**, Deputy Minister of Energy, Ukraine

#### Supporting Countries

TBC

Alt: TBC

**Mr Hieu VU**, Director General, Explosives, Regulatory and Business Services Branch in the Lands and Minerals Sector, Natural Resources Canada

Alt: **Ms Sara YUN**, Director, Bureau of Energy Resources, Office of Policy Analysis and Public Diplomacy, US State Department

**Mr Paul GARAYCOCHEA**, Director, Sustainable Transformation of Supply Chains, Federal Ministry for Economic Cooperation and Development (BMZ), Germany

Alt: **Mr Taco WESTERHUIS**, Policy Advisor Circular Economy and Raw Materials, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Netherlands

### CIVIL SOCIETY ORGANISATIONS

**Mr Aliou Ba COULIBALY**, President, Publish What You Pay (PWYP) Coalition, Mauritania

Alt: **Mr Kossi KOUGBLENOU**, Executive Director, ACOMB, Togo

**Ms Diana EL KAISSY**, Advisory board member at the Lebanese Oil and Gas Initiative-LOGI, Lebanon

Alt: **Mr Matthieu SALOMON**, Lead, Anti-corruption, Natural Resource Governance Institute, France

**Ms Vanessa CUETO LA ROSA**, Environmental Lawyer, Derecho Ambiente y Recursos Naturales, Peru

**Alt: Ms Yessica PRIETO RAMOS**, National Director, Projects & Research, Crudo Transparente, Colombia

**Ms Mariya LOBACHEVA**, Executive Director, Echo - Public Association, Kazakhstan

**Alt: Ms Olena PAVLENKO**, President, DiXi Group, Ukraine

**Mr Clancy MOORE**, Chief Executive Officer, Transparency International, Australia

**Alt: Ms Tamika HALWIINDI**, Climate Change and Environmental Sustainability Lead, Transparency International, Zambia

## COMPANIES INCLUDING INVESTORS

**Ms Kathryn CASSON**, Chief Adviser, Civil Society and Outreach, Rio Tinto

**Alt: Mr Richard MORGAN**, Head of Government Relations, Anglo American

**Mr Chinonso EMEHELU**, Senior Director, Public & Government Affairs, Exploration, Strategy & Business Development, ExxonMobil

**Alt: Mr Mike ANDERSON**, Senior Vice President, Sustainability and External Affairs, Kosmos Energy

**Mr James ENSOR**, Vice President, External Affairs, BHP

**Alt: Mr Nick COTTS**, Senior Vice President, Sustainability and External Relations, Newmont Mining

**Ms Nathalie MOGNETTI**, Senior Vice President, Tax, TotalEnergies

**Alt: Mr Roberto NARDI**, Head for Consolidated Financial Statements and Reporting, Eni

**Mr James NICHOLSON**, Head of Corporate Responsibility, Trafigura Group

**Alt: TBC**

**Mr Christopher RICE**, Executive Vice President, Tax, Shell International Limited

**Alt: Ms Jacqui FREEMAN**, Vice President, Tax Policy, North Sea, bp

## BOARD SECRETARY

**Mr Mark ROBINSON**, Executive Director, EITI International Secretariat, Oslo

## OBSERVERS

**Mr Ibrahima BALDÉ**, Manager, Communications and Public Relations, EITI Senegal

**Mr Stephen BARRIE**, Deputy Chief Responsible Investment Officer, Church of England Pension Board, UK

**Mr Torge BARTSCHT**, Advisor, D-EITI Secretariat, Germany

**Mr Thomas BOAK**, Policy Officer, Resources and Energy Section, Department of Foreign Affairs and Trade (DFAT), Australia

**Mr Jan BOLLMANN**, Junior-Advisor, Extractives for Development, GIZ, Germany

**Ms Chantal BRATSCHI-KAYE**, Program Manager, Macroeconomic Support, Federal Department of Economic Affairs, State Secretariat for Economic Affairs SECO, Switzerland

**Ms Hannah CLAYTON**, Just Transition Lead, Strategy & Sustainability, bp

**Ms Sophie DONSZELMANN**, Senior Program Officer, The International Council on Mining and Metals Limited (ICMM)

**Mr César GAMBOA**, Senior Adviser, Derecho, Ambiente y Recursos Naturales, Perú

**Mr François GAVE**, Special Representative for Corporate Social Responsibility and the Social Dimension of Globalisation, Ministry of Europe and Foreign Affairs, France

**Ms Klara GIESLER**, Junior Advisor, D-EITI Secretariat, Germany

**Ms Mareike GOEHLER-ROBUS**, Advisor, D-EITI Secretariat, Germany

**Mr Benjamin HALSTENBERG**, Advisor, Extractives & Development, Deutsche Gesellschaft für Internationale Zusammenarbeit (GIZ) GmbH, Germany

**Mr Vincent HANAPPIER**, VP Corporate, Expertise and Methods in Exploration & Production Legal Department – TotalEnergies

**Mr Jan-Petter HOLTEDAHL**, Senior Adviser, Section for Governance, Department for Human Development, Norwegian Development Aid (NORAD), Norway

**Ms Nina INAMAHORO**, Operations Officer, Energy & Extractives, World Bank

**Mr Fred KABANDA**, Division Manager, Extractives, African Development Bank (AFDB), Cote D'Ivoire

**Mr Ryan MACKLER**, Department of State, USA

**Ms Isabelle MAGNE**, Head of Sector, European Commission, Belgium

**Mr Thomas MASBOU**, Policy Officer, Extractives Industry Governance, Ministry of Europe and Foreign Affairs, France

**Ms Aubrey MENARD**, Senior Policy Advisor, Natural Resource Justice, Oxfam, USA

**Ms Lindsey NAPIER**, Responsible Investment and Productive Sectors Team Leader, Foreign, Commonwealth and Development Office (FCDO), UK

**Ms Emily NICKERSON**, Deputy Director, Extractive Sector Transparency Office, Natural Resource Governance (NRCan), Canada

**Mr Oleksiy ORLOVSKY**, Programme Director, International Renaissance Foundation, Ukraine

**Ms Felicitas PAUL**, Junior Advisor, EITI Secretariat, Germany

**Mr Sergio PIAZZARDI**, Policy Officer, European Commission, Belgium

**Mr Sven Ulrich RENNER**, Manager, Extractives Global Programmatic Support (EGPS) Multi-Donor Trust Fund, World Bank

**Ms Olivia REYNOLDS**, VP Sustainability & ESG, Kosmos Energy

**Ms Haley RICE**, Consultant, Publish What You Pay (PWYP), UK

**Ms Mary Ann RODOLFO**, National Coordinator, Philippines

**Ms Ricarda RÖSCH**, Senior Policy Advisor, BMZ, Germany

**Ms Sylvie ROUCART**, Head of Tax Policy, Transparency and US Tax, TotalEnergies

**Ms Amy RUSSELL**, Senior Legal Counsel, Regulatory Compliance, bp

**Ms Oulimata SARR**, President, EITI National Committee, Senegal

**Mr Robert SCHLOTTERER**, Manager & Global Head, Extractives Industries, Energy & Extractives, World Bank

**Ms Marie-Christine SCHROEDER-VAN WAES**, Senior Legal Counsel Sustainability, Legal Services Corporate (LSC), Shell International

**Ms Jillian SMITH**, Policy Advisor, Extractive Sector Transparency and Taxation Division, Natural Resources, Canada

**Mr Genci TËRPO**, National Coordinator, Albania

**Ms Marième Diawara THIAW**, National Coordinator, EITI Senegal

**Mr Kouadio Julien TINGAIN**, Technical Director, EITI, Côte d'Ivoire

**Ms Stephanie-Agnes VAN-MIL**, Trainee, European Commission, Belgium

**Ms Paula VALENCIA**, Senior Development Officer, Global Affairs Canada

**Mr Reinout VAN VAERENBERGH**, Strategic Advisor Natural Resources and Financial Management, D5 – Humanitarian Aid and Transition, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Belgium

**Ms Hanna WESTERHAUS**, Policy Officer, European Commission, Belgium

**Ms Marie WETTINGFELD**, Policy Advisor, FÖS e.V., Germany

**Ms Charlotte WINKLER**, Trainee, GIZ, Germany

**Ms Petra YLIORTIMO**, Team Leader, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Finland

**Ms Deborah YU**, Director General, Explosives, Regulatory and Business Services Branch, Natural Resources Canada

## **EITI INTERNATIONAL SECRETARIAT**

All staff - (Please find the updated organogram for [EITI Staff at this link](#))