

EITI International Secretariat

09 September 2020

Third Validation of Ghana: Draft assessment by the EITI International Secretariat

Third Validation of Ghana: Draft assessment by the EITI International Secretariat

Table of Contents

Abb	reviations	3
1	Executive Summary	4
2	Scorecard	6
3	Background	7
4	Effectiveness and impact of EITI implementation	8
5	Review of corrective actions	10
5	1 Corrective action 1: Comprehensiveness (#4.1)	
	2 Corrective action 2: Quasi-fiscal expenditures (#6.2)	
Oth	er requirements assessed	16
5	.1 Assessment of license allocations (#2.2)	16
5	.2 Assessment of state participation (#2.6)	17
5	.3 Assessment of sales of the state's share and other in-kind revenues (#4.2)	21
5	.4 Assessment of SOE transactions (#4.5)	24
5	.5 Assessment of data quality (#4.9)	24
5	.6 Assessment of subnational transfers (#5.2)	26
5	.7 Assessment of beneficial ownership (#2.5)	28
5	.8 Assessment of project-level reporting (#4.7)	31
6	Conclusion	33
Anr	exes	34
Α	nnex A: Progress in addressing individual EITI Requirements	34
	nnex B: MSG members and composition	
Α	nnex C: Statutory subnational transfers of mineral royalties as of 2017	164

Abbreviations

- ASM Artisanal and Small Scale Miners
- BO Beneficial Ownership
- CAPI Carried and Participating Interest
- DWCTP Deepwater Tano Cape Three Points
- EITI Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
- E P Exploration and Production
- GHEITI Ghana Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative
- GNGC Ghana National Gas Company
- GNPC Ghana National Petroleum Company
- GRA Ghana Revenue Authority
- IA Independent Administrator
- IOC International Oil Company
- MC Minerals Comission
- MCAS Ghana Mining Cadastre Administration System
- MMDA Metropolitan, Municipal and District Assemblies
- MSG Multi Stakeholder Group
- MOF Ministry of Finance
- NOC National Oil Company
- NSC National Steering Committee
- PEP Politically Exposed Person
- RGD Registrar General's Department
- PAYE Pay As You Earn
- PC Petroleum Commission
- PHF Petroleum Holding Fund
- PLR Project Level Reporting
- PRMA Petroleum Revenue Management Act
- QFE Quasi Fiscal Expenditure
- SECO Swiss State Secretariat for Economic Affairs
- SOE State Owned Enterprise
- TOR Terms of reference
- VAT Value Added TaxQFE Quasi Fiscal Expenditure

1 Executive Summary

Ghana has implemented the EITI process for thirteen years with the aim of improving the country's management of its natural resources. Ghana was the first country to cover the mining sector in EITI reporting. Once oil was discovered in 2007 and production began in 2010, GHEITI expanded its scope to cover revenues from the petroleum sector. Ghana EITI has been key in providing increasingly comprehensive information on the country's extractive industries.

The government's engagement in the EITI process has been strong during Ghana's implementation of the EITI. Wide representation by government agencies has facilitated inter-agency cooperation on EITI-related issues and ensured that findings and recommendations from EITI reporting have been followed up on. Company and civil society representatives appear to be actively engaged in the implementation of EITI in Ghana, and GHEITI has been credited with building trust in the extractive sector between stakeholders involved as well as between communities and companies at the local level.

Stakeholders highlight in particular the implementation of recommendations relating to increased ground rents from mining, fixed mining royalty rates and capital gains taxation to cover license transfers as impacts resulting from Ghana's EITI implementation. EITI reporting in Ghana has highlighted gaps in the legal and fiscal framework related to the extractive sector and revenue management from oil, gas and mining resources, which led to enactment and amendment of some laws to support the government's transparency agenda. Ghana EITI has also been a key actor building momentum for the beneficial ownership transparency agenda in the country by working together with stakeholders including the Registrar general Department to establish a beneficial ownership registry.

While EITI Reports have provided new and relevant information on the extractive industries, the draft assessment suggests that there are some areas of improvement in meeting aspects of the EITI Requirements. Some of these areas relate to requirements on state-owned enterprises (SOEs), including transparency in state participation (2.6) and the sale of the state's share of production and other revenues collected in kind (4.2). Ghana's 2017-2018 EITI Report does not appear to have comprehensively covered these disclosures, while the national oil company's audited financial statement for 2018 has not yet been made publicly accessible. One of the reasons appear to be that the 2017-2018 report does not provide the same level of detail as had been disclosed during Ghana's second Validation, where Ghana had produced a commodity trading report that covered some of these disclosures. The SOE, Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC), is currently taking leadership on the SOE transaprency front and making efforts to improve its systematic disclosures of information required under the EITI Standard, which would help address the outstanding areas. GHEITI's plans for a second commodity trading report covering 2018 could help address gaps related to Requirement 4.2. Additionally, the draft assessments makes strategic recommendations aimed at improving reporting of transactions between the SOEs and the government (4.5). Finally, there are opportunities to further strengthen disclosures related to subnational transfers which (5.2) is important given the high public interest in revenue sharing. The draft assessment also reviews progress in meeting new requirements of the 2019 EITI Standard related to beneficial ownership and project-level reporting, areas that Ghana has championed, and provides recommendations for next steps.

There are several opportunities for addressing these challenges, both in the medium and longer terms, either through forthcoming EITI reporting or more sustainably through systematic disclosures through government and company systems. The paramount challenge will then be for Ghana EITI to continue shifting the focus of its reporting towards meeting EITI Requirements by referencing publicly available information, collating data and sources, providing additional context, and addressing any gaps or concerns about data quality. Participation in the EITI pilot on alternative approaches to EITI

reporting also provide further opportunity for Ghana to tailor EITI reporting to meet national objectives for the industry and stakeholder demands.

Having reviewed the steps taken by Ghana to address the two corrective actions as of the commencement of its third Validation on 27 February 2020 (Requirement 4.1 and 6.2), the International Secretariat's preliminary conclusion is that Ghana has made some progress but has not fully addressed the corrective actions. Further, the International Secretariat's preliminary assessment found evidence to suggest progress has fallen below the required standard on Requirements 2.6, 4.2, 4.9 and 5.2, and warrant consideration by the EITI Board to be assessed as meaningful progress. The preliminary assessment of beneficial ownership and project level reporting suggests that Ghana has achieved meaningful progress in implementing Requirement 2.5 and Requirement 4.7. The Secretariat welcomes the views of the Ghana EITI multi-stakeholder views on the draft assessment to ensure that these are accurate and reflect the status of the EITI process in Ghana.

The areas of improvement relate to beneficial ownership (Requirement 2.5), state participation (Requirement 2.6), comprehensiveness (Requirement 4.1), sale of the state's in-kind revenues (Requirement 4.2), data disaggregation (Requirement 4.7) data quality (Requirement 4.9) and subnational transfers (Requirement 5.2) and quasi-fiscal expenditure (Requirement 6.2). A strategic recommendation has been developed for Ghana to further improve its reporting on SOE transactions (Requirement 4.5).

The draft assessment was sent to the multi-stakeholder group (MSG) on 6 August 2020. Following comments from the MSG expected on 10 September 2020, the assessment will be finalised for consideration by the EITI Board.

2 Scorecard

EITI Requiremen	ts	l	_evel	ofpr	ogres	S	
Validation scored	card	No progress	Inadequate	Meaningful	Satisfactory	Beyond	Direction of
Categories	Requirements						Progress
MSG oversight	Government engagement (#1.1) Industry engagement (#1.2) Civil society engagement (#1.3) MSG governance (#1.4) Work plan (#1.5)						
Licenses and contracts	Legal framework (#2.1) Contract and license allocations (#2.2) License register (#2.3) Policy on contract disclosure (#2.4) Beneficial ownership (#2.5) State participation (#2.6)						- - - - - - +
Monitoring production	Exploration data (#3.1) Production data (#3.2) Export data (#3.3)						
Revenue collection	Comprehensiveness (#4.1) In-kind revenues (#4.2) Barter agreements (#4.3) Transportation revenues (#4.4) SOE transactions (#4.5) Direct subnational payments (#4.6) Disaggregation (#4.7) Data timeliness (#4.8) Data quality (#4.9)						- - - - -
Revenue allocation	Distribution of revenues (#5.1) Subnational transfers (#5.2) Revenue management & expenditures (#5.3)						- - -
Socio-economic contribution	Social expenditures (#6.1) SOE quasi-fiscal expenditures (#6.2) Economic contribution (#6.3)						- + -
Outcomes and impact	Public debate (#7.1) Data accessibility (#7.2) Recommendations from EITI (#7.3)						
	Outcomes & impact (#7.4)						-

No progress. All or nearly all aspects of the requirement remain outstanding and the
broader objective of the requirement is not fulfilled.Inadequate progress. Significant aspects of the requirement have not been implemented
and the broader objective of the requirement is far from fulfilled.Meaningful progress. Significant aspects of the requirement have been implemented and
the broader objective of the requirement is being fulfilled.Satisfactory progress. All aspects of the requirement have been implemented and the
broader objective of the requirement has been fulfilled.Outstanding progress. The country has gone beyond the requirement.This requirement is only encouraged or recommended and should not be taken into
account in assessing compliance.The MSG has demonstrated that this requirement is not applicable in the country.

Legend to the assessment card

3 Background

Ghana joined the EITI in February 2007 and became compliant with the EITI Rules in October 2010. Ghana's first Validation under the EITI Standard was concluded on 8 March 2017, in which the EITI Board found that Ghana had made 'meaningful progress' in implementing the EITI Standard.¹ Eight corrective actions were identified by the Board, which were assessed in a second Validation that commenced on 8 September 2018. In Ghana's second Validation the EITI Board found that Ghana had addressed six of the eight corrective actions, with two corrective actions remaining to be assessed in a third Validation. Ghana's third Validation commenced on 27 February 2020 and the EITI International Secretariat has assessed the progress made in addressing the two corrective actions established by the EITI Board following Ghana's second Validation.² The two corrective actions relate to:

- 1. Comprehensiveness (Requirement 4.1)
- 2. Quasi-fiscal expenditures (Requirement 6.2)

The EITI International Secretariat has also assessed Beneficial Ownership disclosure (Requirement 2.5) in accordance with the 2016 EITI Standard. Given that project-level disclosures (Requirement 4.7) has become mandatory for EITI Reports covering 2018 and onwards, this requirement was assessed for the first time. In addition, the International Secretariat also assessed other requirements due to concerns of backsliding:

- 1. License allocation (Requirement 2.2)
- 2. State participation (Requirement 2.6)
- 3. Comprehensiveness (Requirement 4.1)
- 4. Sale of the state's share of production or other revenues collected in kind (Requirement 4.2)
- 5. Transactions related to state-owned enterprises (Requirement 4.5)
- 6. Data quality (Requirement 4.9)

¹ EITI (201X), XXXX

² LINK TO BOARD DECISION FROM SECOND VALIDATION

7. Subnational transfers (Requirement 5.2).

Ghana has undertaken activities to address corrective actions, including:

- On 16 April 2019, the MSG discussed the finalisation of the ToR for the 2017 2018 EITI Report and discussed Beneficial Ownership (BO) implementation.
- On 9 May 2019, the MSG met to discuss procurement of the production of the 2017 2018 report and discussion on BO implementation.
- on 31 July 2019, the MSG organised a technical round table on the development of the 2017
 2018 data reporting templates, Beneficial Ownership Implementation and Mainstreaming.
- At its 8 August 2019 meeting the MSG to negotiated the contract and discussed ToR or the 2017 2018 report with the IA.
- On 15 November 2019, the MSG held a meeting to discuss the production of the 2017 2018 reporting including discussion on the MSG's position on whether there was a Quasifiscal Expenditure by GNPC during the reporting year.
- On 19 November 2019, GHEITI wrote to the Chief Director at the Ministry of Finance seeking clarifications on whether there were quasi-fiscal expenditures undertaken by GNPC in the period January 2017 to December 2018. On 19 November 2019, the MSG wrote a letter to GNPC requesting information on GNPC's quasi-fiscal expenditures in the period January 2017 to December 2019, the MSG wrote a letter to the Director of Budget, seeking clarifications of whether there were any quasi-fiscal expenditures between the Ministry of Finance and GNPC between January 2017 and December 2018, including in terms of payments for the 2016–2017 Western Region enclave road made in the 2017–2018 fiscal year.
- On 31 December 2019, Ghana EITI published two 2017 -2018 EITI Reports for oil and gas sector and for mining.
- On 26 February 2020, Ghana EITI published an addendum to the mining and oil and gas 2017-2018 EITI Reports

The following section addresses progress on each of the corrective actions. The assessment covers the corrective actions established by the Board and the associated requirements in the EITI Standard. The assessment follows the guidance outlined in the Validation Guide.³ The EITI International Secretariat has also assessed Beneficial Ownership disclosure in accordance with the 2016 EITI Standard and project-level disclosures because it has become mandatory for EITI Reports covering 2018 and onwards. In the course of undertaking this assessment, the International Secretariat has also assessed other requirements due to concerns of backsliding. In the Secretariat's view, there are evidence to suggest progress has fallen below the required standard in five of the requirements that warrant consideration to be assessed as meaningful progress by the EITI Board.

4 Effectiveness and impact of EITI implementation

<u>National EITI objectives</u>: EITI implementation has helped improve transparency in Ghana's extractive sector. Objectives of GHEITI's work plans are well aligned with national priorities and in line with the EITI Standard. The 2020 work plan published after the commencement of Validation⁴ aims to enhance transparency and accountability along the upstream extractives value chain including license allocations, beneficial ownership and systematic disclosure of data in line with the Government's agenda of improving domestic resource mobilisation and transparency in oil block allocations. The

³ EITI (2019), 'EITI Validation Guide', available at: <u>https://eiti.org/document/eiti-validation-guide</u>

⁴ Published 30 April 2020

work plan activities are also aligned with recommendations from previous EITI Reports and Validations.

<u>Impact.</u> The process of EITI implementation has played a role in improving domestic resource mobilisation such as the introduction of capital gains tax by the Ghana Revenue Authority, which has increased government revenues from the extractive industries. The EITI process has further increased transparency in the collection, disbursement and use of revenues from the extractive sector at both the national and sub-national levels. Notwithstanding disclosure gaps highlighted in this assessment, GHEITI Reports have highlighted weaknesses in the allocation and use of royalties by District Assemblies, leading to policy recommendations and the development of guidelines to address the anomaly. The EITI process has led GNPC to consider its disclosures more holistically and has started work to systematically disclose data required under the EITI standard through its website .

The EITI process has led to several tangible reforms including enactment of laws such as the Minerals Development Fund Act, the Petroleum Exploration and Production (E&P) Act, and the amended Companies Law. The 2006 Minerals and Mining Act (Act 703) is currently being reviewed to include transparency and accountability provisions such as contract disclosure and beneficial ownership, which have the potential to reduce corruption, tax evasion and illicit financial flows in the mining sector. Also, the establishment of the National Assay Laboratory, which contributes to the monitoring of gold production data, has the potential to build trust among stakeholders and impact government revenue-generation from mining activities.

Ghana's EITI implementation also increased collaboration among government agencies. The MSG has used its platform to engage the Registrar General Department on beneficial ownership implementation and has played a leading role in driving the beneficial ownership disclosures of the extractive sector. Further, the MSG led the advocacy for changes in the mining sector's fiscal regime. Similarly, EITI implementation has led civil society organisations on the MSG to place contract transparency on the government's agenda, which led to the establishment of a publicly-accessible petroleum register hosting 17 oil and gas contracts. Ghana has also made efforts to use EITI reporting as a diagnostic instrument to support reforms in the management of extractives licenses. The government of Ghana in 2018 conducted an open licensing round taking into consideration inclusion of provisions of BO information by the applicants.

Despite these achievements, EITI implementation has not yet achieved its potential of addressing some governance issues affecting the sector. The GHEITI 2018 annual progress report highlighted stakeholders' views that the EITI process has contributed to improving transparency, but that there appears to be no corresponding improvement in accountability in the use of extractive revenues. While there are recommendations in the GHEITI Report that could help address some of these concerns, they have not yet been implemented such as lack of regular disbursement of royalties by the Metropolitan, Municipal and District Assemblies (MMDAs) which stalls developmental projects that could positively impact mining communities. Another challenge identified in the EITI process is the lack of enforcement powers by GHEITI, which could have helped in ensuring full implementation of recommendations from past reports. Also, despite comprehensive disclosure of oil and gas contracts, there appears to be little use of contracts data by stakeholders to date.

There are opportunities to strengthen the impact of the EITI in the current context. There is potential to use the EITI multi-stakeholder platform to consult stakeholders more broadly and to support planned reforms in the mining sector, such as through the current review of the 2006 Minerals and Mining Act (Act 703) that could help strengthen subnational transfers of mining revenues in practice.

The MSG could build on the disclosure of contracts through the petroleum registry to develop government agencies' and CSOs' capacities to understand and use contract data to strengthen

enforcement of rules and regulations while providing incentives for officials to negotiate stronger terms in contracts.

GHEITI's full transition to systematic disclosures of EITI data through government and company systems would help in ensuring a more streamlined and efficient reporting process. Leveraging the 2019 EITI Standard, there is scope for EITI reporting to address issues of key public interest, including SOE transparency, revenue management, subnational transfer, gender and environmental reporting.

Conclusions, lessons learnt and recommendations:

Ghana EITI is playing an important role in ensuring transparency in the management of the extractive sector. The International Secretariat recognises the MSG's effort to use the EITI process to achieve broader national objectives of improving extractive industry governance. Ghana has made progress in addressing strategic recommendations from previous EITI Reports, such as the amendment of the PRMA Act that governs the upstream petroleum sector in Ghana, the enactment of Petroleum Exploration and Production (E&P) Act that led to the public disclosure of oil and gas contracts and improvement in disclosure of production and export data. GHEITI is encouraged to fully integrate EITI disclosures in government systems through systematic disclosures in the longer term. Ghana is encouraged to continue ensuring that the EITI process helps address broader national transparency agenda while strengthening transparency in the operations of its state-owned enterprise. GHEITI is encouraged to follow up on recommendations from Ghana EITI's commodity trading work, and further ensure the development and public accessibility of the beneficial ownership registry.

<u>Sustainability</u>

There is no perceived threat to sustainability of the EITI process in Ghana. The government's commitment to providing sustainable funding to EITI implementation is encouraging. The Vice President of Ghana and the Deputy Minister of Energy have reiterated the government's commitment to the EITI process during the 8th EITI Global Conference in Paris. This government commitment is evident in its commitment to fund about 80% of GHEITI 2020 workplan, with the remaining 20% funded by partners such as Switzerland's SECO.

5 Review of corrective actions

As set out in the Board's decision on Ghana's second Validation, the EITI Board agreed two corrective actions.⁵ The Secretariat's assessment below discusses whether the corrective actions have been sufficiently addressed. The assessments are based on the 2019 work plan, the 2017 -2018 EITI Reports, the 2018 annual progress report and, minutes of the MSG meetings from November 2018 to November 2019, alongside various documents submitted by the national secretariat to the International Secretariat, e-mail correspondence, and stakeholder consultations (in-person and via skype).

⁵ EITI (2019), 'The EITI Board agreed that Ghana has made meaningful progress in implementing the 2016 EITI Standard, with considerable improvements.'. Available at: <u>https://eiti.org/board-decision/2019-16</u> Accessed on 27 February 2020.

Since the commencement of its second Validation, Ghana has published two EITI Reports for its mining⁶ and petroleum⁷ sectors, covering fiscal years 2017 and 2018. Additionally, Ghana EITI also published an Addendum to the 2017-2018 EITI Reports.⁸

5.1 Corrective action 1: Comprehensiveness (#4.1)

In accordance with Requirement 4.1.c, Ghana should ensure that all companies making material payments to the government comprehensively disclose these payments in accordance with the agreed scope of EITI reporting. Ghana should clearly demonstrate that the selection of revenue streams for reconciliation ensures that all payments and revenues whose omission or misstatement could significantly affect the comprehensiveness of EITI reporting were included in the scope of reconciliation. Ghana should also ensure that reconciled financial data is consistently disaggregated by revenue stream, in accordance with Requirement 4.7. To strengthen implementation, Ghana is encouraged to consider the extent to which a clear quantitative materiality threshold for the selection of revenue streams for reconciliation would demonstrably ensure the comprehensiveness of reconciliation.

Findings from the previous Validation

The second Validation concluded that Ghana had made meaningful progress with considerable improvements in meeting Requirement 4.1. The Validation process found that Ghana had made progress in setting materiality thresholds for companies in reports for both mining and petroleum sectors. Exclusion of certain non-extractive payments to governments did not affect the comprehensiveness of reconciliation. Even though 13 payment types in the mining sector were aggregated into a single 'other licenses and fees', the low materiality threshold for selecting revenue streams combined with low value of combined payments meant that it was not considered sufficient for revisiting an assessment of Requirement 4.7 on disaggregation. However, the reconciliation process was only found to be comprehensive for the mining sector, as four material oil and gas companies accounting for 51.9% of total government petroleum revenues did not report. This lack of reporting for oil and gas revenues was considered to affect the comprehensiveness of reporting from companies in Ghana.

Progress since Validation

In its two most recent EITI Reports, covering mining and petroleum sectors respectively, Ghana EITI provides a clear and concise reasoning for materiality decisions. Both reports clearly state the basis and thresholds used for determining which companies and revenue streams are material.

Reports for both sectors provide full government disclosures for all extractive sector revenues, disaggregated by companies, revenue streams and *national* government agencies. The **mining** report explains the rationale for excluding indirect taxes even if above the materiality thresholds, as these are companies' payments to government on behalf of other parties (for example PAYE and VAT which

⁶ Ghana EITI (2019), 'Final report for 2017 & 2018 Mining sector'. Accessed on 14 February 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&download=369:final-report-for-</u>2017-a-2018-mining-sector&id=49:2018&Itemid=54. Accessed on 7 January 2020.

⁷ Ghana EITI (2019), 'Final report for 2017 & 2018 Oil and Gas sector'. Accessed on 14 February 2020. Available at: <u>https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&download=371:final-report-for-20172018-oil-a-gas-sector&id=49:2018<emid=54</u>. Accessed on 7 January 2020.

⁸ Ghana EITI (2020), 'Addendum to 2017/2018 GHEITI Reconciliation Reports – 26 February 2020'. Available at: <u>http://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&download=372:validation-addendum-201718-gheiti-reports&id=19:validation-reports&Itemid=54</u>. Accessed on 26 February 2020.

are on behalf of individuals and other companies). The exclusion of these revenue flows has already been assessed as reasonable in previous Validations. However, there may be some issues concerning the disaggregation of subnational **mining** revenues by individual region and District Assemblies (see *Requirement 4.7*). Additionally, for the **petroleum** sector, some non-material revenue streams were included in reconciliation (dividends, transportation revenues, EPA permit fees, and surface rentals). All other excluded revenue streams were not material, except excise duties, import duties, and port/shorebase costs. These revenues were excluded from oil and gas reconciliation on the basis of "not being extractive-related payments". However, upon consultation with the MSG, it was confirmed that there were indeed no revenue payments associated with these revenue streams, and that they were deemed as not material on this basis. The exclusion of these revenue streams based on their non-materiality ensures that the MSG has not excluded any revenue streams from reconciliation that could affect the comprehensiveness of disclosures.

The oil and gas report did highlight some issues and misstatements regarding corporate reporting for the EITI Reports, stating that Tullow Ghana Limited has not reported. During the course of stakeholder consultations, it was clarified that Tullow Ghana Limited did indeed report their payments to governments, and any reference to non-reporting was limited to specific revenue streams that were rectified during the reconciliation process. Other non-reported revenues were documented and not considered significant. Corporate reporting was covered through unilateral disclosure by government agencies, while non-reporting of government agencies only led to low omission of numbers. Oil and gas companies that did not report for 2018 included AGM Petroleum, Anadarko and Vitol, and initial reporting shows omissions related to these companies has led to reconciliation coverage dropping by 12% (USD 117m) of total reconciliation target. Ghana EITI sought to compliment the lack of companyreported data by government disclosures, which implied that missing company payments were USD 51.4m, or 5.3% of the reconciliation target. One of the company omissions, those of Anadarko, accounted for 5% of the reconciliation target, or 3% of total government revenues. The MSG, through consultations, confirmed their view of the reported data as comprehensive. Further, the national secretariat has shared documentary evidence⁹ of the efforts made by the MSG to collect data from companies that have not reported (AGM Petroleum, Vitol and Anadarko). The efforts include a letter¹⁰ written to the companies by the Ministry of Finance and a reminder email from GHEITI to Anadarko to provide information. The document shared also highlighted efforts by the Deputy Minister of Energy in pushing the companies to provide information which included convening a meeting with the Petroleum Chambers and the MSG, the minutes of meeting¹¹ shared with the International Secretariat shows an agenda item and discussion on challenges of reporting by some International Oil Companies. Also, the Deputy Minister of Energy held a meeting with Anadarko in Texas during the Annual Oil Conference urging them to provide data for the EITI Report.

For the **mining** sector, mining companies that did not report for 2018 included AngloGold Ashanti (Ghana) Limited, Kibi Goldfields Limited and West Africa Quarries Limited. Non-reporting of mining companies amounted to 0.5% of company payments, and 0.4% of government disclosures. The omission of per-District Assembly data on property rates, amounted to 0.6% of government disclosures in total.

⁹ Letter to the companies and and follow up email to Anadarko by GHEITI and Ana

¹⁰ Dated 2 October 2019

¹¹ Held on 29 October 2019

The reports do not provide overviews of where stakeholders may access audited financial statements of material companies. With the exception of GNPC's Consolidated financial statements for 2017¹², no other audited financial statement is adequately sourced for stakeholders to access directly.

Secretariat's Assessment

<u>The International Secretariat's preliminary assessment is that Ghana has not fully addressed the</u> <u>corrective action on comprehensiveness and has made meaningful progress with considerable</u> <u>improvements on Requirement 4.1</u>. The 2017-2018 EITI Reports covering mining and petroleum address the main issue identified during previous Validations. For 2018 non-reporting companies accounted for 5.3% of the reconciliation target in the oil and gas sector (12% according to preliminary data), representing a significant improvement in coverage of company reporting. However, while most companies accounted for 0.3% of non-reported figures, one company, Anadarko, accounted for at least 3% of government extractive revenues and represents a considerable reduction in reconciliation coverage. The Secretariat was also unable to locate reliable data on Anadarko's payments to government elsewhere. Still the report provides an assessment of comprehensiveness of the reconciled financial data.

The EITI Report could further improve on its documentation of public access to audited financial statements of material companies, though this does not influence the assessment of this requirement.

Given the continued significance of non-reporting companies' payments, the materiality of one company in particular, and in light of the EITI's Board's decisions in other comparable cases such as Albania¹³, the International Secretariat's assessment is the broader objective of comprehensive reconciliation has not been fully achieved and that Ghana has made meaningful progress in meeting this requirement.

In accordance with Requirement 4.1, Ghana should ensure that all material companies participate in EITI reporting. Ghana. All oil, gas and mining companies making material payments to the government are required to comprehensively disclose these payments in accordance with the agreed scope by the MSG. To further strengthen implementation, Ghana may wish to ensure that all decisions on the scope of reporting are based on materiality for payments that are on behalf of material companies, including excise duties, import duties and port/shorebase costs. Additionally, Ghana may wish to further document how stakeholders can access audited financial statements of material companies, with precise sources to public disclosures of such reports.

5.2 Corrective action 2: Quasi-fiscal expenditures (#6.2)

In accordance with Requirement 6.2, Ghana should undertake a comprehensive review of all expenditures undertaken by extractives SOEs that could be considered quasi-fiscal. Ghana should develop a reporting process for quasi-fiscal expenditures with a view to achieving a level of transparency commensurate with other payments and revenue streams.

http://www.gnpcghana.com/speeches/2017_financial.pdf. Accessed in February 2020.

¹² GNPC (2019), 'GNPC's 2017 Consolidated financial statements.' Available at:

¹³ EITI (2019), 'Board decision on Albania's second Validation'. Available at: <u>https://eiti.org/board-decision/2019-44</u>. Accessed on 9 July 2020.

Findings from the previous Validation

The second Validation concluded that Ghana had made meaningful progress on Requirement 6.2, with considerable improvements. The 2016 EITI Report confirmed that there were no quasi-fiscal expenditures in the mining sector in 2016. In oil and gas, the 2016 EITI Report provided a partial description of four types of expenditures that were categorised as quasi-fiscal, although these expenditures either did not take place in the year under review (2016) or did not appear to fit the categorisation of quasi-fiscal expenditures. It was noted that there were publicly available evidence of other GNPC expenditures in 2016 that could be considered quasi-fiscal, thus raising significant concerns over the comprehensiveness of quasi-fiscal expenditure disclosures in the 2016 EITI Report.

Progress since Validation

In its two most recent EITI Reports, covering mining and petroleum sectors respectively, Ghana EITI provides information on quasi-fiscal expenditures (QFEs). In addition, minutes of MSG meetings and other evidence of correspondence between GHEITI and GNPC were published with a view to provide clarity on, amongst other requirements, QFEs¹⁴.

Oil and Gas

According to the 2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report, no quasi-fiscal expenditures (QFE) were identified in the reporting period. While not justified in the report, minutes of MSG meetings (held on 15 November 2018 and published on 27 February 2020) and the Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator (IA) (published on 29 March 2020) confirm extensive MSG discussions on QFEs in Ghana. For instance, minutes of the MSG meeting of 15 November 2019¹⁵ clearly identified the MSG's agreement that none of GNPC's social expenditures for 2017-2018 qualified as QFEs. In addition, there is publicly available evidence of letters between the MSG and GNPC confirming the non-existence of QFEs in the reporting year, albeit published after the commencement of Validation. All letters and documentation of MSG discussions are available on GHEITI website.¹⁶

With no QFEs reported in the coverage of 2017-2018, the report provides updates on previously reported transactions under QFEs. It highlights steps taken to settle two out of four previously identified QFEs based on recommendations from the 2016 EITI Report. These included a) a repayment of a USD 100m loan guarantee involving the Karpower emergency powership and b) a request – with legal basis – from the MOF to GNPC to expunge a USD 50m loan from its records (See p.119 and 125 of the 2017-2018 oil and gas report for more details). It was also documented in the report that in line with the Corporation's role as the gas aggregator, GNPC was requested by the government of Ghana to financially support the construction of key roads within the western corridor to facilitate the evacuation of gas from the Ghana Gas Company at Atuabo. Stakeholder consulted confirmed the statement, noting that the USD 4.14m expenditure on the western corridor roads occurred in 2015 and was already reported in 2015/16 0il and Gas EITI Report. Thus, the 2017-2018 EITI Report only provided an update since it states that there were no QFEs during the 2017-2018 reporting years. The aforementioned disclosures suggest that Requirement 6.2 is not applicable to Ghana in the period under review (2017-2018).

¹⁴ GHEITI (2020). Validation Reports (1. Request for Information on the GNPC Quasi Fiscal Expenditures, 2. Re-Request for Information on GNPC Quasi Fiscal Expenditure and 3. Meeting on GHEITI Scoping Study Report and Templates finalization). Available at <u>https://tinyurl.com/w6gnmj8</u>. Accessed on 07.04.2020.

 $^{^{15}}$ Meeting held to discuss the scoping study report and reporting templates finalisation 16 ibid

However, existing evidence suggest otherwise. The 2018 report by the Public Interest and Accountability Committee (PIAC) ¹⁷ specify at least eight GNPC activities that could be considered QFEs. It must be noted that it remains unclear how many of these specifically took place in the year under review (2017 and 2018). The PIAC report highlights a GHC 102,537,354.00 (USD 21,270,220.92) GNPC expenditure on gas debt involving the government of government. The same report also notes two different payments to the MOF involving the enclave roads and loans and guarantees provided by GNPC to other SOEs totalling USD 325m as of end-2018 (p.104-105). Beside this information was sourced from GNPC in 2018, the exact dates, terms and conditions related to this expenditure is not clarified in the PIAC report. It is important to note that the GHEITI Report did not cover some of the expenditures within the same year under review. The PIAC report concludes that there is a consistent and disturbing pattern of political interference in the affairs of GNPC. As discussed below, GNPC 2017 AFS confirms that additional loans extended from GNPC to other related parties exist. Note 18 of the AFS indicates that GNPC is owed USD 290.9m from the government and its agencies in 2017. The PIAC report also maintains reservation regarding the manner in which the USD 50m loan was requested by the Ministry to be expunged by GNPC, noting that the arrangement was not based on mutual consent of the two parties (p.105). As a result of these, EITI Reports and other publicly available reports¹⁸ have cautioned about the tendency of the government to use GNPC to finance quasi-fiscal expenditures. According to the Ghana Institute of Fiscal Studies (IFS)¹⁹, "given GNPC's relatively strong balance sheet and cash flows, and the government's disinclination to issue sovereign guarantees in support of state-owned enterprises, the Corporation (GNPC) has become a de facto "guarantor of last resort" in the energy sector, providing guarantees on behalf of Electricity Company of Ghana (ECG), Volta River Authority (VRA), Tema Oil Refinery (TOR), and Bulk Oil Storage and Transportation Company (BOST)" (p.4).

Stakeholders noted that the 2018 PIAC report included mainly statements of caution and not comments on actual QFEs in the period under review.

Mining

According to the 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report and the scoping study, no QFEs were identified and no upstream SOEs existed in 2017 and 2018. As a result, QFEs were not covered in the report (p.87).

Secretariat's Assessment

<u>The International Secretariat's preliminary assessment is that Ghana has not fully addressed the</u> <u>corrective action on quasi-fiscal expenditures and has made meaningful progress in implementing</u> <u>Requirement 6.2.</u>

Ghana's 2017 -2018 EITI Report on mining and scoping study adequately document that no upstream state-owned enterprises existed in 2017 and 2018. As a result, no quasi-fiscal expenditures could take place. The International Secretariat is satisfied that Requirement 6.2 (QFE) is not applicable in Ghana's mining sector in the period under review (2017–2018). For the oil and gas sector, Ghana's

https://www.piacghana.org/portal/files/downloads/piac_reports/piac_2018_annual_report.pdfTh

https://www.piacghana.org/portal/files/downloads/piac reports/piac 2018 annual report.pdf

¹⁷ Public Interest and Accountability Committee (2018), 'Annual report on the management and use of petroleum revenues for the period 2018'. Assessed on 19 March 2020. Available at

¹⁸ Public Interest and Accountability Committee (2018), 'Annual report on the management and use of petroleum revenues for the period 2018'. Assessed on 19 March 2020. Available at

¹⁹ IFS Policy Brief No. 8(2019). Assessing Management of Ghana National Petroleum Corporation Revenue. Avaiable at http://ifsghana.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/09/Special-Policy-Brief-8.pdf

EITI Report indicates that quasi-fiscal expenditures did not occur in 2017 and 2018, albeit without a clear justification for this finding. The MSG published additional related documentation - albeit published after the commencement of Validation – confirming that no QFE occurred in 2017-2018. The International Secretariat recognises efforts made by the MSG to capture progress made to settle two previously identified quasi-fiscal expenditures based on recommendations from the 2016 EITI Report.

While the MSG noted that caution by civil society did not represent actual activities, the 2018 PIAC report appears to suggest that GNPC undertook several expenditures on behalf of the Government of Ghana and other SOEs during the years under review. The International Secretariat's assessment takes into consideration previous concerns raised during preceding Validations about the existence of QFEs and the specificity of current evidence of QFEs in the year under review (2017-2018). The inconsistency in information on QFEs provided in EITI Reports compared to other reliable source (such as PIAC and IFS) raises additional concerns on the comprehensiveness of QFE disclosures in EITI Reporting. Inconsistent information across the EITI Report and other official reports (such as PIAC and IFS) raise concerns that the wider objective of the requirement has not been fully met.

In accordance with Requirement 6.2, Ghana should continuously monitor and fully disclose any quasifiscal expenditure undertaken by extractives SOEs. Where such quasi-fiscal expenditures are identified, the MSG should work closely with GNPC, MOF, PIAC and stakeholders to clarify specific quasi-fiscal expenditures within the years under review and to develop a reporting process for quasifiscal expenditures with a view to achieving a level of transparency commensurate with other payments and revenue streams.

Other requirements assessed

In the course of undertaking this assessment, the International Secretariat has also considered whether there is a need to review additional requirements, i.e. those assessed as "satisfactory progress" or "beyond" in the second Validation. In particular, the Secretariat reviewed possible backsliding in the 2017-2018 EITI Report on all the requirements and found possible backsliding on Requirements 2.2, 2.6, 4.2, 4.5, 4.7, 4.9 and 5.2. The Secretariat's view is that there is evidence to suggest progress has fallen below the required standard on Requirement 2.6, 4.2, 4.9 and 5.2 and warrant consideration by the EITI Board, to be assessed as "meaningful progress".

5.1 Assessment of license allocations (#2.2)

Findings from the previous Validation

The first Validation concluded that Ghana had made satisfactory progress in meeting Requirement 2.2. The 2014 EITI oil, gas and mining reports, based on which the Validation was conducted, comprehensively disclosed the respective process for awarding licences. In the absence of any transfers of licenses within the reporting period, neither reports addressed the process of transferring licenses. License awards were comprehensively listed, including awards to companies that were not subsequently subject to EITI reporting. The efficiency and effectiveness of licensing procedures were discussed in the reports, leading to recommendations for change which had potentially contributed to ongoing sector reforms at the time.

Progress since Validation

The 2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI identifies six awards of oil and gas licenses in the 2017-2018 period. The technical and financial criteria for awarding licences are stated in the report, while the addendum to the report provides details and further clarity on evaluation framework and criteria used for awarding licenses as well as the bidding process. With regards to the competitive bidding process specifically, the report confirms the number and names of all companies that submitted bids. The bidding process and criteria are summarised in the report,. The report also confirms that no substantial deviations from the established framework occurred. A complete list of all oil and gas licenses, including awards granted before 2017 and licenses held by out-of-scope companies, are systematically disclosed on the Ghana Petroleum Register.

The 2017-2018 mining report lists 50 and 22 mining license awards in 2017 and 2018 respectively, disaggregated by type. A total of 206 and 364 applications were filed in 2017 and 2018 respectively (p.42). The process for awarding licences – on a first come first serve basis – is adequately described in the report. The exact technical and financial criteria for awarding mining license are detailed on the GHEITI website²⁰. The addendum to the report provides information of the names of license holders and transferees, disaggregated by type of license. However, the report did not provide information on the process, technical and financial criteria for transferring license. Nevertheless, the national secretariat and the MSG through consultation after the start of Validation clarified that the technical and financial criteria for allocation of mining license also applies to license transfer²¹.

See annex (A) for the full assessment. Secretariat's Assessment

subject to the Board's consideration of information published after the commencement of Validation. the International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that Ghana continues to make satisfactory progress on Requirement 2.2.

To strengthen implementation, the MSG should consider working with the Petroleum Commission and Minerals Commission to systematically disclose all relevant information on license allocation. The MSG should ensure that future EITI reporting consistently references relevant publicly available information.

5.2 Assessment of state participation (#2.6)

Findings from the previous Validation

The second Validation concluded that Ghana had achieved satisfactory progress on this requirement. Validation revealed that there were no material revenues related to SOEs in the mining sector. The EITI Report under review confirmed the materiality of state participation in oil and gas, and comprehensively listed all state participations upstream, including the lack of changes in ownership and interests. The terms associated with GNPC's equity participations were described in the EITI Report and GNPC's had published its audited financial statements for the period under review, for fiscal year 2016. The report also described all loan and guarantee arrangements.

https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&id=19:validation-reports<emid=54 ²¹GHEITI (27 July 2020). Technical and Financial Criteria Report. Avaiable on

²⁰ GHEITI (26 February 2020). Technical and Financial Criteria Report. Avaiable on

https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&id=19:validation-reports<emid=54

Progress since Validation

Since the commencement of Validation, in addition to the reports highlighted under section 5.1 of this assessment, Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC) published its 2017 Consolidated financial statements that were subject to external audit. The audit procedure for 2018 Consolidated financial statements was not concluded by the commencement of Validation and was not published by the time of writing. As the public accessibility of GNPC's audited financial statements (AFSs) was instrumental for Ghana's progress in previous Validations, the International Secretariat has reassessed Ghana's disclosures on state participation. GNPC nonetheless provided a copy of its 2018 AFS to the International Secretariat, although this information could not be taken into consideration in Validation given that it is not publicly accessible at present.²²

State participation remains not applicable for the mining sector. The EITI Report continues to show that state participation is applicable in the oil and gas sector. However, the 2017-2018 EITI Reports did not contain as comprehensive information for state-owned enterprises as in previous years. As indicated in the documentation section, Annex A, Ghana continues to follow a sound approach to assessing the materiality of SOEs, by using the same threshold for selecting all extractives companies for reporting. In effect, this means that there is *one* upstream petroleum SOE that is material; Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC). Other potential SOEs, such as Ghana National Gas Corporation (GNGC), are not material as they are not directly involved in extraction.

Most of the statutory rules related to GNPC's role and financial relationship with the government are described in the 2017-2018 EITI Report. However, as opposed to findings from Ghana's second Validation, EITI Reports no longer comment on GNPC's ability and practices related to seeking third-party financing, reinvestment in its subsidiaries, nor present a comprehensive overview of GNPC's interests in petroleum fields and other extractive companies.

Additional information on GNPC's subsidiaries and joint ventures were included in the addendum to the 2017-2018 EITI Report, but the addendum still did not fully clarify GNPC's interests in *all* contract areas, fields and/or projects. While the reports do not cover all details of the precise interests held by GNPC in various contract areas and fields, this is contained in the publicly accessible Petroleum Register, for contract areas and petroleum fields.²³ Additionally, GNPC's publicly-accessible AFS for 2017 indicate that dividend income from subsidiaries, third-party financing, and other transactions with other SOEs and government entities, is applicable and took place in 2017. Most of these instances are not described in the EITI reporting, and the Independent Administrator commented through consultations that details are contained in GNPC's AFSs. However, the 2018 consolidated financial statements have not been published to date and the EITI Report itself does not explicitly explain the lack of public disclosure of the AFS.

In terms of ownership and changes in ownership occurring during the period under review (2017-2018), the report indicates state interests in GNPC, GNGC and Prestea Sankofa (through GNPC). Also, GNPC's interests in several fields are indicated. The reports do not comprehensively describe GNPC's equity interests in fields and contract areas of Ghana, however these are comprehensively described in publicly accessible contracts contained in the Petroleum Register, as are the terms associated with

²² "Without prejudice to the ability of the Board to exercise their discretion to consider all available evidences, the Secretariat should not take into account actions undertaken after the commencement of Validation.". EITI (2019), 'Validation procedures'. Available at: https://eiti.org/document/eiti-validation-procedure.

GNPC's equity interests in the eighteen contract areas²⁴. The reports do not identify these public disclosures from which stakeholders may access this information.

The reports do clarify GNPC's interests in several subsidiaries and joint ventures, however, two instances are not sufficiently clear. The addendum includes additional information on GNPC's liabilities towards the Saltpond Offshore Producing Company Limited where GNPC held 45% interests, but neither the addendum nor other documentation fully explains GNPC's obligations towards this inactive company (as of 2016), even though plans for decommissioning and costs to GNPC were announced and possibly occurred in 2018. Similarly, GNPC investments in Prestea Sankofa in 2017 amounted to USD 795,905, with advances provided amounting to USD571,338. As confirmed by GNPC's AFS for 2017, this brings the total of GNPC's loans to Prestea Sankofa (amounts due from Prestea Sankofa) to USD 1.6m. EITI reporting does not contain this information regarding these transactions for either 2017 or 2018. Lastly, any policies and practices on dividends from any of GNPC's subsidiaries are not available through EITI reporting for either 2017 or 2018. GNPC's AFS confirms that such transactions did take place for 2017, but it is not clear for 2018 as the AFS has not yet been made publicly available.

The 2017-2018 EITI Reports, including the addendum and commentary from the Independent Administrator, considered some information surrounding an update on a USD 50m loan from GNPC to the Ministry of Finance. Additionally, a lack of payment from GNGC to GNPC for gas sales is identified. However, precise transactions related to these two issues are not mentioned in the reports. Stakeholder consultations confirmed that the USD 50m loan from GNPC was *not* reimbursed in 2018. Stakeholders reiterated that the report refers to a letter from the Ministry of Finance confirming that no reimbursement will be made regarding the loan, and directed GNPC to expunge the loan from its books. However, as this took place in late 2018, it is not sufficiently clear whether this impairment was recognised during the period under review or what terms and conditions were associated with the loan if still recognised.

According to GNPC's 2017 AFS, additional loans extended from GNPC to other related parties²⁵ exist. Note 18 of the AFS indicates that GNPC is owed USD 290.9m from the government and its agencies in 2017. The International Secretariat could only locate publicly accessible data for 2018 through PIAC's annual reports which seem to confirm that loans continue to exist, and suggests that additional expenses took place in 2018. However, it is uncertain whether these transactions are reported using a cash- or accrual-basis accounting. None of these are addressed in EITI reporting, indicating that EITI Reports are not comprehensive.

GNPC's *advances to subsidiaries* are covered under financial note 35 of GNPC's AFS for 2017, indicated that related-party transactions of USD 13.8 million were entered (not effectuated) from GNPC to its subsidiaries. The actual transactions during the year (USD 2.1 million) did not exceed the materiality threshold for 2017 (USD 5.5 million), for the 2018 fiscal year, data was not publicly accessible by the commencement of Validation. Stakeholder consultations indicated that comprehensiveness was ensured by comparison to management accounts, with an additional reference to the PIAC 2018 Annual report.²⁶ However, the report seems to indicate that GNPC effectuated, or incurred, more than USD 325m in expenditures on behalf of related parties (see pp.103-105 of PIAC 2018 Annual report and Annex A for details).

²⁴ Petroleum Commission (2017), 'Petroleum Register: Contract Areas'. Available at: <u>https://www.ghanapetroleumregister.com/contract-areas</u>. Accessed on 26 March 2020.

²⁵ Related parties refer to either subsidiaries and joint ventures, or other SOEs such as GNGC.

²⁶ PIAC (2019), 'PIAC 2018 Annual Report'. Available at: <u>https://www.piacghana.org/portal/5/25/piac-reports</u>. Accessed on 11 June 2020.

Several of the above issues are identified and remain a challenge for Ghana's EITI reporting, due to delays in GNPC's procedures for external audits. The Ghana Audit Service is responsible for appointing the external auditors of GNPC, which stakeholders claim was not done according to statutory timelines. Therefore the 2018 AFS was not published in accordance with relevant legislation. Combined with several claims by PIAC surrounding GNPC's accounts^{27,28,29,30,31,32}, it is concerning that Ghana EITI did not include aspects of GNPC's statutory audit process for the financial year 2018, nor an explanation of PIAC report findings beyond the social expenditures of the GNPC Foundation in its EITI Reports.

As noted, GNPC provided the International Secretariat with its 2018 consolidated audited financial statement in April 2020. Given that the 2018 AFS remains unpublished, the International Secretariat cannot consider their contents for this Validation assessment. However, a review of this document indicates that some concerns highlighted above are addressed in GNPC's 2018 AFS. These issues relate to changes in ownership of the GNPC, dividend incomes from *direct* subsidiaries, estimates of amounts due to or from related parties³³, third-party financing and other debts, loan guarantees related to third-party financing, and loans to related parties. The 2018 AFS also confirms concerns that Ghana's EITI reporting has not been comprehensive. The EITI reporting does not appear to have provided relevant information on, but not limited to, additional liabilities of government agencies towards GNPC, the role of GNPC in gas sales (including the nature of its financial relations with GNGC), additional outstanding payments and transfer of liabilities not covered by the EITI Report, and additional transfers with subsidiaries occurring in 2018.

Secretariat's Assessment

<u>The International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that progress has fallen below the required</u> <u>Standard on Requirement 2.6 and warrants consideration by the EITI Board, to be assessed as</u> <u>meaningful progress.</u> The 2017-2018 EITI Reports continue to confirm the non-applicability of state participation in the mining sector, as the government does not hold majority interests in any mining companies. EITI reporting continues to demonstrate that the state-owned enterprise, Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC), gives rise to material revenues in the oil and gas sector. The 2017-2018 oil and gas EITI Report does not seem to comprehensively list state participations in the oil and gas sector. Nonetheless, the government systematically discloses equity interests and terms associate with GNPC's interests in contract areas. Some information on GNPC's roles and financial relationship with the Government of Ghana is also lacking, especially its relationship with other SOEs that do not operate in the upstream extractive industries, such as Ghana National Gas Corporation (GNGC). The

 28 The Ghanaian Journal (2019), 'GNPC To Pay USD250m For Unused Gas'. Available at:

- ²⁹ PIAC (2019), 'Keep an Eye on GNPC To Check 'Wasteful' Expenditure PIAC To Parliament'. Available at: <u>https://www.piacghana.org/portal/12/13/366/keep-an-eye-on-gnpc-to-check-%E2%80%98wasteful%E2%80%99-expenditure-%E2%80%93-piac-to-parliament</u>. Accessed on 5 February 2020.
- ³⁰ PIAC (2019), 'GNPC LOST GHC40.5M TO NON-CORE INVESTMENTS IN 2YRS–IFS'. Available at: <u>https://www.piacghana.org/portal/12/13/328/gnpc-lost-ghc405m-to-non-core-investments-in-2yrs%E2%80%93ifs</u>. Accessed on 5 February 2020.

²⁷ GhanaWeb (2019), 'Stop using GNPC to finance quasi-fiscal expenditure - PIAC'. Available at:

https://www.ghanaweb.com/GhanaHomePage/business/Stop-using-GNPC-to-finance-quasi-fiscal-expenditure-PIAC-757953. Accessed on 5 February 2020.

http://www.theghanaianjournalonline.com/news_post.php?post=2651. Accessed on 26 March 2020.

³¹ GhanaWeb (2019), 'IFS demands new law to restrict GNPC's activities, ensure more transparency'. Available at: <u>https://www.ghanaweb.com/GhanaHomePage/business/IFS-demands-new-law-to-restrict-GNPC-s-activities-ensure-more-transparency-785547</u>. Accessed on 5 February 2020.

³² PIAC (2019), '2018 PIAC annual report', in particular section 9.1.2, pages 104-105. Available at: <u>https://www.piacghana.org/portal/5/25/piac-reports</u>. Accessed on 11 June 2020.

³³ Related parties include subsidiaries, government agencies and other companies owned by the Government of Ghana.

report no longer comments on GNPC's practices related to seek third-party financing, and to reinvestment in its subsidiaries and joint ventures, however, GNPC *is* able to seek third-party financing as stipulated in the publicly accessible Ghana National Petroleum Corporation Law, 1983 (PNDCL 64).³⁴ Some specific loans and guarantee arrangements are covered in EITI reporting, although audit reports of GNPC and PIAC's annual reports imply that more exist. the publicly available data have not been covered to the level of granularity required for EITI reporting. Therefore, and in combination with the delay in publication of GNPC's audited financial statements for 2018, it is not possible to conclude that EITI reporting or systematic disclosures respond comprehensively to all aspects of Requirement 2.6.

In accordance with Requirement 2.6.a.i, Ghana should ensure that an explanation of the prevailing rules regarding the financial relationship between the government and state-owned enterprises (SOEs) is publicly disclosed. This includes the statutory rules, and disclosures that indicate the practices of these rules on:

- Transfer of funds between the SOE(s) and the state.
- Retained earnings of each SOE.
- Reinvestment of SOEs in its own operations, and that of its subsidiaries and joint ventures.
- Third-party financing of its operations, and those of its subsidiaries and joint ventures.

In accordance with Requirement 2.6.a.ii, Ghana should clearly identify the government and SOE(s) level of ownership in mining, oil and gas companies and projects operating within the country's oil, gas and mining sector, including those held by any subsidiaries and joint ventures. Disclosures should detail the precise equity or participating interest, and the terms attached to these interests, including their level of responsibility for covering expenses at various phases of project cycles. Any changes in the form or level of state participation during the reporting period must be disclosed. Where the state and/or SOE(s) have provided loans or loan guarantees to extractives companies (short-, mid- or long-term), details on any transactions, and the terms of these loans and guarantees should be disclosed.

To strengthen implementation, and in accordance with 2.6.b, the MSG is expected to document the statutory rules and practices related to publication of SOEs' audited financial statements. The MSG is further expected to shed light on issues concerning auditing processes of SOEs, including any barriers to providing information and plans to overcome them, with the aim to improve on the timeliness and reliability of disclosures.

5.3 Assessment of sales of the state's share and other in-kind revenues (#4.2)

Findings from the previous Validation

The second Validation concluded that Ghana had achieved satisfactory progress on Requirement 4.2. Validation confirmed that there were no in-kind revenues in the mining sector in the period under review. In oil and gas, the 2016 EITI Report and the pilot commodity trading report disclosed volumes of the state's in-kind revenues for oil and gas collected in 2016, as well as the proceeds of the sales of these volumes, disaggregated by buyer. The pilot trading report reconciled sales of oil, not gas.

³⁴ Petroleum Commission (n.d.), 'Ghana National Petroleum Corporation Law, 1983 (PNDCL 64)'. Available at: <u>https://www.petrocom.gov.gh/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/ghana_national_petroleum_corporation_law_19831.pdf</u>. Accessed on 25 March 2020.

Progress since Validation

Since the commencement, in addition to the reports highlighted under section 5.1 of this assessment, Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC) published its 2017 Consolidated financial statements that were subject to external audit. The audit procedure for 2018 Consolidated financial statements was not concluded by the commencement of Validation and was not published by the time of writing (see Requirement 2.6 for further details). Although Ghana EITI also published a commodity trading report for 2015 - 2017, a planned second commodity trading report covering 2018 - 2019 will be produced in 2020.

Using the general materiality threshold, EITI reporting continues to identify the main and significant revenues applicable in the country which also include in-kind revenues of the state in the oil and gas sector. The two main fiscal instruments include GNPC's and the government's carried and participating interests (CAPI) in various fields and contract areas, as well as royalties collected in kind. These revenues are also applicable for gas sales, though the report does not disaggregate the state's share of in-kind revenues or sales of them. The report and stakeholder consultations confirm that physical delivery of gas did occur in 2017 and 2018, but that cash payment for these deliveries were not made. Still, the report does not address the materiality of these in-kind gas revenues of GNPC (or the state), even if the cash payments remain outstanding. Therefore, the report lacks adequate disaggregation of the physical deliveries of in-kind gas revenues, clearly distinguishing the volumes of the state's in-kind revenues from volumes of other companies. A calculation by the International Secretariat, using data from the EITI Report, suggests that the physical deliveries in 2018 alone had a total value of USD 85m.³⁵

Disclosures of oil sales are disaggregated by date, field, and per individual buying company, though not disaggregated by individual revenue stream. It is possible to calculate these values per revenue stream, due to granular data on CAPI and royalties in kind and per field.

The oil and gas EITI Report highlights some issues concerning gas sales revenues in 2017-2018, mainly that gas sales to GNGC did occur during the period, without any payment from GNGC to GNPC or other affiliated companies for gas sales from Jubilee, TEN and Sankofa Gye-Nyame (SGN) fields. In contrast, GNPC's AFS for 2017 indicate that there were *accrued* proceeds of gas sales that occurred in 2017, with no further explanation of where these proceeds originate from. This raises concerns regarding comprehensiveness of EITI reporting for 2018, as GNPC's 2018 AFS had not been published at the time of writing. Stakeholder consultations indicated that while there were gas deliveries in 2017-2018, no cash payment was effectuated to settle these sales. Stakeholders noted that the value of these gas sales had been included in GNPC's AFS given that they were based on accrual, not cash, accounting.

Additionally, there are some internal discrepancies in data in different sections of the oil and gas report that are not explained. GNPC's proceeds from oil and gas sales should be transferred directly to the Petroleum Holding Fund (PHF) and reimbursed to GNPC upon parliamentary approval. However, values associated with oil and gas sales (specifically table 4.20 in the 2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report) indicate that GNPC received USD 688.2m in 2018. However, when the report presents inflows to the PHF, the proceeds are valued at USD 814m. The table below highlights the differences between crude oil sales values (table 4.20, pages 104-105) by cargo, and reported receipts of the Petroleum Holding Fund (PHF, table 4.3, p.71) in the EITI Reports. They show that there is an apparent

³⁵ This represents a rough calculation based on numbers taken from the EITI Report, and does not represent either the state's share of in-kind natural gas, nor

Field	Payment	Table 4.3, 2018 values: Value (USD)	Table 4.20, 2018 values: Value (USD)	Difference (USD)			
Jubilee	Royalty	112,320,077.57	340,039,246.00	63,387,364.19			
Jubilee	CAPI	291,106,532.62					
TEN	Royalty	90,255,560.87	285,157,185.00	62,326,724.36			
TEN	CAPI	257,228,348.49		02,320,724.30			
SGN	Royalty	63,080,602.08	63,030,602.00	50,000.08			
SGN	CAPI	-	00,000,002.00	50,000.08			
Total		813,991,121.63	688,227,033.00	125,764,088.63			

discrepancy between GNPC's proceeds from oil sales, of USD 125,764,088.63, and what was lodged with the PHF.

This difference is not explained nor commented on in the oil and gas report, and could cause confusion for readers of the EITI Report. However, the differences in figures between Tables 4.3 and 4.20 may potentially be explained by two oil cargos exported in 2017, for which payment may have only been made in early 2018. In table 4.20, the last lifting of the Jubilee field and TEN field respectively, amount to USD 63,387,364 and USD 62,276,355 (pp.104-105). In comparison with the differences highlighted in the table above, the receipts of the PHF therefore included all proceeds of sales in 2018, including the proceeds of sales of the last liftings in 2017. However, TEN- and SGN-related values seem to have been reported in aggregate under the TEN-field liftings of table 4.20 for 2017. None of these differences are explained in the EITI Report.

Secretariat's Assessment

<u>The International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that progress has fallen below the required</u> <u>Standard on Requirement 4.2 and warrants consideration by the EITI Board, to be assessed as</u> <u>meaningful progress.</u> The 2017-2018 EITI Report on oil and gas presents two main in-kind revenue flows in the oil and gas sector. It includes volumes and values associated with sale of the state's inkind revenues for oil, including a reconciliation of total liftings, and these are presented in the EITI Report. Significant differences between the values of the state's share of in-kind sales, and the values transferred to the Petroleum Holding Fund (PHF), is not adequately described in the report, causing confusion as to which revenues were collected in 2017 versus 2018. Lastly, the EITI Standard requires that volumes collected and sold, and the proceeds of those sales are comprehensively and reliably disclosed, disaggregated by buyer. Even though no cash payments were lodged with GNPC or the PHF for the year under review, the physical deliveries of natural gas volumes have not been disaggregated to the same levels as oil sales.

In accordance with Requirement 4.2, the government, including state-owned enterprises, are required to disclose the volumes sold and revenues received, for oil and gas. The published data, per commodity, must be disaggregated by individual buying company and to levels commensurate with the reporting of other payments and revenue streams (4.7). Furthermore, Ghana should provide further clarity to any discrepancies between proceeds of oil and gas sales and transfers to the PHF. The MSG should in future consider assessing the reliability of in-kind revenues data and consider further efforts to address gaps, inconsistencies and irregularities in the information disclosed in accordance with Requirement 4.9 (4.2.d).

5.4 Assessment of SOE transactions (#4.5)

Findings from the previous Validation

The second Validation concluded that Ghana had achieved satisfactory progress on Requirement 4.5. Validation found that the 2016 EITI Report disclosed and reconciled companies' in-kind payments to GNPC, even when identifying significant gaps the reconciliation of in-kind gas revenues. The report confirmed the lack of dividend payments from GNPC and disclosed budget transfers to GNPC, though these were not reconciled. Even so, these transfers from state budget to GNPC were reflected in both GNPC's 2016 audited financial statements and the 2016 national budget.

Progress since Validation

SOE transactions are not applicable for Ghana's mining sector, given the lack of material SOEs (see *Requirement 2.6*). EITI reporting for the oil and gas sector does not use a separate materiality threshold to determine whether SOE transactions are material, and thus the general materiality threshold for revenue streams is applied (USD 9 739 802 in 2018).

The EITI Reports indicate that GNPC's transfer of proceed from oil and gas sales form part of SOE transactions, but furthermore does not identify other material transactions between SOEs, nor between SOEs and government. However, GNPC's transfers to the PHF, which amounted to USD 814m in 2018, are reconciled, as are GNPC's receipts of in-kind revenues from companies. Budgetary transfers to GNPC are also unilaterally disclosed, though not reconciled. However, the Secretariat did locate publicly accessible budget execution reports confirming these transactions.³⁶

See Annex (A) for detailed assessment

Secretariat's Assessment

The International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that Ghana continues to make satisfactory progress on Requirement 4.5.

To strengthen implementation, Ghana is recommended to revisit their procedures for ensuring comprehensive disclosure and reconciliation of transactions of state-owned enterprises, with the aim to ensure that all transactions of SOEs with government – and payments from extractive companies, subsidiaries and joint ventures – are reported and considered for materiality. Where material, Ghana should ensure that all transactions are reported and reconciled.

5.5 Assessment of data quality (#4.9)

Findings from the previous Validation

The first Validation concluded that Ghana had made satisfactory progress in meeting Requirement 4.9. The 2014 oil, gas and mining EITI Reports, based on which the Validation was conducted, comprehensively covered the quality of data presented. The reports confirmed that all templates

³⁶ Ministry of Finance (2019), 'End-Year Report on the Budget Statement and Economic Policy of the Republic of Ghana for the 2018 Financial Year'. Page 17. Available at: <u>https://www.mofep.gov.gh/sites/default/files/reports/economic/2018-CONSOLIDATED-MDAs-ANNUAL-BUDGET-PERFORMANCE-REPORT-Final.pdf</u>. Accessed on 9 July 2020.

submitted met the previously agreed completeness, integrity, and reliability tests, concluding that the data provided was reliable.

Progress since Validation

In addition to the EITI Reports and associated addendum, Ghana EITI also published relevant minutes of MSG meetings³⁷ and discussions on the TOR for the independent Administrator³⁸, with a view of providing clarity on, amongst other requirements, data quality. The additional documentation were published following the commencement of Validation.

The Terms of Reference (TOR) for the IA for producing the 2017-2018 Oil and Gas and Mining EITI Reports suggest that the MSG provided adequate oversight of the reporting cycle. The approach and methodology for reconciliation is outlined in the reports and are in accordance with the standard TOR; subject to credible, independent audit, applying international auditing standards. A draft summary data file for the 2017-2018 report was submitted to the International Secretariat at the time of review.

In terms of quality assurance mechanism applied, the reports describe the audit and assurance procedures in companies and government entities participating in EITI reporting (see details in Annex A, Requirement 4.9). According to the reports, the Auditor General did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report. With regards to companies, the reports confirmed that companies are audited by independent auditors while some International Oil Companies (IOCs) are also listed in stock exchanges. A review by the Independent Administrator of all completed templates (government/companies) based on four data reliability checks (Completeness, Relevance, Correctness/accuracy and certification) also found them satisfactory (p.83 and 82 of the oil, gas and mining reports respectively).

For the **mining** report, there is evidence of an independent assessment of comprehensiveness and reliability by the Independent Administrator. For example, the Independent Administrator confirmed that the absence of the three companies and the four District Assemblies did not have any significant effect on the reconciliation process in terms of comprehensiveness (See more on comprehensiveness of reconciliation under 4.1). While this could be implied, the IA did not provide a categorical statement on whether the data presented in the EITI report were comprehensive. In terms of reliability, the IA noted that the companies' templates submitted (with exception of three in-scope companies that did not report) were embossed with company stamps and signed. It also stated that all government submitted templates passed the data reliability tests and that based on these, the data used for the reconciliation exercise overall was high in quality. The same is not replicated for the **oil and gas** sector. While the effect of non-reporting and out-of-scope companies are captured in the report (see additional information on comprehensiveness of oil and gas sector above under 4.1), the IA does not provide a categorical statement on whether the data presented in the EITI oil and gas report were comprehensive. While the procedures to ensure reliability are presented, the report does not include a statement or assessment of data comprehensiveness and reliability by the Independent Administrator for the oil and gas sector. There is no discussion on whether any companies or government entities did not certify or endorse their reporting templates. The IA does not identify any lack of certification nor how any gaps might affect the overall reliability of the reconciled data. As part of stakeholder consultations, the IA indicated that all government agencies reported appropriately and since no agency went against the requirements of the MSG on assurances, there was no need to state

³⁷ GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (<u>Minute of Meetings</u>). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020

³⁸ GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website (<u>Validation</u> <u>Report</u>). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020.

categorically that all of them complied with the requirements, as it was implied. It was also noted by the IA as part of consultation that the steps undertaken to assure data quality and comprehensiveness of government data in the 2017-2018 report were similarly steps employed in the 2016 report which was found to be satisfactory. The MSG noted that the data presented was reliable and comprehensive and that all reporting entities certified their templates.

Contextual information appears to be adequately sourced for both sectors, albeit not for all information. For example, the Minerals and Mining Act, 2006 is frequently mentioned in the Mining sector report without specific reference (source/link). Lastly, the 2017-2018 reports provide a status update on the implementation of recommendations from previous reports and highlight new recommendations.

Secretariat's Assessment

The International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that progress has fallen below the required Standard on Requirement 4.9 and warrants consideration by the EITI Board, to be assessed as meaningful progress. Aspects of the requirement have been adequately implemented: there is MSG oversight in the procurement of the IA and agreeing measures to ensure adequate data quality and assurances in accordance with the standard procedures endorsed by the EITI Board. There is evidence to suggest that recommendations made through EITI reporting are followed up. There is evidence to suggest that an assessment of the impact of non-reporting by material companies and out-of-scope companies was conducted by the IA for both sectors. However, there is no evidence to suggest an independent assessment of data reliability in the oil and gas EITI Report. The oil, gas and mining reports also lack a clear statement from the IA confirming the comprehensiveness and reliability of the overall data presented. Nonetheless, as the IA indicated in consultations that all government agencies reported fully in adherence with the MSG's approved assurance mechanism, reliability can be implied, even if not explicitly stated. Given the importance of reconciliation and independent reliability and comprehensiveness assessments to EITI Reports, these gaps warrant consideration by the EITI Board, for an assessment of meaningful progress.

In accordance with Requirement 4.9 and the standard Terms of Reference for Independent Administrators, Ghana should ensure that the Independent Administrator provides an assessment and categorical statements confirming the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented in future EITI reporting.

To strengthen implementation, Ghana may wish to ensure that non-financial (contextual) information in future EITI reporting are clearly and consistently sourced and that there is adequate guidance on how to assess any externally referenced information and data. In addition, the MSG are encouraged to ensure that summary data is consistently published at the same time with associated EITI Reports. Ghana is encouraged to systematically disclose the relevant information relating to the statutory rules on data quality assurance mechanisms.

5.6 Assessment of subnational transfers (#5.2)

Findings from the previous Validation

The first Validation concluded that Ghana had made satisfactory progress in meeting Requirement 5.2. Ghana's first Validation found that the 2014 Ghana EITI mining report disclosed sub-national

transfers and the applicable revenue sharing formula. No evidence suggests such revenues existed in Ghana's petroleum sector.

Progress since Validation

Since the first Validation, the distribution formula and flow of payments have changed for the Mineral Development Fund; mainly for mineral royalty payments. Report analyses early on documented concerns regarding disclosures for the 2017-2018 Ghana EITI mining report. No additional developments have occurred, beyond the reports highlighted under chapter 5.1 of this assessment.

Subnational transfers remain not applicable for the oil and gas sector, although the EITI Report continues to show that subnational transfers are applicable in the mining sector. The most recent mining report for Ghana identifies two revenues that are applicable for subnational transfers, according to a specific revenue-sharing formula. **Ground rent** is payable at a statutory rate to the Office of the Administrator of Stool Lands (OASL), whose central office retains 10% while distributing the remainder to District Assemblies (49.5%), stool lands (22.5%) and Traditional councils (18%). While the report is quite clear regarding the statutory distribution rates and formula, it only reports the amounts due versus paid for the Western and Eastern regions in 2018. However, it *is* clear that material companies also operated in Ashanti, Brong Ahafo, and Central regions, though District Assemblies of these regions are not covered by the report. Stakeholder consultations revealed that *regions themselves* do not receive any transfers, and the MSG maintained a position that all District Assemblies relevant for Ground Rent transfers were covered by the EITI Report.

Mineral royalties are payable by all extractive companies to the consolidated government treasury. The 2017-2018 EITI reports do not contain much information regarding the statutory distribution of mineral royalties. However, according to the addendum to the EITI Report, 20% of these payments are allocated to the Mineral Development Fund, which is further split between the OASL (half of the 20%) and other mining sector institutions (half of the 20%). The OASL still retains 10% of its share of mineral royalties (i.e. 1%). The OASL further redistributes mineral royalties as per the same formula as indicated for ground rents. As of 2017, distributions for "other mining sector institutions" changed. Their half of the 20%, i.e. 10%, now is to be split as indicated in Annex C.

The addendum report is not clear in its interpretation of this distribution. Additionally, the EITI Reports only disaggregate the accrued versus actual distributions of mineral royalties by districts or other entities in some regions, and not by the mining sector institutions. The regions covered in the 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report are Ashanti, Brong Ahafo, and Western region, while the Central and Eastern regions do not seem to be covered. Stakeholder consultations indicated that accrued Mineral Royalties are available through the OASL website. However, the reports for different subnational entities contained tables without description, and it is not clear whether the data enables verification of estimated transfers in accordance with the relevant revenue formulas, versus actual transfers.

Secretariat's Assessment

<u>The International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that progress has fallen below the required</u> <u>Standard on Requirement 5.2 and warrants consideration by the EITI Board, for an assessment of</u> <u>meaningful progress.</u> Subnational transfers are not applicable in the oil and gas sector. However, the report indicates applicable subnational transfers in the mining sector and discloses the statutory and actual distributions according to the formula for some regions. However, District Assemblies or other entities located in several regions are not reported for in terms of ground rent and mineral royalties, even if material companies did operate in the regions. In addition, the addendum clarifies changes to the statutory rules for distributions as of 2017, but it is not coupled with improvements in financial disclosures.

In accordance with Requirement 5.2, Ghana should ensure that subnational transfers of extractive sector revenues are publicly disclosed, when such transfers are mandated by national law or other revenue sharing mechanism. In addition, Ghana should publish the detailed transfer amounts calculated in accordance with the relevant revenue formulas to each subnational entity under both the ground rent distributions of the Office of the Administrator of Stool Lands and for mineral royalty distributions that are distributed from the Mineral Development Fund. Lastly, Ghana should ensure actual transfers are disclosed in detail and summarised, highlighting any deviation from statutory calculations.

5.7 Assessment of beneficial ownership (#2.5)

Adherence to Requirement 2.5 on Beneficial ownership is assessed in Validation as of 1 January 2020 as per the framework agreed by the Board in June 2019³⁹. The two-track approach assessment consists of a technical assessment focusing on initial criteria and an assessment of effectiveness.

Findings from the previous Validation

Progress on this requirement was highlighted as part of Ghana's first Validation but did not have a material implication on the overall assessment as implementing countries were not yet required to address beneficial ownership. Ghana's first validation noted that the EITI's two-stage requirement on beneficial ownership disclosure (roadmap by 1 January 2017 and disclosure of beneficial owners in EITI Reports from 1 January 2020) appears to be well understood in Ghana, both across the NSC and among other stakeholders. Stakeholders in Ghana appeared committed to and in favour of beneficial ownership transparency and prospects for a roadmap toward disclosure being presented before the end of 2016 seemed good.

Technical Assessment

The technical assessment is fully discussed in Annex A below.

Oil, Gas, and Mining

The 2017-2018 Oil and Gas and Mining EITI Reports refer to the Companies Act, 2019 (Act 992) which contains a definition and provides the legal basis for establishing and maintaining a public register of beneficial owners. Though there is no indication in the report on where or how to access the contents of the Companies Act, the Act is available on the GHEITI website since 24 February 2020⁴⁰. The GHEITI Beneficial Ownership Roadmap published in 2016⁴¹ provides a definition while the addendum to the EITI Report includes an updated definition of beneficial ownership based on the

⁴⁰ Ghana Companies Act 2019 (Act 992): Availlable on GHEITI Website <u>https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&id=40:acts-a-policy-documents<emid=54</u>

³⁹ Board decision 2019-48/BM-43: <u>https://eiti.org/board-decision/2019-48</u>

⁴¹ Ghana EITI beneficial ownership roadmap. Available at

http://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&download=288:ghanas-beneficialownership-road&id=18:workplans&Itemid=54 Assessed on 22.03.2020

Companies Act (992). These demonstrate that there is a clear government policy to disclose beneficial ownership information in Ghana. The reports demonstrate that the MSG requested all material reporting companies to disclose beneficial ownership information though it is silent about the level of details requested. According to stakeholders, the Petroleum (Exploration and Production) Act, 2016 (Act 919) requires BO disclosures from applicants/bidders (both successful and unsuccessful) for oil and gas licenses. The Minerals and Mines Act 2006 (703) is currently under review and is expected to entrench BO disclosure for all companies. The addendum provides a link ⁴² to data collection template (BO Declaration form) which proved that all relevant data points were requested as per the EITI Standard. Minutes of MSG meetings and the Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator - published after the commencement of Validation - confirmed extensive MSG internal discussions and active engagement with the Register General Department on Beneficial ownership disclosure.

In terms of actual disclosures, all material EITI reporting oil and gas companies were requested to disclosure their Beneficial owners. Out of those, five extractive companies , all of whom are partners in the Jubilee field, provided beneficial ownership information. The data includes names of companies, percentage stake of the company (or affiliates) in the project, and whether companies are listed on stock exchanges. The report falls short of providing direct references (links) to listings online. Three (Tullow, Kosmos and Anadarko) of the five companies are noted to be publicly listed. One company (GNPC) is the national SOE while another (Petro SA) is noted to be owned by the government of South Africa. The disclosure did not identify any Politically exposed person (PEPs). In terms of actual disclosures in the mining sector, at least 12 companies out of all material reporting mining companies reported information on beneficial ownership. The data includes names of legal/beneficial owners, stake of the company in the project, whether and which stock exchange they are listed in (where applicable, names of stock listings are included but not links). Some descriptive information is provided for companies that are not publicly listed. The disclosure did not identify any Politically exposed person (PEPs).

In terms of data quality, the reports are silent about assurance mechanisms adopted. However, stakeholders noted during consultations that the Registrar General's Department (RGD) developed self-regulatory mechanisms, including systems for self-correcting checks of BO data, extra checks for high-risk sector such as the extractives sector and independent checks by sector regulators such as the Petroleum Commission and Minerals Commission. No specific information or reference is provided on the details of such extra checks. It can be confirmed that the BO Declaration form used by the Registrar General's Department includes an attestation by a senior company official (Director). In addition, the form includes an adequate level of details to ensure data completeness and outlines liability for defaulters. While the report did not discuss the comprehensiveness and reliability of data presented, the addendum notes that the information collected is "quite comprehensive" and reliable because most of the companies were listed on various stock exchanges. There is no evidence in the minutes of MSG meetings to demonstrate that the MSG also assessed the comprehensiveness of the data disclosed. The report notes that stakeholders, including the Registrar General's Department, will continue to address gaps but no clear steps are indicated. The 2020 workplan, published on the GHEITI Website on 30 April 2020⁴³, indicates that the MSG will support the coordination and implementation of the beneficial ownership roadmap, but actual activities to be undertaken are not clearly stated.

 ⁴² Beneficial Ownership Forms. Available on Register General's Department website: <u>https://www.rgd.gov.gh/forms.html</u>
 ⁴³ GHEITI 2020 workplan. Available at

https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&id=18:workplans<emid=54

Assessment of effectiveness

To establish whether implementation of BO disclosures are addressing the overall objective of Requirement 2.5, an assessment of effectiveness was conducted in line with the two-phased approach. The International Secretariat's preliminary assessment of the technical requirements suggest gaps in the actual data disclosed and limited evidence of an assessment by the MSG of the comprehensiveness and reliability of the data disclosed. On disclosures, the data appears to have significant gaps with regards to comprehensiveness. Several data points were not provided for some companies. For instance, Ghana Bauxite Company Ltd stated that their beneficial owner owned 99% of its shares. However, there is no additional information on the owner, such as address, nationality, date of birth or other. In other instances, legal owners, instead of actual beneficial owners, are stated for Ghana Bauxite Company Ltd. In addition, no links were provided for publicly listed oil, gas and mining companies. On the MSG's assessment, the IA's statement regarding the comprehensiveness of reporting is not supported with adequate evidence. While the addendum and workplan noted that stakeholders, including the Registrar-General's Department, will continue to collaborate to address gaps, no clear steps are noted. Evidence of reliability is discussed for stock listed companies, though relevant link are not provided. There is no evidence in the minutes of MSG meetings to demonstrate that the MSG has assessed the comprehensiveness of the data disclosed.

In terms of implementation, the objectives of BO disclosure have remained a priority for Ghana since 2016. Ghana participated in the EITI beneficial ownership pilot and developed its roadmap⁴⁴ to guide implementation. As part of the implementation of Ghana's roadmap, GHEITI has engaged with stakeholders, with documentation suggesting that GHEITI has been coordinating and collaborating with key institutions including the Registrar-General's Department (RGD), Ghana Oil and Gas for Inclusive Growth (GOGIG), Strengthening Action Against Corruption (STAAC), Financial Intelligence Centre and relevant CSOs⁴⁵. GHEITI was instrumental in the development and passage of the 2019 amendments to the Companies Act 2016, which laid a legal basis for establishing a beneficial ownership register. Minutes of MSG meetings - published after the commencement of Validation suggest extensive MSG discussions on BO, including in developing the BO template and engaging with relevant government entities such as the RGD⁴⁶. These engagements have influenced the decision by the RGD to select the extractive sector for pilot data collection on BO. There is sufficient evidence in the EITI Report and minutes of MSG meetings to demonstrate progress in disclosing BO data. The MSG and RGD confirmed the progress in finalising the national registry to systematically disclose BO data and noted that it is expected to be in operation by October 2020. The Financial Action Task Force (FATF) Mutual Evaluation Report (MER) for 2017/2018⁴⁷ (based on information provided in October 2016) recognises some of the progress made in the implementation of BO including the development of a legal basis for BO data collection and disclosure.

Comments from stakeholders consulted suggest misunderstandings regarding the timeline for the assessment of BO at Validation. For example, the IA noted that an assessment of BO data comprehensiveness was de-emphasized since BO compliance was considered effective only in the 2020 reporting year. The argument was supported by the MSG who asserted their understanding that BO disclosure was required from January 2020 and as such, an assessment of 2017-2018 reporting

⁴⁴ Ghana EITI beneficial ownership roadmap:

⁴⁵ Implementation Report:

https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&id=15:implementationreports<emid=54 See for example Draft Report on Beneficial Ownership Workshop

⁴⁶ Minutes of MSG Meetings (See for example meeting on 9.05.2019). Available at https://tinyurl.com/vhlhqc2. Published

on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020

⁴⁷ Financial Action Task Force (FATF) Mutual Evaluation Report (MER) for 2017/2018: <u>https://www.fatf-gafi.org/media/fatf/documents/reports/mer-fsrb/GIABA-Ghana-MER-2018.pdf</u>

years should not include BO. It is noteworthy that as per the two-phased framework agreed by the Board in June 2019, Validations in 2020 are expected to document progress towards BO disclosure.

Secretariat's Assessment

The Secretariat's preliminary assessment is that Ghana has made meaningful progress on Requirement 2.5. Significant elements of the initial criteria for assessing Beneficial ownership disclosure have been met. A clear government policy and legal basis have been given to beneficial ownership disclosure in Ghana. All material companies have been requested to disclose information based on a comprehensive template, that includes assurance mechanism. Actual disclosures show progress, albeit existing gaps and missing data points. While efforts have been undertaken to promote effective disclosures, there remain substantial gaps. No evidence exists of the MSG's assessment of comprehensiveness and reliability as well as plans to overcome gaps or weaknesses in reporting. However, an opportunity remains for the MSG to identify the full scope of gaps and develop clear steps to address the requirement. The International Secretariat takes cognizance of the previous and ongoing efforts by the RGD, GHEITI and other stakeholders to systematically disclose beneficial owners through an online register.

In accordance with Requirement 2.5, Ghana should work together with the Registrar General's Department and other relevant stakeholders to ensure that extractive sector beneficial ownership information is comprehensively and publicly disclosed. This should include the identity(ies) of their beneficial owner(s), the level of ownership and details about how ownership or control is exerted and information about Politically Exposed Persons (if any). Information on how to access publicly listed companies' information should be made available. The MSG should fully assess existing disclosures and document clear steps to address gaps.

To strengthen implementation, Ghana is encouraged to work with the RGD to ensure systematic disclosure of beneficial ownership information in accordance with Requirement 2.5.

5.8 Assessment of project-level reporting (#4.7)

Findings from the previous Validation

The first Validation found that Ghana had achieved satisfactory progress on Requirement 4.7. Ghana's first Validation indicated that 2014 EITI Reports disclosed revenue data by individual company, government entity, and revenue stream. Project-level reporting was not yet applicable, as reporting did not include fiscal years ending on, or after, 31 December 2018.

Progress since Validation

This requirement was assessed in accordance with the EITI Board's decision of March 2017, that project-level reporting is required for all reports covering fiscal years ending on or after 31 December 2018 onwards.^{48,49}

The 2017-2018 EITI Reports for mining and petroleum, both disaggregate financial data by individual company, central government entity and revenue stream. There are some concerns related to

⁴⁸ EITI (2017), 'The Board reaffirmed that project-level reporting is required.' Available at: <u>https://eiti.org/board-decision/2017-14</u>. Accessed on 26 March 2020.

⁴⁹ EITI (2016), 'EITI Standard 2016: Requirement 4.7'. Available at: <u>https://eiti.org/document/eiti-standard-requirements-</u> 2016#r4-7. Accessed on 26 March 2020.

disaggregation of revenues of subnational **mining** payments, although these revenues comprise of roughly 0.2% of total mining revenues.

Both reports include sections on project-level reporting, by referring to the Income Tax Act of 2015.⁵⁰ The act defines "separate mining operations" for tax purposes, and both reports apply this definition, with an additional reference to a practice note of the GRA.⁵¹ Effectively, for **mining** operations this definition allows companies to aggregate or ring-fence their operations at a single processing facility, regardless of the number of licenses or their interconnectedness. The report therefore argues that companies already report by project, given this ring-fencing for tax purposes. The **oil and gas** report refer to a similar ring-fencing definition of oil and gas operations to a single "development and production plan approved by the Minister of Energy". The oil and gas report itself does not attempt to identify which development or production plans exist for various petroleum operations, making it difficult to ascertain the level of disclosure of tax payments. Neither EITI Reports indicates which payments and revenues are levied or imposed on other levels, such as non-tax payments.

For the **mining** sector, the EITI Report does not attempt to clarify which payments may be imposed or levied on licenses, contracts, or Mineral Leases, although the report explicitly states that some of these agreements may alter the terms and payment obligations that companies are subject to. A review of the Minerals and Mining Act 2006 and its Minerals and Mining (General) Regulations 2012 reveals that some payments, such as Mineral Royalties, are levied on a per Mining Lease or Restricted Mining Lease. Through consultations, the MSG contested the notion that Mineral Royalties were levied at lease level, referencing the practice note of GRA and their reporting. However, disclosures at the lease level are accessible for royalties and other payments through the Ghana Mining Repository.⁵² The MSG did confirm that Ground Rents are levied on a per-concession or -lease basis, but that no revenues were disaggregated as such.

For **oil and gas** companies, the report does not clarify the relationship or difference between contract areas, fields, petroleum agreements, nor the development and production plans. The report does disaggregate surface rents by contract area, while corporate income taxes, CAPI and royalties are disaggregated by fields. There are thus some disclosures by projects, albeit without comment or explanation. MSG consultations clarified that Surface Rental and Signature Bonuses are levied at contract area and petroleum agreement, respectively. Surface rentals were found to be disaggregated by contract area in Table 4.16.

A review of MSG meeting minutes shows that the MSG has considered project-level definitions at their 9 May 2019 meeting, but concluded that disclosures were already disaggregated by project and that no further action was required. The meeting minutes also note that a technical working group was formed to develop reporting templates for reporting by project. No evidence has been located to suggest that a reporting template was developed.

While neither report seems to reference or compare to EU Directives' definitions, nor the equivalent definition of the 2019 EITI Standard, MSG members consulted indicated that they consider GHEITI's reporting as equivalent.

⁵⁰ Ghana Revenue Authority (2015), 'Income Tax Act no 896 of 2015'. Available at: <u>https://gra.gov.gh/wp-content/uploads/2018/11/INCOME-TAX-ACT-2015-ACT-896.pdf</u>. Accessed on 19 March 2020.

⁵¹ Ghana Revenue Authority (2016), 'Practice Note on Separate Petroleum Operation under the Income Tax Act, 2015'. Available at: <u>https://gra.gov.gh/wp-content/uploads/2019/06/Practice-Note-on-Separate-Petroleum-Operation.pdf</u>. Accessed on 20 March 2020.

⁵² Minerals Commission (2018), 'Ghana Mining Repository: Payments'. Available at: <u>https://ghana.revenuedev.org/payment</u>. Accessed on 19 March 2020.

Secretariat's Assessment

<u>The International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that progress has fallen below the required</u> <u>Standard on Requirement 4.7 and warrants consideration by the EITI Board. for an assessment of</u> <u>meaningful progress.</u> The most recent mining and petroleum EITI Reports disaggregate financial data by company, central government agency and revenue stream. While Ghana has begun its efforts to consider project-level disclosures, as required for all fiscal years ending on or after 31 December 2018, these considerations seem to be limited to tax payments only, while not considering project definitions for payments not ring-fenced or imposed on company levels. EITI reporting to date has been partial at a project level, given the materiality of non-tax payments levied at a project level.

In accordance with Requirement 4.7, Ghana should ensure that EITI data is disaggregated by individual project, according to the definition provided in the 2019 EITI Standard: "A project is defined as operational activities that are governed by a single contract, license, lease, concession or similar agreement, and forms the basis for payment liabilities with a government. Nonetheless, if multiple such agreements are substantially interconnected, the multi-stakeholder group must clearly identify and document which instances are considered a single project. [...] Where a payment covered by the scope of EITI disclosures is levied at entity level rather than at project level, the company may disclose the payment at the entity level."

6 Conclusion

Having reviewed the steps taken by Ghana to address the two corrective actions requested by the EITI Board as of the commencement of its second Validation on 27 February 2019, it can be reasonably concluded that the corrective actions relating to comprehensiveness (Requirement 4.1) and quasifiscal expenditure (Requirement 6.2) have not been fully addressed and that Ghana has made meaningful progress in implementing the requirements. The Secretariat preliminary assessment found evidence to suggest progress has fallen below the required standard on Requirements 2.6, 4.2, 4.9 and 5.2, and warrant consideration by the EITI Board, for an assessment of meaningful progress. An additional assessment of beneficial ownership suggests that Ghana has achieved meaningful progress in implementing Requirement 2.5. Also, given that project level reporting has become mandatory for reports covering 2018 and onwards, Requirement 4.7 was assessed and Ghana was found to have achieved meaningful progress in implementing the requirement.

The areas of improvement relate to beneficial ownership (Requirement 2.5), state participation (Requirement 2.6), comprehensiveness (Requirement 4.1), sales of state's share and other in-kind revenues (Requirement 4.2), disaggregation (Requirement 4.7), data quality (Requirement 4.9), subnational transfers (Requirement 5.2) and quasi-fiscal expenditure (Requirement 6.2).

Annexes

Annex A: Progress in addressing individual EITI Requirements

6.1 Assessment of license allocations (#2.2)

Findings from the previous Validation

The first Validation concluded that Ghana had made satisfactory progress in meeting Requirement 2.2. The 2014 EITI oil, gas and mining reports, based on which the Validation was conducted, comprehensively disclosed the respective process for awarding licences. In the absence of any transfers of licenses within the reporting period, neither reports addressed the process of transferring licenses. License awards were comprehensively listed, including awards to companies that were not subsequently subject to EITI reporting. The efficiency and effectiveness of licensing procedures were discussed in the reports, leading to recommendations for change which had potentially contributed to ongoing sector reforms at the time.

Progress since Validation

In its two most recent EITI Reports and associated addendum, covering mining and petroleum sectors respectively, Ghana EITI provides information with a view of providing clarity on, amongst other requirements, license allocation.

Oil and Gas

The 2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report adequately covers several aspects of Requirement 2.2. The report identifies six awards of oil and gas licenses in the 2017-2018 period. Three different processes of awarding licenses exist: competitive bidding (3 blocks), direct negotiation (2 blocks) and preferential assignment of petroleum rights to Ghana National Petroleum Company (1 block was reserved for GNPC). Each process is adequately described in the EITI Report. The technical and financial criteria for awarding licenses are stated in the report, while the addendum to the report provides details and further clarity on evaluation framework and criteria used for awarding licenses as well as the bidding process. With regards to the competitive bidding process specifically, the report confirms the number and names of all companies that submitted bids. The bidding process and criteria are summarised in the report, with references to the Petroleum (Exploration and Production) (General) Regulations, 2018 (LI 2359)⁵³. The report also confirms that no substantial

⁵³Petroleum (Exploration and Production) (General) Regulations, 2018 (Ll 2359). Accessed on 15 June 2020. Available at: <u>http://www.petrocom.gov.gh/L&C_folder/Pet_register/laws/PETROLEUM%20(EXPLORATION%20AND%20PRODUCTION)%20(GENERAL)%20REGULATIONS),%202018%20(L.I%202359).pdf</u>

deviations from the established framework occurred during the period under review. A complete list of all oil and gas licenses, including awards granted before 2017 and licenses held by out-of-scope companies, are systematically disclosed on the Ghana Petroleum Register.⁵⁴

The Oil and Gas EITI Report and the addendum note one transfer of an oil and gas license in the 2017-2018 period. According to the report, Aker Energy AS completed the acquisition of Hess Corporation's Ghana unit in a USD 100m deal, gaining access to a 50% interest in the Deepwater Tano Cape Three Points (DWCTP) block. The process involved in the transfer is summarised in both the report and the addendum. However, the financial and technical criteria for the transfer of oil and gas licenses are not discussed in the report. As part of stakeholder consultations, the Independent Administrator (IA) confirmed that the omission was a mix-up. As such, the addendum referred to systematically disclosed information on transfer criteria in the Petroleum (Exploration and Production) (General) Regulations), 2018 (L.I. 2359) and the Petroleum (Exploration and Production) Act, 2016 (Act 919)⁵⁵. Section 26 of the LI.2359 on change of ownership notes the role of the Minister or Commission in the transfer of license (clause 1) and suggests that the same technical and financial criteria for the award of licenses are applied by the Minister or Commission in the process of transferring licence (Clause 2 and 3).⁵⁶

The report comments on the efficiency of the bidding process, noting that the open competitive bidding was conducted simultaneously during the period of direct negotiation, thereby discouraging some companies from submitting bids for the open competitive bidding round.

Mining

The 2017-2018 report adequately covers several aspects of Requirement 2.2. The report lists 50 and 22 mining license awards in 2017 and 2018 respectively, disaggregated by type. A total of 206 and 364 applications were filed in 2017 and 2018 respectively (p.42). The process for awarding licences – on a first come first serve basis – is adequately described in the report. The report lists documents necessary for applying for different types of licenses as well as the criteria for granting those licenses. The role of a technical committee that considers the application and grounds for granting award for mining leases and reconnaissance licenses are briefly explained in the report. The exact technical and financial criteria for awarding mining license are detailed on the GHEITI website⁵⁷, though not referred to in the report. It also notes that the Minerals Commission does not apply weightings to the criteria for granting and Mining Act 2006⁵⁸ were identified during 2017 and 2018. Stakeholders consulted did not raise any concern in this regard. While the report does not provide, a complete list (names) of all mining licenses, awards granted before 2017 and licenses held by out-of-scope

⁵⁵ Petroleum (Exploration and Production) (General) Regulations, 2018 (LI 2359). Accessed on 15 June 2020. Available at:

⁵⁴ Ghana Petroleum Register, Section on Contract Areas. Available at <u>https://www.ghanapetroleumregister.com/contract-areas</u>. Accessed on 22.03.2020

http://www.petrocom.gov.gh/L&C_folder/Pet_register/laws/PETROLEUM%20(EXPLORATION%20AND%20PRODUCTION)%20(GENERAL)%20REGULATIONS),%202018%20(L.I%202359).pdf 56 ibid. See more relevant legislation here https://www.ghanapetroleumregister.com/laws

⁵⁷ GHEITI (26 February 2020). Technical and Financial Criteria Report. Avaiable on <u>https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&id=19:validation-reports<emid=54</u>

⁵⁸ Minerals and Mining Act 2006. Accessed on 15 June 2020. Available at: <u>https://resourcegovernance.org/sites/default/files/Minerals%20and%20Mining%20Act%20703%20Ghana.pdf</u>

companies, are systematically disclosed on the Ghana Mining Cadastre Administration System (MCAS). ⁵⁹ While there appeared to be inconsistencies in the number and description of licenses reported in the report and the Cadastre, these were clarified during stakeholder consultation (See Annex A for more details).

The 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report does not cover license transfers, i.e., the number, the process and the criteria. The addendum provides information of the names of license holders and transferees, disaggregated by type of license: 31 transfers in 2017 and 13 transfers in 2018. However, no information on the process, technical and financial criteria were located. Stakeholders consulted confirmed that information relevant to transfers is in the Minerals and Mines Act 2006 and the Minerals & Mining (Licensing) Regulations LI 2176, albeit not providing any publicly assessible reference source. Both legislations can be located on the Minerals Commission website but under a paywall. The Act is available on a third-party source – NRGI's resource governance website⁶⁰. Section 14 of the Minerals and Mining Act 2006 mainly stipulates that a mineral right shall not in whole or in part be transferred without the prior approval in writing of the Minister, whose approval shall not be unreasonably withheld or given subject to unreasonable conditions. However, the International Secretariat did not locate - in the legislation nor the EITI Report, any technical and financial criteria based on which transfers are granted by the Minister. However, during further consultation, GHEITI secretariat highlighted that the technical and financial criteria for allocation of license also applies to license transfer, this position seems to be endorsed by the MSG⁶¹. However, both the Minerals and Mining (Licensing) Regulations, 2012, LI 2176)⁶⁴ have not indicated that the technical and financial criteria for awarding minerals license published through GHEITI website⁶³ (extracted from the Minerals and Mining (Licensing) Regulations, 2012, LI 2176)⁶⁴ have not indicated that the technical and financial criteria in the 2014 EITI Report as having a material impact on the assessment of this requirement.

The report comments on the efficiency of the mining cadastre, noting that the mining cadastre should be completed with licence transfers, terminations as well as gold buying permits and licences. It must be noted that the Ghana Mining Repository (cadastre) appears to include information of cancelled, expired and surrendered licences as well as ASM licenses as of 22 March 2020.

It is worthy to note that to improve systematic disclosures, the 2019 GHEITI Workplan ⁶⁵ (Objective 7: Enhanced transparency in license allocations through mainstreaming) included steps to update the Petroleum Commission (PC) website with information on the process for awarding oil and gas licenses

⁵⁹ Ghana Mining Repository. Accessed on 22 March.2020. Available at <u>https://ghana.revenuedev.org/dashboard</u>.

⁶⁰ Minerals and Mines Act 2006. Available at https://resourcegovernance.org/sites/default/files/Minerals%20and%20Mining%20Act%20703%20Ghana.pdf. Also listed on Minerals Commission website without a working link.

⁶¹ The email shared to state this position had the MSG chair in copy

⁶² Minerals and Mining Act 2006. Accessed on 16 July 2020. Available at: https://resourcegovernance.org/sites/default/files/Minerals%20and%20Mining%20Act%20703%20Ghana.pdf

⁶³ GHEITI Technical and Financial Criteria Report. Accessed on 16 July 2020 Avaiable at:

https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&id=19:validation-reports<emid=54

⁶⁴ The regulation is not publicly accessible through any government website

⁶⁵ Ghana Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (GHEITI) 2019 Annual Workplan. Available at

https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/index.php?option=com_phocadownload&view=category&id=18:workplans<emid=54. Assessed on 23. 03.2020

through direct negotiations, including technical and financial criteria, and for transferring participating interests. The plan also notes steps to update the MCAS with information on the first-come-first-served process for mining license awards, including technical and financial criteria and license transfer.

Secretariat's Assessment

If the Board considers the information provided after the commencement of Validation, the International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that progress has not fallen below the required Standard on Requirement 2.2. The processes, technical and financial criteria for awarding oil, gas and mining licenses are either systematically disclosed or captured in EITI reporting. A complete list of all oil, gas and mining licenses including awards granted before 2017 are systematically disclosed on the Ghana Petroleum and Mining Registers. No material deviations are noted in the years under review. The oil and gas transfer process and evaluation criteria (both technical and financial) are explained in the report, addendum and government legislations. While aspects of the requirement has been met, the International Secretariat's initial assessment is that the current reporting and systematic disclosures have not fully cover the technical and financial criteria for transferring licenses in the mining sector. However the MSG has provided clarity that the technical and financial criteria for awarding license applies for transfer of license. Unlike Ghana's first Validation where license transfers were not relevant, the existence of substantial number of transfers in 2017 and 2018 has warranted a material assessment of the technical and financial criteria for the transfer of mining license.

To strengthen implementation, the MSG should consider working with the Petroleum Commission and Minerals Commission to systematically disclose all relevant information on license allocation. The MSG should ensure that future EITI reporting consistently references relevant publicly available information.

6.2 Assessment of SOE transactions (#4.5)

Findings from the previous Validation

The second Validation concluded that Ghana had achieved satisfactory progress on Requirement 4.5. Validation found that the 2016 EITI Report disclosed and reconciled companies' in-kind payments to GNPC, even when identifying significant gaps the reconciliation of in-kind gas revenues. The report confirmed the lack of dividend payments from GNPC and disclosed budget transfers to GNPC, though these were not reconciled. Even so, these transfers from state budget to GNPC were reflected in both GNPC's 2016 audited financial statements and the 2016 national budget.

Progress since Validation

Since the commencement, in addition to the reports highlighted under chapter 5.1 of this assessment, Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC) published its 2017 Consolidated financial statements that were subject to external audit. The audit procedure for 2018 Consolidated financial statements was not concluded by the commencement of Validation and was not published by the time of writing (see Requirement 2.6 for further details).

SOE transactions are not applicable for Ghana's mining sector, given the lack of material SOEs (see *Requirement 2.6*). EITI reporting for the oil and gas sector does not use a separate materiality threshold to determine whether SOE transactions are material, and thus the general materiality threshold for revenue streams is applied (USD 9 739 802 in 2018).

The EITI Reports indicate that GNPC's transfer of proceed from oil and gas sales form part of SOE transactions, but furthermore does not identify other material transactions between SOEs, nor between SOEs and government. Section 4.6 of the report referring to SOE transactions refer to section 2.6 on state participation. Neither seem to contain any indication of SOE transactions. However, GNPC's transfers to the PHF, which amounted to USD 814m in 2018, are reconciled, as are GNPC's receipts of in-kind revenues from companies. Budgetary transfers to GNPC are also unilaterally disclosed, though not reconciled. However, the Secretariat did locate publicly accessible budget execution reports confirming these transactions.⁶⁶

EITI reporting does not identify other transactions from companies to GNPC, nor identify other material transfers between GNPC and other government entities. However, its audited financial statement for 2017 (ref. note 18) indicate that additional transactions occurred, though it is unclear which transactions occurred in 2017 or 2018. These issues were not considered in the EITI Report. Non-publicly accessible documents⁶⁷ provided through consultation confirmed that these transactions were not material.

Secretariat's Assessment

The International Secretariat preliminary assessment is that Ghana continues to have made satisfactory progress on SOE transactions, according to Requirement 4.5. Transactions of mining SOEs continues to be not applicable in the period under review. However, there are concerns of methodological issues in determining material transactions related to SOEs, any omission of reconciliation was alleviated by external sources confirming the non-materiality of payments, despite lack of coverage in EITI reporting. The oil and gas report lacks an explicit materiality threshold for selecting SOE transactions, and the 2017-2018 oil and gas EITI Report therefore *de facto* applies the same general materiality threshold as for revenue streams. EITI reporting does cover the largest and most significant transfers in Ghana's oil and gas sector, although there are concerns related to the lack of reconciliation of budget transfers to GNPC, and methodological concerns regarding the lack of materiality considerations of other transactions of GNPC,

⁶⁶ Ministry of Finance (2019), 'End-Year Report on the Budget Statement and Economic Policy of the Republic of Ghana for the 2018 Financial Year'. Page 17. Available at: <u>https://www.mofep.gov.gh/sites/default/files/reports/economic/2018-CONSOLIDATED-MDAs-ANNUAL-BUDGET-PERFORMANCE-REPORT-Final.pdf</u>. Accessed on 9 July 2020. ⁶⁷ Ghana EITI and GNPC provided a non-public copy of the 2018 audited financial statements.

including dividend incomes of GNPC from joint ventures and subsidiaries. While neither of these transactions were material for 2017 according to GNPC's audited financial statements, non-publicly accessible data for 2018 seem to indicate that there were indeed other transfers during the reporting period, though they did not seem to be of material significance. The budgetary transfers from the Petroleum Holding Fund to GNPC be available through reliable and publicly accessible reports, even if not reconciled.

To strengthen implementation, Ghana is recommended to revisit their procedures for ensuring comprehensive disclosure and reconciliation of transactions of state-owned enterprises, with the aim to ensure that all transactions of SOEs with government – and payments from extractive companies, subsidiaries and joint ventures – are reported and considered for materiality. Where material, Ghana should ensure that all transactions are reported and reconciled.

Requirement 2: Legal and institutional framework, including allocation of contracts and licenses.

EITI Requirement	EITI sub- Requirement	Summary of main findings	Source(s) of information	Summary of stakeholder views	Recommendation on compliance with the EITI provisions	Proposed corrective actions and recommendations
Contract and license allocations (#2.2)	A comprehensive list of mining, oil and gas license <u>awards</u> has been disclosed, including information on the identity of recipients (#2.2. a)	Oil and Gas : The 2017-2018 oil and gas report confirmed that six licenses were awarded in 2018. Three of the awards were through competitive bidding as part of Ghana's first open competitive bidding round. The report confirmed the recipients and	Oil and Gas: 2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.20-21, 32-36). Ghana Petroleum Register, Section on Contract Areas. Available at https://www.ghana petroleumregister.c om/contract-areas. Accessed on 22.03.2020	Stakeholders confirmed that the available information on licences awarded/transferre d in the report, the addendum and systematically disclosed are comprehensive. The IA clarified some of the inconsistencies in the EITI Report. For	Satisfactory Progress	

associated blocks. It also confirmed the recipient of two licenses awarded through direct negotiation as mandated by law. According to the report, one license was reserved for the Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC). The report referred to the Ghana Petroleum Register (under contract areas section)	GHEITI (26/02/2020). Addendum to 2017/2018 GHEITI reconciliation reports. Available at http://www.gheiti.g ov.gh/site/index.ph p?option=com_pho cadownload&view= category&download =372:validation- addendum- 201718-gheiti- reports&id=19:valid ation- reports&Itemid=54.	example, it was explained as part of consultant that the Cadastre data is the repository for all mining license including what has been granted in the reporting period. There exist differences between the number of applications and licenses granted because not all applications may satisfy the	
the Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC). The report referred to the Ghana Petroleum Register (under contract areas section) which includes	category&download =372:validation- addendum- 201718-gheiti- reports&id=19:valid ation-	differences between the number of applications and licenses granted because not all applications may satisfy the requirements for	
detailed information on petroleum agreements in Ghana. An addendum to the Report was published by the MSG through the GHEITI website on 26.02.2020. The addendum confirmed the	Petroleum (Exploration and Production) Act, 2016 (Act 919). Available at http://www.petroco m.gov.gh/L&C_fold er/Pet_register/law S/PETROLEUM%20(EXPLORATION%20A ND%20PRODUCTIO	grant of license during the reporting period. Also, some of the types of licenses which were not clarified in the EITI Report were further clarified by the IA during consultation. This includes for example	

number of awards	<u>N)%20(GENERAL)%</u>	Prospecting Licence	
and provided	20REGULATIONS).%	for large scale	
additional details of	202018%20(L.I%2	mining and	
blocks awarded, the	<u>02359).pdf</u> . See	Prospecting License	
various means of	more relevant	for mining of sand	
awarding licenses	legislation here	and gravel.	
and the specific	https://www.ghana		
evaluation and	petroleumregister.c		
assessment criteria	om/laws		
used for awarding			
licenses. It also			
referred to	Mining		
systematically	Mining		
disclosed	2017 - 2018 Mining		
information,	EITI Report (p.38-		
through legislations	43).		
available on	+ <i>J</i>).		
government	Ghana Mining		
websites, on the	Repository.		
transfer of licenses	Available at		
	https://ghana.reve		
Mining: The 2017-	nuedev.org/dashbo		
2018 mining report	ard . Accessed on		
provided	22.03.2020		
information on the			
number of license	GHEITI		
applications and	(26/02/2020).		
awards in 2017 and	Addendum to		
2018	2017/2018 GHEITI		
disaggregated by	reconciliation		
type of license. The	reports. Available at		
data suggested that			

50	and 22 licenses	http://www.gheiti.g		
wer	e awarded in	ov.gh/site/index.ph		
202	17 and 2018 out	p?option=com_pho		
of a	total 206 and	cadownload&view=		
364	4 applications in	category&download		
202	17 and 2018	=372:validation-		
res	pectively (p.42).	addendum-		
The	report referred	201718-gheiti-		
to t	he Ghana	reports&id=19:valid		
Min	ing Cadastre	ation-		
Adn	ninistration	reports&Itemid=54.		
Sys	tem (MCAS)	Accessed on		
whi	ch maintains a	22.03.2020		
con	nplete list of all			
lice	nse application,			
awa	ards, transfers			
etc.	. However, there			
app	ears to be			
inco	onsistencies in			
the	numbers			
rep	orted in the			
rep	ort and the			
Cac	lastre. In			
add	lition, there			
арр	ears to be			
une	explained			
irre	gularities			
bet	ween the data			
pre	sented in the			
rep	ort on mining			
lice	nse applications			

	and awards. For			
	instance, while			
	there were no			
	applications for			
	'License to deal in			
	Mineral', 11 of			
	those were awarded			
	in 2017 and 8 in			
	2018. Since the			
	data is			
	systematically			
	disclosed in the			
	mining cadastre,			
	potential errors and			
	inconsistencies in			
	EITI Reports do not			
	represent			
	substantial gap,			
	rather, an area for			
	improvement in			
	future reporting.			
	The 2017-2018			
	mining report also			
	provides			
	information on			
	licenses in the ASM			
	sector.			
The process for	Oil and Gas: The	2017 - 2018 Oil	Satisfactory	N. A
<u>awarding</u> mining, oil	2017-2018 Oil and	and Gas EITI	Progress	
and gas licenses	Gas Report	Report. Section on		

has been	summarised three	License allocation		
comprehensively	different processes	p.32-36.		
disclosed, including	of licence			
technical and	allocation. These	2017 - 2018 Mining		
financial criteria	include the	EITI Report.		
technical and	allocation. These include the competitive bidding process, direct negotiation (p.35) and assignment of a petroleum block to the National Oil Company (NOC) (p.35). The Report refers to the Ministry of Energy and Petroleum Commission for more information on the processes (p.35). The <u>technical and</u> financial criteria for awarding licenses were partly outlined	•		
	in the Report and fully outlined in the			
	Petroleum			
	(Exploration and			
	Production) Act			
	2016 and			
	Petroleum			

(Exploration and		
Production)		
(General)		
Regulations, 2018		
(LI 2359) and the		
available on the		
Ministry of Energy		
and Petroleum		
Commission		
website, according		
to the Report. In		
addition, the		
addendum provided		
specific		
comprehensive		
details about the		
financial and		
technical evaluation		
criteria used in		
awarding specific		
blocks in 2017-		
2018. Section 10 of		
the Act 2016 as		
well as section 19		
of the regulations		
2018 both discuss		
direct negotiation		
indicating that the		
same financial and		
technical criteria		
noted in the		

add	dendum is		
ap	plied "where the		
Mir	nister receives		
mo	ore than one		
exp	pression of		
inte	erest (for direct		
ne	gotiation).		
	ning: According to		
	e Report,		
	ensing allocation		
	on a first-come-		
	st-served system		
	38). The		
	ocesses involved,		
	d documents		
	quired, in		
	ocating different		
typ	es of mining		
	enses were		
	closed. The exact		
	chnical and		
fina	ancial criteria for		
aw	arding mining		
lice	ense are detailed		
on	the GHEITI		
	bsite, though not		
ref	erred to in the		
rep	oort. It also notes		
tha	at the Minerals		
Со	mmission does		
not	t apply		

EITI International Secretariat Phone: +47 222 00 800 • E-mail: secretariat@eiti.org • Twitter: @EITIorg • www.eiti.org Address: Rådhusgata 26, 0151 Oslo, Norway

weightings to the		
criteria for granting		
of Mineral Rights as		
it is a qualitative		
assessment on		
First- come- first -		
served basis. The		
Report noted that a		
technical		
committee		
comprising		
representatives		
from the Minerals		
Commission, the		
Environmental		
Protection Agency		
(EPA), and the		
Geological Survey		
Department		
considers the		
application using		
the information		
supplied by the		
applicant, together		
with the certificate		
of service of Notice		
from the District		
Assembly. ,		

Information on any non-trivial deviations from the applicable legal and regulatory framework governing license <u>awards</u> has been comprehensively disclosed (#2.2. a)	Oil and Gas: The report confirmed that there were no deviations from the established framework for the allocation of contracts during the period under review. Mining: The report stated that no deviations from the processes set out in the Minerals and Mining Act were identified during 2017 and 2018.	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.36). 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.41).	The MSG confirmed that there were no material deviations in the award and transfers of licences in 2017 and 2018. No additional concerns were raised regarding the allocation of license in Ghana.	Satisfactory Progress	N. A
A comprehensive list of mining, oil and gas license <u>transfers</u> has been disclosed, including information on the identity of recipients (#2.2.a)	Oil and Gas: The 2017-2018 oil and gas report noted one license transfer in 2018. The report provided a summary of the transfer between Hess Corporation and Aker Energy	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.20-21, 32-36). Ghana Petroleum Register, Section on Contract Areas. Available at https://www.ghana petroleumregister.c om/contract-areas	The MSG and IA confirmed that only one transfer occurred in the years under review. The MSG and IA confirmed that Section 15 of Petroleum Regulation 2018	Meaningful Progress	In accordance with Requirement 2.2, Ghana should disclose the technical and financial criteria for mining license transfer as well as the specific evaluation

	Ghana. The	Accessed on	(L.I 2359) provides	framework applied.
	addendum to the	22.03.2020	adequate	To improve
	report confirmed		information on the	implementation, the
	the number of	2017 - 2018 Mining	criteria for	MSG should
	transfers and	EITI Report (p.38-	approving oil and	consider working
	provided additional	43).	gas licence transfer	with the Petroleum
	details.		and that there were	Commission and
		GHEITI	not additional	Minerals
	Mining: The 2017-	(26/02/2020).	criteria for	Commission to
	2018 report did not	Addendum to	evaluating license	systematically
	state or clarify	2017/2018 GHEITI	transfers in the oil	disclose all relevant
	whether there was	reconciliation	and gas sector.	information on
	any transfer of	reports. Available at		license allocation.
	licenses in 2017	http://www.gheiti.g	On the lack of	The MSG should
	and 2018.	ov.gh/site/index.ph	information on	ensure that future
	However, the	p?option=com_pho	technical and	EITI Reporting
	addendum provided	cadownload&view=	financial criteria for	consistently
	the names of	category&download	the transfer of oil	references relevant
	license holders and	=372:validation-	and gas licenses in	publicly available
	transferees	addendum-	the EITI Report, the	information
	disaggregated by	201718-gheiti-	Independent	
	type of license for	reports&id=19:valid	Administrator	
	31 transfers in	ation-	confirmed that	
	2017 and 13	reports&Itemid=54.	indeed they are	
	transfers in 2018	Accessed on	specific financial	
	(p.16 and 17).	22.03.2020	and technical	
			criteria used in	
The process for	Oil and Gas: The	2017 - 2018 Oil	evaluating and	
transferring mining,	2017-2018 report	and Gas EITI Report	approving the	
oil and gas licenses	did not cover the	(p.20-21, 32-36).	transfer of	
has been	process, technical	(,,,.,	petroleum license	
100.00011	<u></u>			

comprehen disclosed, in technical ar financial cri assessed (#	ncludingcriteriandcriteriandtransferring oil andteriagas license. Section	Ghana Petroleum Register, Section on Contract Areas. Available at https://www.ghana petroleumregister.c om/contract-areas Accessed on 22.03.2020 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.38- 43). GHEITI (26/02/2020). Addendum to 2017/2018 GHEITI reconciliation reports. Available at http://www.gheiti.g ov.gh/site/index.ph p?option=com_pho cadownload&view= category&download =372:validation- addendum- 201718-gheiti- reports&id=19:valid ation- reports.8ttemid=54	and that these were included in the draft report but not in the final report. As such, the addendum referred to systematic disclosure of transfer criteria in the Petroleum (Exploration and Production) (General) Regulations), 2018 (L.I. 2359) and the Petroleum (Exploration and Production) Act, 2016 (Act 919). In both legislations, the main criteria is that a contractor shall not transfer a share of that contractor's incorporated company to a third party or affiliate without the written approval of the Minister	
	transferring licenses in the	reports&Itemid=54.	Minister.	

report or the Addendum. While not directly referred to in the report - in relation to license transfer - stakeholders confirmed that the information was in the Minerals and Minerals Act 2006. Section 14 of the Minerals Act 2006. Section 14 of the Minerals and Mining Act 2006 stipulates that a mineral right shall not in whole or in part be transferred withich approval shall not be unreasonable withich or given subject to unreasonable conditions. However, the legislation, nor the ETI Report Clearly Accessed on 22.03.2020 Gnana Extractive Initiative (GHEITI) 2019 Annual Workplan. Available at stockleration parb etransferred without the prior approval in writing of the Minister, which approval shall not be unreasonable conditions. However, the legislation, nor the ETI Report Clearly Accessed on 22.03.2020 On the process and criteria for transferring mining licenses, stakeholders transfer. L 2176. However, mance.ort/sites/d assessed on 23.
--

stipulates the		
technical and		
financial criteria		
based on which		
transfers are		
granted by the		
Minister. No official		
link to government		
website was		
provided by the		
MSG. The Act is		
publicly available on		
NRGI's resource		
government		
website.		
The 2019 Workplan		
(Objective 7:		
Enhanced		
transparency in		
license allocations		
through		
mainstreaming)		
included steps to		
update the MCAS		
with information on		
the first-come-first-		
served process for		
mining license		
awards, including		
technical and		
financial criteria,		

EITI International Secretariat Phone: +47 222 00 800 • E-mail: secretariat@eiti.org • Twitter: @EITIorg • www.eiti.org Address: Rådhusgata 26, 0151 Oslo, Norway

Information on any non-trivial deviations from the applicable legal and regulatory framework	and license transfer. However, the International Secretariat had not come across this information on the Minerals Commission (MC) website and there is currently no publicly available 2019 Annual Progress Report to provide an update on the status of implementation Oil and Gas: The report confirms that there were no deviations from the established framework for the	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.36). 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.41).		
deviations from the applicable legal and	there were no deviations from the	(p.36). 2017 - 2018 Mining		

The list of applicants and the	the Minerals and Mining Act were identified during 2017 and 2018. Oil and Gas : The report confirmed	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report	N. A	Satisfactory Progress	N. A
bid criteria related to any bidding processes that took place in the accounting period covered by EITI reporting have been comprehensively disclosed (#2.2.c)	that a total of sixteen companies that applied following the initial call for expression of interest. Out of the 16, only 3 eventually submitted bids for one or more of five offshore blocks in the country's first exploration licensing round. The 16 companies are listed in the report. The bidding process and criteria are summarised in the report. The Petroleum (Exploration and Production) (General)	 and das Efficiency (p.20, 33, 34) The Petroleum (Exploration and Production) (General) Regulations, 2018 (LI 2359. Available at https://www.ghana petroleumregister.c om/laws. Accessed on 22.03.2020 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.38). 			

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-			
	Regulations, 2018(LI 2359) whichintroduced thebidding process isreferenced fordetailed informationon the process andcriteria.Mining: The reportconfirms thatcurrently, licensingallocation is on afirst- come- first-served system.Information onbidding is thereforeno applicable to the				
Informatio award of li held by ma companies awarded o transferre year under has been o (#2.2.b)	censesreport does notaterialcategoricallys notinclude informationorfor licensesd in theawarded/transferrer reviewd prior to 2017 and	Ghana Petroleum Register, Section on Contract Areas. Available at <u>https://www.ghana</u> <u>petroleumregister.c</u> <u>om/contract-areas</u> Accessed on 22.03.2020	N. A	Satisfactory Progress	N. A

2018 are publicly available in the petroleum register (under contract areas section)	Ghana Mining Repository. Available at https://ghana.reve nuedev.org/dashbo ard . Accessed on 22.03.2020			
--	---	--	--	--

Additional information a the allocation licenses has disclosed, int commentary efficiency an effectiveness these system description of procedures, practices and grounds for renewing, suspending of revoking a co or license (#2	n ofadditionalbeeninformationcludingregarding thecon theefficacy of Ghana'sdfirst bidding round.s ofIt noted that thens, aopen competitiveofbidding wasactualconducteddsimultaneouslyduring the period ofdirect negotiation,orthereby	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.34). 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.19). Ghana Mining Repository. Available at https://ghana.reve nuedev.org/dashbo ard . Accessed on 22.03.2020	N. A	Satisfactory Progress	N. A
---	---	---	------	--------------------------	------

		as gold buying permits and licences. It must be noted that the Ghana Mining Repository (cadastre) appears to include information of cancelled, expired and surrendered licences as well as ASM licenses as of 22.03.2020			
Beneficial ownership disclosure (#2.5)	The MSG has agreed an appropriate, publicly available definition of the term beneficial owner (#2.5.f)	Oil and Gas: The 2017-2018 oil and gas report referred to the Companies Act, 2019 (Act 992) which contains a definition of Beneficial ownership. The Companies Act 2019 (Act 992) is available on the GHEITI website but not referred to in	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.45-46). 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.42- 43). Ghana EITI beneficial ownership roadmap. Available at http://www.gheiti.g ov.gh/site/index.ph	Satisfactory progress	TBC

		-		
	EITI reporting. There	p?option=com_pho		
	is no link sourcing	cadownload&view=		
	where the Act can	category&download		
	be publicly	=288:ghanas-		
	assessed though	beneficial-		
	the addendum to	ownership-		
	the report	road&id=18:workpl		
	categorically stated	ans&Itemid=54		
	the definition in the	Assessed on		
	Act. The GHEITI	22.03.2020		
	Beneficial			
	Ownership	Ghana EITI (2020),		
	Roadmap published	'Addendum to		
	in 2016 also	2017/2018 GHEITI		
iiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiii	includes a definition	reconciliation		
	(initial) of Beneficial	reports - 26		
	ownership. The	February 2020'.		
	document is	Available at:		
	publicly available.	http://www.gheiti.g		
		ov.gh/site/index.ph		
	Mining: The 2017-	p?option=com_pho		
	2018 mining report	cadownload&view=		
	provided a brief	category&download		
	definition and	=372:validation-		
	referred to the	addendum-		
	Companies Act,	201718-gheiti-		
	2019 (Act 992)	reports&id=19:valid		
	which contains a	ation-		
	definition of	reports&Itemid=54.		
	Beneficial			
	ownership. We			
	could not locate the			

Companies Act 2019 (Act 992) through systematic disclosures or through EITI reporting. There is no link sourcing where the Act can be publicly assessed though the addendum to the report categorically stated the definition in the Act. The GHEITI Beneficial Ownership Roadmap published in 2016 includes a definition of Beneficial Ownership. The document is publicly available	Accessed on 26 February 2020. Ghana Companies Act 2019 (Act 992): Available on GHEITI Website https://www.gheiti. gov.gh/site/index.p hp?option=com_ph ocadownload&view =category&id=40:a cts-a-policy- documents&Itemid =54 Beneficial Ownership Forms. Available on Register General's Department website: https://www.rgd.go v.gh/forms.html		

There are laws, regulations or policies in place to back establishing and maintaining a public register of beneficial owners (#2.5.a)	Oil and Gas: The Companies Act, 2019 (Act 992) provides the legal basis for establishing and maintaining a public register of beneficial owners Mining: The Companies Act, 2019 (Act 992) provides the legal basis for establishing and maintaining a public register of beneficial owners	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.45-46). 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.42- 43). Ghana Companies Act 2019 (Act 992): Available on GHEITI Website https://www.gheiti. gov.gh/site/index.p hp?option=com_ph ocadownload&view =category&id=40:a cts-a-policy- documents&Itemid =54	N. A	Satisfactory progress	N. A
The government's policy and multi- stakeholder group's discussion on disclosure of beneficial ownership is documented (#2.5.b)	Oil and Gas: The government policy on Beneficial Ownership is evident in the promulgation of the Companies Act as noted above. The MSG's Beneficial Ownership	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.45-46). 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.42- 43). Ghana EITI Beneficial	Stakeholders indicated that the i) government has set a new date of October 2020 to launch the register with already inputted data.	Satisfactory progress	N. A

Roadmap documents the MSG's decision and agreed upon steps to ensure disclosure. The report confirmed that the MSG attached a beneficial ownership template to the request for data submission for the 2017/2018 reports production, which were sent to companies for completion. Minutes of MSG meetings and the Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator (published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed MSG discussions internally and with the Register	Available at https://tinyurl.com/ vhlhqc2. Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (29 March 2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available at	 (ii) RGD has developed online BO register which is currently under user test. (iii) The RGD is working on regulations for the implementation of BO. GHEITI has been instrumental in all these processes. 		
--	---	---	--	--

confirmed MSG discussions internally and with

	the Register General Department on Beneficial Ownership.				
The implementing country has requested beneficial ownership information to be publicly disclosed (#2.5.c)	Oil and Gas: The report confirmed that the MSG attached a beneficial ownership template to the request for data submission for the 2017/2018 reports production, which were sent to companies for completion. Mining: The report confirmed that reporting companies have been requested to disclose their Beneficial owners and politically exposed persons (PEPs).	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.45-46). 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.42- 43).	N. A	Satisfactory progress	N. A

The requested	Oil and Gas: The	2017 - 2018 Oil	N.A	Satisfactory	N.A
information	report is silent on	and Gas EITI Report	1	progress	1
includes the	the specific details	(p.45-46).			
identity(ies) of their	required to be	2017 - 2018 Mining			
beneficial owner(s), including	disclosed. However, the addendum	EITI Report (p.42-			
nationality, country	provides a link to	43).			
of residence, and	the BO declaration	Pagiatrar Caparal'a			
identification of	form available at	Registrar General's Beneficial			
politically exposed persons, the level of	the Registrar General's	Ownership			
ownership and	Department. The	Declaration Form.			
details about how	form requests all	Available at https://rgd.gov.gh/f			
ownership or control is exerted	listed information.	orms.html			
(#2.5.c-d)	Mining: The report	Assessed on			
	categorically	Assessed on 22.03.2020			
	confirmed that that the particulars to be				
	filed in respect of	GHEITI			
	beneficial	(26/02/2020). Addendum to			
	ownership of a	2017/2018 GHEITI			
	company includes the full name,	reconciliation			
	address and	reports. Available at			
	contact details of	<u>https://www.gheiti.</u> gov.gh/site/index.p			
	the beneficial	hp?option=com_ph			
	owner, place of work and position	ocadownload&view			
	held, the nature of	=category&downloa			
	the interest	d=372:validation-			

including the details	addendum-		
of the legal,	201718-gheiti-		
financial, security,	reports&id=19:valid		
debenture or	ation-		
informal	reports&Itemid=54		
arrangement giving			
rise to the	Accessed on		
beneficial	22.03.2020		
ownership; and			
Confirmation as to			
whether the			
beneficial owner is			
a politically exposed			
person and			
basically, any other			
information as may			
be determined by			
the Registrar. The			
addendum provides			
a link to the BO			
declaration form			
available at the			
Registrar General's			
Department. The			
template requests			
all listed			
information.			

					In accordance with
Any corporate	Oil and Gas:	Oil and Gas BO	N. A	Meaningful	Requirement 2.5,
entity(ies) that apply	According to the	Data: 2017 - 2018	11.7	Progress	Ghana should work
for, or hold a	report, five	Oil and Gas EITI		11051000	together with the
participating	companies, all of	Report (p.45-46).			Registrar General's
interest in an	whom are partners	Пероп (р.+0 +0).			Department and
exploration or	in the Jubilee field,	Mining Sector BO			other relevant
production oil, gas	disclosed	data : Available on			stakeholders to
or mining license or	information in	https://www.gheiti.			ensure that
contract have	relation to	gov.gh/site/index.p			extractive sector
disclosed the	beneficial	hp?option=com_co			Beneficial
information	ownership. The data	ntent&view=article			ownership
	includes names of	&id=243:beneficial			information is
	companies,	ownershipformining			comprehensively
	percentage stake of	sector2017-			and publicly
	the company (or	18reports&catid=1:			disclosed. This
	affiliates) in the	latest-			should include the
	project, and	news&Itemid=29.			identity(ies) of their
	whether companies	Assessed on			beneficial owner(s),
	are listed on stock	22.03.2020			the level of
	exchanges. The				ownership and
	report falls short of				details about how ownership or
	providing direct				control is exerted.
	references (links) to				Information on how
	listings online.				to access publicly
	Three (Tullow,				listed companies'
	Kosmos and				information should
	Anadarko) of the				be made available.
	five companies are				
	noted to be publicly				
	listed. One				
	company (GNPC) is				
	company (GIVEC) IS				

an SOE while
another (Petro SA)
is noted to be
owned by the
government of
South Africa
Mining: Information
on Beneficial
Ownership in the
mining sector is
publicly available on
the GHEITI Website.
At least 12
companies
disclosed some
information in
relation to
beneficial
ownership. The data
includes names of
legal/beneficial
owners, stake (of
the company),
whether and which
stock exchange
they are listed in
(where applicable).
Some descriptive
information is
provided for
companies that are

The MSG had assessed and documented gaps or weaknesses in disclosure of beneficial ownership information (#2.5.c)	not stock listed. The disclosure did not identify any PEP. Oil and Gas: The report did not assess nor document gaps or weaknesses in disclosure of beneficial ownership information. However, the addendum published later commented on the reliability and	2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.45-46). 2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report (p.42- 43). Registrar General's Beneficial Ownership Declaration Form. Available at https://rgd.gov.gh/f orms.html.	Stakeholder noted that the data presented are from Stock Exchange listed companies, which is indicative of their reliability. With regards to its comprehensiveness , it was noted that this was de- emphasized since BO compliance is effective 2020	Meaningful Progress	The multi- stakeholder groups should fully assess existing disclosures and document clear steps to address gaps.
information (#2.5.c)	information. However, the addendum published later commented on the	Beneficial Ownership Declaration Form. Available at https://rgd.gov.gh/f	comprehensiveness , it was noted that this was de- emphasized since BO compliance is		

. Several data
points are not
provided for some
companies. For
example, legal
owners, instead of
actual beneficial
owners, are stated
for Ghana Bauxite
Company Ltd.
Ghana Bauxite
Company Ltd stated
their beneficial
owner who owns
99% shares.
However, there is
no additional
information on the
owner including
address, nationality,
date of birth among
others. In addition,
no links were
provided for publicly
listed companies.
The report did not
assess nor
document gaps or
weaknesses in
disclosure of
beneficial

ownership		
information.		
However, the		
addendum		
published later		
commented on the		
reliability and		
comprehensiveness		
of the disclosure. It		
noted that the		
information is		
reliable because		
most of companies		
are listed on various		
Stock Exchanges.		
While the		
addendum suggest		
that the information		
is also "quite		
comprehensive", it		
does not provide		
any reason for this.		
Rather, the		
addendum confirms		
that there are still		
gaps which both		
GHEITI MSG, the		
Registrar-General's		
Department and		
other relevant		
stakeholders will		

continue to		
collaborate and		
work together to		
ensure the gaps		
and are completely		
addressed this year		
(2020).		

The relevant	Oil and Gas: The BO	Registrar General's	The MSG noted that	Satisfactory
government entity	Declaration form	Beneficial	an assessment is	Progress
or the MSG has	used by the	Ownership	done based on the	
established an	Registrar General's	Declaration Form.	experience with BO	
approach for	Department	Available at	disclosure in	
participating	includes an	https://rgd.gov.gh/f	GHEITI's 2017/18	
companies to	attestation by a	orms.html Assessed	reports. RGD, with	
assure the accuracy	senior company	on 22.03.2020.	support from GHEITI	
of the beneficial	official (Director). In		developed BO	
ownership	addition, the form		templates with	
information (#2.5.e)	maintains adequate		additional fields to	
	level of details to		close any possible	
	ensure		gaps. These	
	comprehensive		include: (1) System	
	data is collected		self-correcting	
	and outlines		checks of BO data	
	liabilities for		(2) Extra checks for	
	defaulters. The EITI		high risk sector for	
	Report did not		example extractives	
	make any reference		sector Independent	
	to quality assurance		checks by sector	
	mechanism in the		regulators – PC and	
	collection of data.		MC	
	Mining: The BO			
	Declaration form			
	used by the			
	Registrar General's			
	Department			
	includes an			
	attestation by a			

	senior company official (Director). In addition, the template mains adequate level of details to ensure comprehensive data is collected and outlines liabilities for defaulters. The EITI Report did not make any reference to quality assurance mechanism in the collection of data.				
For publicly listed companies, including wholly- owned subsidiaries, the name of the stock exchange has	Oil and Gas: For the publicly listed companies that disclosed data, the names of the stock exchanges were	Oil and Gas BO Data: 2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.45-46).	N. A	Satisfactory progress	Information on how to access publicly listed companies' information should be made available

been disclosed and a link included to the stock exchange filings where they are listed (#2.5.f)	provided. However, no links were provided. Mining: For the publicly listed companies that disclosed data, the names of the stock exchanges were provided. However, no links were provided.	Mining Sector BO data: Available on GHEITI Website: https://tinyurl.com/ svohxvr. Assessed on 22.03.2020			
Information about legal owners and share of ownership of applicable companies is publicly available (#2.5.g)	Oil and Gas: Because three of the companies were publicly listed, legal and beneficial owners can be considered to be publicly available. The remaining two companies were legally owned by governments. While is it known the GNPC is 100% owned by government, the report did not	Oil and Gas BO Data: 2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.45-46). Mining Sector BO data : Available on https://www.gheiti. gov.gh/site/index.p hp?option=com_co ntent&view=article &id=243:beneficial ownershipformining sector2017- 18reports&catid=1: latest- news<emid=29.	N. A	Meaningful Progress	In accordance with Requirement 2.5, Ghana should work together with the Registrar General's Department and other relevant stakeholders to ensure that extractive sector Beneficial ownership information is comprehensively and publicly disclosed. This should include the

		disclose the level of	Assessed on		identity(ies) of their
		ownership by the	22.03.2020		beneficial owner(s),
		government of			the level of
		South Africa in			ownership and
		Petro SA.			details about how
					ownership or
		Mining: With			control is exerted.
		regards to			
		companies that are			
		publicly listed, legal			
		and beneficial			
		owners can be			
		considered to be			
		publicly available.			
		With regards to non-			
		listed companies			
		that disclosed, the			
		legal owners were			
		disclosed in the			
		report, including for			
		some, not all,			
		information about			
		the level of			
		ownership.			
State	The existence of	Mining: Two state-	2017-2018 Ghana	Satisfactory	
participation (#2.6)	any material state-	owned enterprises	EITI Report for	progress	
[owned enterprises	are mentioned in		1. 0	

(SOEs) engaged in	the mining report,	Mining, section 2.6		
the extractive	Precious Mineral	(pp.46-49).		
sector has been	Marketing Company			
publicly	(PMMC) and	Ministry of Finance		
documented	Prestea Sankofa	(2018), '2017 State		
(#2.6.a)	Gold Limited. The	ownership report',		
	former is a	available at:		
	government-owned	https://www.mofep.		
	marketing company	gov.gh/sites/defaul		
	for small-scale	t/files/reports/econ		
	operations, thus not	omic/2017-State-		
	an upstream SOE.	Ownership-		
	The latter, Prestea	Report.pdf.		
	Sankofa, is a	Accessed on 19		
	subsidiary of Ghana	March 2020.		
	Subsidiary of Gnana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC), though its operations were suspended from 2016 onwards. SOEs are thus not applicable in the mining sector. The state still received dividends from mining companies and SOEs, which are reported for the period under review, while	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas. Section 4.2 (pp.45-48), page 79. Ghana EITI (2020), 'Addendum to 2017/2018 GHEITI Reconciliation Reports - 26 February 2020'. Available at: http://www.gheiti.g ov.gh/site/index.ph p?option=com_pho		

referencing ar	n <u>cadownload&view=</u>
annual state	category&download
ownership rep	
2017. The rep	oort <u>addendum-</u>
clarifies that t	hese <u>201718-gheiti-</u>
companies are	e not reports&id=19:valid
majority-owne	d by ation-
government.	reports&Itemid=54.
	Accessed on 20
Oil and gas: Th	
2017-2018 0	
Gas report ind	
that Ghana Na	ational
Petroleum	
Corporation (G	
is the only stat	
owned enterp	rise
(SOE) in the	
upstream	
petroleum sec	
GNPC's mater	
is based 61%	
contributions	to
government	
revenues in 20	
The report ind	
that these rev	
mainly stem fr	
marketing of t	
state's share of	
production and	d

	other in-kind				
	revenues.				
	In addition to GNPC, the report indicates that Ghana National Gas Company Limited (GNGC), is a midstream SOE in the gas sector. The company is 100% owned by the ministry of petroleum, though is not associated with material payments to government or other entities.				
An explanation of the role of material SOEs in the sector and prevailing rules regarding the financial relationship between the government and SOEs has been disclosed (#2.6.a.i)	Oil and gas: The statutory rules for GNPC's relationship with the government is described. According to the report, GNPC's relationship is defined by the Petroleum Revenue	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.47,102, 151. Petroleum Commission (2016), 'Petroleum (Exploration and Production) Act of 2016 No.919'.	Oil and gas: The MSG clarified through consultations that <u>GNPC can raise</u> <u>third-party financing</u> through based on its statute and as noted in Petroleum (Exploration and	Satisfactory progress	

Available at:	Production) Law,		
http://www.petroco	1984 (PNDCL 84).		
m.gov.gh/L&C_fold			
er/Pet_register/law			
s/PETROLEUM%20(
EXPLORATION%20A			
ND%20PRODUCTIO	explained as stated		
N)%20ACT,%20201	through the annual		
<u>6%20(ACT%20919)</u>	workplan which is		
.pdf. Accessed on	submitted and		
20 March 2020.	approved by		
	Parliament, as part		
Petroleum	of public records.		
Commission (n.d.),	The Secretariat has		
'Ghana National	managed to locate		
Petroleum	the "2017 Work		
Corporation Law,	Programme of the		
1983 (PNDCL 64)'.	Ghana National		
Available at:	Petroleum		
https://www.petroc	Corporation		
om.gov.gh/wp-	(GNPC)" 68 but were		
content/uploads/2	not able to locate		
018/12/ghana_nat	one for 2018.		
ional_petroleum_co			
rporation_law_198	The MSG did not		
31.pdf. Accessed	comment on why or		
on 25 March 2020.	where these		
	aspects were		
	covered in the		
	http://www.petroco m.gov.gh/L&C_fold er/Pet_register/law s/PETROLEUM%20(EXPLORATION%20A ND%20PRODUCTIO N)%20ACT,%20201 6%20(ACT%20919) .pdf. Accessed on 20 March 2020. Petroleum Commission (n.d.), 'Ghana National Petroleum Corporation Law, 1983 (PNDCL 64)'. Available at: https://www.petroc om.gov.gh/wp- content/uploads/2 018/12/ghana_nat ional_petroleum_co rporation_law_198 31.pdf. Accessed	http://www.petroco1984 (PNDCL 84).m.gov.gh/L&C foldModalities oner/Pet_register/lawModalities ons/PETROLEUM%20(investmentEXPLORATION%20Aactivities wereND%20PRODUCTIOexplained as statedN1%20ACT,%20201through the annual6%20(ACT%20919)workplan which is.pdf. Accessed onsubmitted and20 March 2020.submitted andPetroleumof public records.Commission (n.d.),The Secretariat has'Ghana Nationalmanaged to locatePetroleumthe "2017 WorkCorporation Law,Programme of the1983 (PNDCL 64)'.Ghana NationalAvailable at:https://www.petrocom.gov.gh/wp-corporationonal_petroleum_coron e for 2018.ional_petroleum_corThe MSG did noton 25 March 2020.where theseaspects wereaspects were	http://www.petroco1984 (PNDCL 84).m.gov.gh/L&C_foldModalities oner/Pet_register/lawModalities ons/PETROLEUM%20(investmentEXPLORATION%20Aactivities wereND%20PRODUCTIOexplained as statedND%20ACT.%20201through the annual6%20(ACT%20919)workplan which is.pdf. Accessed onsubmitted and20 March 2020.approved byPetroleumof public records.Commission (n.d.),The Secretariat has'Ghana Nationalmanaged to locatePetroleumthe "2017 WorkCorporation Law,Programme of the1983 (PNDCL 64)'.Ghana NationalAvailable at:Petroleumhttps://www.petroccorporationom.gov.gh/wp-(GNPC)" 68 but werecontent/uploads/2not able to locate018/12/ghana_natone for 2018.ional_petroleum_corThe MSG did notcorporation_law_198The MSG did not31.pdf. Accessedon where theseaspects wereaspects were

⁶⁸ Parliament of Ghana (n.d.), "Library Repository: Report of the Select Committee on Mines And Energy on the 2017 Work Programme of the Ghana National Petroleum Corporation (GNPC)". Available at: <u>http://ir.parliament.gh/handle/123456789/797</u>. Accessed on 11 June 2020.

dividends to the government unti 2026 when it is legally binding to so. As opposed to previous reportin the report does n indicate whether GNPC is allowed seek third-party financing, nor an terms or condition for doing so. The report also does indicate rules concerning reinvestments in subsidiaries. The terms were clarift through consultations as being contained the Ghana Petroleum Corporation Law 1983 (PNDCL 64)	do Revenue Management Act of 2011 No.815. Available at: https://www.mofep. gov.gh/publications /acts-and- policies/petroleum- revenue- management-act- 815. Accessed on 20 March 2020. not Ministry of Finance (2015), 'Petroleum Revenue Management (amendment) Act of 2015 No.893. Available at: https://www.mofep. gov.gh/publications /acts-and- policies/petroleum- revenue-	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas.		
--	---	--	--	--

An explanation of the prevailing practices regarding the financial relationship between the government and SOEs has been disclosed for the year under review (#2.6.a.i)	Oil and gas: The report includes data on the practice of these financial relationships.GNPC's receipts of in-kind contributions by companies on behalf of the state are indicated.Payments to PHF from various fields, broken down by revenue streams are indicated, including Parliament's decision to allocate funds to GNPC for Equity financing and its share of Carried and Participating Interests (CAPI).The report does not fully address the financial relationship between GNGC and	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, table 4.3 pp.71-72, table5.1, pp.107-108 and pp.87-88,151. GNPC (2018), '2017 consolidated financial statement'. Available at: https://www.gnpcg hana.com/speeche s/2017 financial.p df. Accessed on 11 February 2020. PIAC (2019), 'PIAC 2018 Annual Report'. (pp.14,103-104 Available at: https://www.piacgh ana.org/portal/5/2 5/piac-reports. Accessed on 11 June 2020.	Oil and gas: When consulted, the MSG confirmed that practices regarding GNPC's third-party financing, including updated numbers for 2018 and the terms and conditions, was not located in the 2017-2018 report. The MSG referred to GNPC's 2018 Audited financial statement and shared a copy. However, as the 2018 AFS was not publicly available by the commencement of Validation, the International Secretariat is not able to take the additional information into account for the purpose of this assessment.	Meaningful progress	Ghana EITI should ensure to disclose data regarding the <u>practices</u> concerning the financial relationship between the government and GNPC, including the practices regarding GNPC's reinvestments related to joint ventures and subsidiaries, and practices and terms associated with third-party financing arrangements.
---	---	---	--	------------------------	---

GNPC, except noting that there are some outstanding liabilities from GNGC towards GNPC for Gas sales and commenting on a USD 50m loan from GNPC to MoF, and its current status. This is confirmed through their audited financial statements from 2017, though 2018 AFS was not publicly available at	In terms of whether there was comprehensive data on <u>liabilities</u> <u>between GNPC and</u> <u>related parties.</u> (including GNGC and the Government of Ghana) and <u>data on</u> <u>GNPC's</u> <u>investments</u> for 2018, the MSG referred to the 2018 AFS of GNPC, which again is not publicly accessible. The MSG also	
-		

	regarding GNPC's third-party financing and liabilities.		USD 325.39m by the end of 2018, with USD304.6m being made in 2018. The report did not provide further details on liabilities nor investments for 2018.		
The government and SOE(s) have disclosed their level of ownership in mining, oil and gas companies operating within the country's oil, gas and mining sector, including those held by SOE subsidiaries and joint ventures. The terms associated with these ownership interests have been disclosed (#2.6.a.ii)	Oil and gas: The report clarifies that GNPC and GNGC are 100% owned by the government. The report references to GNPC's website ⁶⁹ for details of GNPC's financial statements, though the 2018 consolidated financial statements are not publicly available at the time of writing.	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, table 2.3, pp.28-30,41- 42,46,120,132. GNPC (2018), '2017 consolidated financial statement'. Available at: https://www.gnpcg hana.com/speeche s/2017_financial.p df. Accessed on 11 February 2020. Ministry of Finance (2018), '2017 State	In relation to the <u>full</u> <u>list of GNPC's</u> <u>assets and</u> <u>interests, and an</u> <u>explanation of the</u> <u>terms associated</u> with GNPC's ownership and interests in various subsidiaries, assets and joint ventures, the MSG again made reference to the 2018 AFS. Judging by the 2017 AFS, it did not comment on the terms of GNPC's	Meaningful progress	Ghana EITI should ensure that disclosures include the practices regarding <u>GNPC's</u> <u>reinvestments related</u> to joint ventures and <u>subsidiaries</u> , and practices and terms associated with <u>third- party financing</u> <u>arrangements</u> .

https://www.gnpcghana.com/speeches/2017_financial.pdf

Intereport clarines GNPC's minority interest in the 3 oil producing fields: Jubilee Fields (13.64%), TEN (15%), and Sankofa Gye Nyame (SGN) Field (20%). Additionally, GNPC holds interest in two other non-producing fields (DWCTP and Springfield)omic/2 gov.ab triles (2017 Petrole Comm (2017 Petrole interest explain the general terms associated with state equity through GNPC in the various projects. Tables 2.1 and 2.2 also contains some information regarding the terms associated with contains some regarding the terms associated with contains some information regarding the terms associated with contains some regarding the terms associated with contains some r	www.mofep. sites/defaulcontract areaslisted in theeports/econpetroleum register.D17-State-nip-nim-nip-nim-nip-nim-nip-nim-nip-nim-nip-nim-nip-nip-nim-nip-nip-nip-nip-nim-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip-nip- </th
--	--

The report does not clarify the exact interests GNPC has in other projectsFive fields are listed in the report, versus and 18 contract areas listed in the petroleum register. The register, however, does contain this information through publicly accessible contracts and their terms.The report describes three subsidiaries of GNPC:1.GNPC Exploration and Production Company Limited (Explorco), 100% ownership	reports&id=19:valid ation- reports&Itemid=54. Accessed on 20 March 2020.	GNGC to GNPC. The MSG clarified that these on-going clarifications were the reasons for raising the issue in EITI reporting. Regarding GNPC's financial relationship and full liabilities/transactio ns towards the <u>decommissioning</u> project of Saltpond Offshore Producing Company Limited (SOPCL), the MSG indicated that these payments did not fit the definition as a quasi-fiscal activity, and that the project was in accordance with the Ghana Petroleum (Exploration and Production) Act, 2016 (presumably, noted as E&P Act). Additionally, references were		
---	--	--	--	--

2. GNPC	made to the GNPC's
Operating	2018 AFS. Lastly,
Services	the
Company	Regarding
Ltd	
(GOSCO),	assurance of comprehensiveness
33%-owned	
by GNPC	, the MSG indicated
Explorco,	that all transactions
	reported by GNPC
3. Prestea	were checked and
Sankofa,	compared to financial
90%	statements of
ownership.	GNPC. The IA
(p.46).	confirmed this for
Prestea Sankofa is	2017, though
described as a gold	noting that as 2018 accounts had not
company and was	been audited at the
not operational	
during the period	time of reporting,
under review, thus	they had to rely on statements and
not relevant for	
revenue	accounts provided
disclosures,	by GNPC's
however GNPC's	management.
financial	GNPC's submission
statements indicate	
there were	by a certification
transactions	signature by
between GNPC and	

	-		
several of its		management or a	
subsidiaries. The		senior officer.	
EITI report does not			
clarify any of these			
transactions. As the			
2018 Consolidated			
financial			
statements are not			
yet published, it is			
also unclear			
whether there are			
additional			
transactions and			
liabilities for the			
2018 fiscal year.			
This is also relevant			
for GNPC's			
subsidiary, Prestea			
Sankofa, which is			
non-operational and			
therefore relies on			
transfers from			
GNPC to address its			
liabilities.			
Reference is also			
made to GNGC as a			
separate SOE,			
though conflicting			
information exists,			
which also refers to			

GNGC as a		
subsidiary.		
There is little		
information and		
clarity on the legal		
and financial		
relationship		
between GNGC and		
GNPC, as captured		
under		
recommendation 3		
of the report.		
Furthermore, the		
GNPC Foundation is		
discussed in a later		
section as a		
subsidiary but not		
listed under the		
section on		
subsidiaries.		
It is not clear if the		
companies		
captured are		
actually the full		
scope of GNPC		
subsidiaries and		
joint ventures (JVs),		
especially		
considering that		

Saltpond Offshore		
Producing Company		
is not mentioned,		
even if there		
appears to be		
substantial		
decommissioning		
costs associated		
with GNPC's		
ownership in the		
company. The		
company is not		
mentioned in the		
EITI report, but		
confirmed through		
GNPC's audited		
financial		
statements.		
Also, when		
reviewing the MoF		
report on state		
ownership and		
GNPC's		
consolidated financial		
statements for		
2017, additional companies are also		
indicated as		
subsidiaries: For		
example, GNPC		

Technip		
Engineering		
Services (GTES),		
Airtel and Mole		
Motel. However,		
these are not		
designated as		
extractive		
companies, and are		
thus not applicable.		
The addendum to		
the 2017-2018 Oil		
and Gas report		
covers subsidiaries		
and joint ventures		
in some more		
detail. It identifies		
additional interests		
of GNPC Explorco		
and additional		
information related		
to GNPC		
Foundation.		
However, the report		
does not clarify the		
financial		
relationship or		
dividend-policies		
related to these		
subsidiaries, and		
refers back to		

Any changes in the level of SOE or state ownership during the reporting period have been disclosed, including the terms of the transactions (#2.6.a.ii)	GNPC's audited financial statements for more information. As GNPC's AFS for 2018 is not publicly available, information on transactions with these subsidiaries have not been disclosed. The report does not clarify whether any changes in the level of SOE ownership for the period under review, though GNPC's audited financial statements indicate that no changes did occur in 2017, specifically related to non-extractive companies.	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas. GNPC (2018), '2017 consolidated financial statement'. Available at: https://www.gnpcg hana.com/speeche s/2017_financial.p df. Accessed on 11 February 2020.	The MSG confirmed that no changes of <u>GNPC's ownership</u> occurred in 2018. The MSG did not clarify how comprehensiveness was ensured for 2018 data.	Satisfactory progress	
	specifically related to non-extractive	df. Accessed on 11			

		available at: https://www.mofep. gov.gh/sites/defaul t/files/reports/econ omic/2017-State- Ownership- Report.pdf. Accessed on 19 March 2020.			
Details about any loans or loan guarantees to mining, oil and gas companies operating within the country have been disclosed, including loan tenor and terms (i.e. repayment schedule and interest rate) (#2.6.a.ii)	As with changes in ownership, the report does not seem to address details on loans and/or loan guarantees comprehensively. The report does provide an update on the settlement of a USD 50 million loan to the Ministry of Finance, with developments as late as in December 2018. However, no other liabilities were	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas. GNPC (2018), '2017 consolidated financial statement'. Available at: https://www.gnpcg hana.com/speeche s/2017_financial.p df. Accessed on 11 February 2020. Ministry of Finance (2018), '2017 State ownership report', available at: https://www.mofep.	Regarding additional loans and/or loan guarantees indicated through <u>GNPC's</u> 2017 AFS, the MSG asked for more details regarding which precise loans and guarantees that were not covered. The EITI referenced the six different aspects covered in Ghana's second Validation, some of which include the financing	Meaningful progress	Ghana EITI should ensure to disclose information on all loans (short-, mid- or long-term) or loan guarantees made towards extractive sector companies, from the Government of Ghana, and/or GNPC. Details on these liabilities should include loan tenor and terms, and related transactions.

ir	indicated in the	gov.gh/sites/defaul	arrangements	
	report itself.	t/files/reports/econ	associated with	
		omic/2017-State-	different petroleum	
s	Still, due to GNPC	Ownership-	projects, changes in	
a	publishing its 2017	Report.pdf.	amounts due from	
	financial	Accessed on 19	related parties,	
s	statements,	March 2020.	changes in amounts	
a	additional	1110112020.	due from	
	information is	Ghana Audit Service	government and its	
a	available for 2017.	(2019), 'Report of	agencies, as well as	
	It indicates that	the Auditor General	a "Term Loan &	
	there were	on the Public	Borrowing: Litasco	
a	additional loans,	Accounts Central	BOST loan". Some	
ir	including	Government for the	of which have also	
t	transactions related	Financial Year	been referenced	
t	to the loans, for	Ended 31	through the PIAC	
Т Т	TEN Partner	December 2018'.	2018 Annual	
f	financing and SGN	Available at:	Report. The MSG	
F	Partner financing.	https://ghaudit.org/	indicated that	
A	Additionally, it	web/download/34/	detailed comments	
h	highlights a bank	auditor-general-	would be provided	
l	loan which is	reports/1060/repor	at the receipt of the	
a	presumably being	t-of-the-auditor-	assessment.	
s	serviced by the	general-on-the-		
g	government itself.	public-accounts-		
N	None of this	<u>central-government-</u>		
ir	information was	for-the-financial-		
	included in EITI	<u>year-ended-31-</u>		
	reporting. GNPC	december-		
	ater provided the	2018.pdf. Accessed		
	International	on 23 March 2020.		
9	Secretariat with a			

	copy of the AFS for 2018, though it remains unpublished and therefore not considered in this assessment. Lastly, the report of the Auditor General of Ghana highlights "doubtful debts" of GNPC that are not mentioned in the EITI Report, of GHS 10 million (excess of ~USD 2.3 million).			
SOEs have publicly disclosed their audited financial statements, or the main financial items (i.e. balance sheet, profit/loss statement, cash flows) where financial statements are not available (#2.6.b)	GNPC has already made their audited consolidated financial statements available for 2017, but due to procurement delays for external auditors' of 2018 financial statements, these	GNPC (2018), '2017 consolidated financial statement'. Available at: <u>https://www.gnpcg</u> <u>hana.com/speeche</u> <u>s/2017 financial.p</u> <u>df</u> . Accessed on 11 February 2020.	In relation to <u>how</u> <u>Ghana EITI</u> <u>reporting adapted</u> <u>to limitations cause</u> <u>by the delayed audit</u> <u>process for GNPC,</u> the MSG commented that engagement with Ghana Audit Service was undertaken to prioritise audits of	While not required, Ghana EITI is recommended to provide additional commentary regarding the effectiveness of auditing procedures and possible bottlenecks, and to support the government and

	were not published by the commencement of Validation. GNPC later provided the International Secretariat with a copy of the AFS for 2018, though it remains unpublished and therefore not considered in this assessment. Additionally, the Ministry of Finance prepare an annual report regarding all SOEs, which similarly was published for 2017, though not for 2018.	Ministry of Finance (2018), '2017 State ownership report', available at: https://www.mofep. gov.gh/sites/defaul t/files/reports/econ omic/2017-State- Ownership- Report.pdf. Accessed on 19 March 2020.	EITI Reporting institutions. They also provided an explanation that the cause of the delay was due to a substantial delay in formal appointment letters for GNPC's external auditors, from the government's side.	GNPC in ensuring timely audit publications.
The country has publicly described the rules and practices related to SOEs' operating and capital	The audited financial statements of GNPC does include practices related to their operating and	GNPC (2018), '2017 consolidated financial statement'. Available at: https://www.gnpcg		

expenditures,	capital	hana.com/speeche		
procurement,	expenditures,	<u>s/2017_financial.p</u>		
subcontracting and	including corporate	df. Accessed on 11		
corporate	governance and	February 2020.		
governance, for	composition of its			
example	Board. 66			
composition and				
appointment of the				
Board of Directors,				
Board's mandate,				
code of conduct				
(#2.6.c)				

Requirement 4: Revenue collection

Assessment	Assessment table: <u>Revenue collection</u>							
EITI Requireme nt	EITI sub- Requiremen t	Summary of main findings	Source(s) of information	Summary of stakeholder views	Recommen dation on compliance with the EITI provisions (to be completed for 'required' provisions)	Proposed corrective actions and recommendation s		

		Mining: The MSG's decisions		
Comprehe	The MSG	on materiality of revenue	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report	Satisfactory
nsive	has agreed	streams have been	for Mining, section 4.2, pp.62-	progress
disclosure	on a	documented, and the reporting	78, tables 4.3 and 4.4, pp. 62-	
of taxes	materiality	threshold was based on	66.	
and	definition	relative influence on coverage,		
revenues	for revenue	leading to a materiality	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report	
(#4.1)	streams,	threshold of GHS 17,205,153	for Oil and Gas, pp.72-76,80.	
	including	(~1% of total revenues in		
	any	2018).		
	reporting	Oil and gas: Materiality is		
	thresholds,	discussed under section 4.3 of		
	as well as	the oil and gas report. Total		
	the options	revenues received from the		
	considered	sector was the basis for		
	and the	determining materiality of		
	rationale for	revenue streams, though prior		
	the	to determining material		
	materiality	revenue streams some were		
	definition	excluded on the basis of being		
	(#4.1.b)	indirect forms of taxation.		
		Additionally, some revenue		
		streams were excluded on the		
		basis of being non-extractive		
		specific.		
		Beend on motoriality		
		Based on materiality		
		considerations, eight revenue		
		streams were selected,		
		representing a minimum		
		threshold of USD 9.73 million.		

					<u> </u>
The MSG has agreed on a materiality definition for companies, including any reporting thresholds, as well as the options considered and the rationale for the materiality definition (#4.1.b)	Mining: The MSG chose a materiality threshold of GHS 2,000,000 for companies, ensuring a coverage of 67% towards <i>all</i> revenues, including excluded revenue streams, and 98% coverage towards all non-excluded revenues. This led to the selection of 16 companies for 2018, listed on page 74 of the report. The materiality threshold decision was made on the basis of number of companies included in reconciliation, with associated coverage of reconciliation towards non- excluded revenue streams. Oil and gas: The oil and gas report confirm that a threshold of USD 350 000 in payments to government was used to select companies to report for 2018.	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pp.67-72, tables 4.3 and 4.4, pp. 62-66. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.68,72- 76,78,80.		Satisfactory progress	
The revenue streams considered material are publicly	Mining: The material revenue streams are listed (pp. 68-69), and described in further detail in various tables.	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, tables 2.1 (p.31), 4.2 (p.57), and 4.11 (p.76).	Oil and Gas: The MSG clarified that dividends were included as a material revenue stream, with any lack of description in the report as a minor omission,	Satisfactory progress	

c	listed and described (#4.1.b)	Oil and Gas: Material revenue streams are identified, including a description of them in table 4.2. Dividends are included in the descriptions but not identified as a material revenue stream while technology allowance was identified as material but not described. There may be issue of mixing training versus technology allowances.	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.68-69.	while referencing the Petroleum Revenue Management Act, 2011 (Act 815). They further explained that Training and Technology Allowances are often reported in aggregate by both government and companies, and hence have been reported as such. However, in reality, the revenue stream reconciled was identified as Training Allowance, which is explained in Table 4.2 (alongside Dividends).		
s li p d b c v M a a c r s s f	The revenue streams listed in provision 4.1.c have been considered. Where the MSG has agreed to exclude certain revenue streams from the scope of	Mining: The MSG considered indirect payments, such as VAT, withholding taxes, National Health Insurance Levy (NHIL), and personal income taxes to be excluded, though their contribution to overall revenues are significant (~32% in 2018, based on table 4.3). The MSG reasons that, since these payments are made by companies on behalf of third parties, they were not relevant for extractive sector activities (p.66). This strategy mirrors	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pages 66-68, section 4.7. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.72-74,80.	Mining: The MSG clarified that dividends and transportation receipts were included into <i>consideration</i> for reconciliation due to issues of continuity and consistency (they were both included in 2015 and 2016 reporting. However, in the end only dividends were reconciled, as they were material; i.e. exceeding GHS 13.8m in 2017 and GHS 17.2m in 2018. No other issues were left unaddressed.	Satisfactory progress	

EITI	that of Ghana's second		
disclosures,	Validation, which posed no	Oil and gas: Upon clarification	
the	issue for the purpose of	from MSG, while the report	
rationale for	comprehensiveness. The	indicates that excise duty,	
their	remaining revenues for	import duty and port/shorebase	
exclusion is	determining material revenue	were excluded due to not being	
clearly	streams amounted to GHS	extractive industry payments, in	
documente	1,720,515,251 in 2018	reality there were not revenues	
d (#4.1.c).	(p.66). This resulted in 13	received through these fiscal	
	revenue streams selected for	instruments. Additionally, the MSG noted that companies are	
	reconciliation, listed on page	exempt from such payments	
	68. However, the list does not	through Mining leases.	
	include two revenue streams	through winning leases.	
	that were also included in		
	reconciliation, namely		
	dividends, and transportation		
	revenues of Ghana Railways.		
	Oil and gas: Environmental		
	permitting and processing fees		
	(EPA) and surface rentals were		
	included in the scope of		
	reconciliation despite		
	contributing less than 1% of		
	total government revenues,		
	given that they were listed in		
	EITI Requirement 4.1.b.		
	Withholding taxes, PAYE and		
	VAT were excluded because		
	these payments were made on		
	behalf of supplies and other		

	entities (p.73). As mention under the assessment of mining revenues, this is consistent with previous reporting years and does not pose a problem towards the EITI Standard. Excise duty import duty and Port/Shorebase costs were excluded because the MSG ruled that they are not EI related payments. However, though they are indicated as included in table 4.4, it appears no revenues were collected. This appears somewhat confusing as capital gains tax, data license fees and license application were excluded due to lack of payments.				
The MSG has identified the companies making	Mining: The report clearly identifies reporting companies, and the companies which did not submit reporting templates are named. ⁷⁰ The remainder of companies provided	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, page 84. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.9,79,85, ,100-101,135-136.	Oil and gas: Both the IA and the MSG confirmed that Tullow Ghana Limited did indeed report, and any indication of non-reporting was limited to specific revenue streams	Meaningful progress, with considerabl e	In accordance with Requirement 4.1, Ghana should ensure that the materiality

⁷⁰ The companies that did not report are Anglogold Ashanti (Ghana) Limited (TIN C0003278271), Kibi Goldfields Limited (TIN C0003137074) and West Africa Quarries Limited (TIN C0002788608).

material	templates, while government	handled in the reconciliation	improvemen	threshold for
payments	agencies unilaterally declared	exercise. Any other indications	ts	selecting
and whether	material payments on behalf	should be considered		companies in
these	of those who did not.	misstatements or errors of the		future EITI
companies		report.		reporting ensures
fully	Oil and gas: The report			that all material
reported all	identifies nine companies	It was not sufficiently clear from		companies
payments in	selected for reporting in 2018,	stakeholder consultation, how		participate in EITI
accordance	and they are identified in table	the MSG and Ghana EITI		reporting. Ghana.
with the	4.10. Though a misstatement	attempted to engage all		
materiality	related to non-reporting in the	companies to ensure		
definition	report causes some confusion,	comprehensive reconciliation in		
(#4.1.d and	it appears that six companies	accordance with the		
the IA ToR)	failed to submit data for EITI	reconciliation target for the oil		
	reporting, though only three of	and gas sector.		
	these were relevant for			
	2018. ⁷¹ Total payments of			
	these companies represented			
	more than USD 117m or 12%			
	of the total reconciliation			
	target (author's calculation,			
	pp.9,79,100-101). Unilateral			
	disclosure on behalf of these			
	companies were sought from			
	government agencies, the			
	disclosures of which imply that			
	missing company payments			
	were actually USD 51.4m			
	···· / ··· ···			

⁷¹ The petroleum companies that failed to report are identified as AGM Petroleum, Anadarko, and Vitol.

	 (pp.85,100-101), or 5.3% of the reconciliation target. However, the payments associated with one of these companies, Anadarko, represent an omission of 5% of reconciliation, or 3% of total government revenues from the oil and gas sector, causing a concern for comprehensive reconciliation. We were unable to locate reliable figures for Anadarko's payments to the Ghanaian government elsewhere, including ResourceProjects.org or through corporate filings. 			
The MSG has identified the governme entities receiving material revenues	Mining: The report lists six national-level government entities ⁷² and 15 district assemblies included in the scope of reporting. Additionally, the state-owned enterprise Ghana Railway Company is included. The report clarifies that all	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pages 62,64,69- 70,76-77,85. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.8,78,79,85.	Satisfactory progress	

⁷² Ghana Revenue Authority (GRA), Forestry Commission, Non-Tax Policy Unit (Ministry of Finance), Minerals Commission, Office of the Administrator of Stool Lands (OASL), and Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

and whether	government agencies provided		
these	data, with the exception of four		
government	district assemblies.		
entities fully			
reported all	Forestry Commission's		
receipts in	revenues from forestry mineral		
accordance	royalties were included as part		
with the	of numbers related to mineral		
materiality	royalties during reconciliation.		
definition	However, table 4.5 still		
(#4.1.d and	provides data solely related to		
the IA ToR)	forestry royalties, for the three		
	relevant companies taking part		
	in reconciliation.		
	Oil and gas: Reporting		
	government agencies are		
	presented in the report: Ghana		
	Revenue Authority, Ministry of		
	Finance, Ministry of Energy		
	(formerly petroleum), GNPC,		
	Environmental Protection		
	Agency (EPA) and the		
	Petroleum Commission. No		
	subnational government		
	agencies were included in		
	reporting for petroleum.		
	The report identifies some		
	agencies that did report, but		
	does not explicitly identify		
	whether the Ministry of		

	Finance or the EPA did. Still, table 4.11 indicates that EPA did report on behalf of AGM Petroleum for 2018, which indicates they did indeed report for the period under review.				
The government fully reported all revenues, including any revenues below the materiality thresholds (#4.1.d)	Mining: Tables 4.3 and 4.4 provides full government disclosure of revenues, by individual revenue streams, including excluded and non- material revenues. However, the report does not seem to disaggregate revenues received by each individual DA. The IA maintains in the report that these numbers are not available for Municipal and District Assemblies. Oil and gas: The report does an excellent job in full government disclosures by individual revenue streams, including those excluded from the reconciliation exercise (table 4.4). Full government disclosure amount to USD 1.7 billion, when including all	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pages 62-66,85. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.72-76.	Mining: Both the IA and the MSG confirmed that data disaggregated by District Assemblies (for Property Rates, specifically) is not practically feasible; an issue raised and accepted during Ghana's first Validation. The MSG highlighted that while these revenues did not exceed the materiality threshold set for revenue streams, it was nonetheless included in reconciliation with the purpose to perform post-hoc confirmation of data reliability compared to preliminary data. Any omissions should therefore be considered as not significant or not material.	Satisfactory progress	

	revenue streams, even indirect taxes such as withholding tax and PAYE. The report also clearly distinguishes between full government disclosures, and the revenues used for materiality decisions (the latter is presented in table 4.5).		Through consultations it was also clarified that the report contains a misstatement: Table 4.3 indicates a total of GHS 1,498,526.82 in both years, but is actually for 2017 only, while Table 4.4 indicates a total of GHS 3,356,128.00 in both years, but is for 2018 only. Reconciliation did reveal that for the in-scope companies, there is a large discrepancy between preliminary numbers and final, where property rates for <i>in</i> - <i>scope</i> companies amounted to more than 200% of preliminary data in 2017, and 153% more than preliminary data for 2018 (ref. Table 4.3 and 4.4, versus Table 4.14 and 4.15). The issues above in combination did not lead the MSG or the IA to conclude that revenue data was not comprehensive.		
Where companies or	Mining: The report identifies four District Assemblies that did not submit report for the	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pages 85-86,106.	Oil and gas: Comments from the IA clarified that Tullow Ghana Limited did indeed report, and	Satisfactory progress	

government entities paying or receiving material revenues	period under review ⁷³ , and according to the report the IA attempted to close this gap by using company-reported payments. Three companies also did not report: AngloGold	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.97,101. Comments-Responses on oil- gas gaps of the Independent	any indication of non-reporting was limited to specific revenue streams. The IA highlighted that other indications were misstatements or errors in the report.	
have not submitted reporting templates, or have not	Ashanti Ghana Limited, Kibi Goldfields Limited and West Africa Quarries Limited. The report later calculates the	Administrator. Not publicly available, submitted to the International Secretariat 26 February 2020.		
fully disclosed all the payments and revenues, EITI reporting	significance of reporting			
documents these issues and includes an assessment of the impact on	comprehensiveness of data disclosed in the 2017-2018 Report. Oil and gas: The report clearly			
the comprehens	identifies the effects of non- reporting for the two reporting years. It compares the effect of non-reporting towards both full			

⁷³ Upper Denkyira District Assembly, Yilo Krobo District Assembly, Amansie South District Assembly, and Obuasi Municipal Assembly.

					-	
	ness of report.	government disclosures with and without the excluded revenue streams (table 4.19). Non-reporting amounted to 5.3% towards the reconciliation target, and 3% toward full government disclosures. However, the report does seem to include some contradictions by claiming Tullow reported (pp.82,85) in some sections, while other sections indicate Tullow did not report (pp.101). It may be that these numbers are related to non-reporting of training fees, as identified elsewhere in the report (pp.97,101).				
with ToR sum the find fron	cordance h the IA R, a mmary of e key dings m the ependen	Mining: The report clarifies that the reconciliation target coverage amounts to 67% of all government revenues from extractive companies, or 98% of the revenues used in materiality threshold calculations (table 4.8) or roughly GHS 1.7 billion.	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pages 72-73. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.8,100-101. Responses on oil-gas gaps of the Independent Administrator. Not publicly available, submitted to the	Oil and gas: With reference to the above clarifications regarding reporting by Tullow Ghana Limited, and the seeming lack of explicit reference to whether non- reporting influenced the comprehensiveness of the report, the MSG and IA both	Satisfactory progress	

EITI International Secretariat

Phone: +47 222 00 800 • E-mail: secretariat@eiti.org • Twitter: @EITlorg • www.eiti.org Address: Rådhusgata 26, 0151 Oslo, Norway

o a w to c iv t t d a c t t r e n	t Administrat or's assessment with regards to the comprehens veness of the EITI disclosures and coverage of the reconciliatio n has been provided.	The report also contains an assessment that EITI disclosures are seen as comprehensive and reconciliation was not substantially affected by non- reporting. Oil and gas: The report for oil and gas does indicate that while the reconciliation target was 56.8% of full government disclosures, or 99.8% of non- excluded revenue streams, roughly 54% was actual coverage. The report does not state whether the non- reporting influenced the overall comprehensiveness of the report.	International Secretariat 26 February 2020.	confirmed that the revenue data is viewed as comprehensive.		
c n n p g h p d	The companies making material payments to government have publicly disclosed their	Mining: While the report does discuss the audit and assurance practices that material companies undergo, the IA only clarifies that none of the audited financial statements (AFSs) of companies included qualified audit opinions (i.e. no indications of severe issues or	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pp.78-80. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.81-82,114. GNPC (2019), 'GNPC's 2017 Consolidated financial statements.' Available at: http://www.gnpcghana.com/s		Not required	While not required, it is expected that Ghana further document how stakeholders can access audited financial statements of material

		_	-	
audited	misstatements), but does not	peeches/2017_financial.pdf.		companies, with
financial	clarify whether companies'	Accessed in February 2020.		precise sources
statements,	AFSs are publicly accessible,			to public
or the main	nor where they might be	The Audit Service of Ghana		disclosures of
items (i.e.	published.	(2019), 'The Auditor General's		such reports.
balance		Reports'. Available at:		
sheet,	The report also explains that	https://ghaudit.org/web/repor		
profit/loss	the Auditor General of Ghana	ts/. Accessed on 20 February		
statement,	and the Audit Service had	2020.		
cash flows)	completed audits for all			
where	government agencies by the			
financial	time of reporting, providing a			
statements	source to where annual			
are not	reports were published.			
available				
(4.1.e).	Oil and gas: While the report			
	does discuss the audit and			
	assurance practices that			
	material companies undergo,			
	the IA only clarifies that none			
	of the audited financial			
	statements (AFSs) of			
	companies included qualified			
	audit opinions (i.e. no			
	indications of severe issues or			
	misstatements), but does not			
	clarify whether companies'			
	AFSs are publicly accessible,			
	nor where they might be			
	published. The only audited			
	financial statement referenced			

		is for GNPC's 2017 financial year. ⁷⁴ The report also explains the process of auditing that government agencies undergo, and provide references to the Audit Service's reports. While the direct link is broken, the main site is available and easily navigated. ⁷⁵ The report does indicate that all government agencies had undergone external audits for the periods under review, with no qualified opinion.			
In-kind revenues (#4.2)	The MSG has set a definition of materiality with regards to in-kind revenues (#4.2)	Mining: As confirmed in previous Validations, there are no in-kind revenues nor any sales of the state's share of production in the mining sector. The report confirms this. Oil and gas: The report, as well as previous Validation procedures, identify two main revenue streams that are	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, section 4.5 (pp.108-109). 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.28-30,64- 65,73-76,86.	Meaningful progress	Ghana EITI should ensure that all volumes collected and sold and the proceeds of those sales are considered for materiality and reconciliation, including physical delivery of

⁷⁴

⁷⁵ The Audit Service of Ghana (2019), 'The Auditor General's Reports'. Available at: <u>https://ghaudit.org/web/reports/</u>. Accessed on 20 February 2020.

		-	-
collected in-kind: carried and			natural gas, and
participating interests (CAPI)			the state's share
and royalties. Gas sales were			of these sales.
not considered material, as			
there were no cash payments			
received by GNPC in the period			
under review, even if physical			
deliveries of gas did occur to			
Ghana National Gas			
Corporation. However, the			
report does not attempt to			
distinguish the in-kind volumes			
of gas which are the share of			
the state. Gas deliveries in			
2018 to GNGC is reported in			
table 3.10 of the report (non-			
associated gas only), and			
amounted to 33,826 MMScf.			
Table 3.6 estimate the value			
of exports of a similar amount			
of gas, valued at USD 85m,			
which would imply that the in-			
kind share of gas due to the			
state and/or GNPC may be			
material, or that its materiality			
was not sufficiently explored			
by the MSG.			
There is no indication of a			
different materiality threshold			
for in-kind revenues.			

	Where in- kind revenues exist and are considered material, the Validator is expected to document whether these have been fully disclosed (#4.2.a)	 Oil and gas: Tables 4.12 and 4.13 show the volumes of royalties and CAPI shares of all partners for TEN and Jubilee fields in 2017 and 2018 (p.87). These are all paid into the Petroleum Holding Fund (PHF) at the Bank of Ghana. Additionally, the report reconciles data of total lifting volumes by date for each field (including SGN), between GNPC and GRA in table 4.14. Table 4.15 presents the same reconciliation between data provided by GRA and oil companies. CAPI and royalties were among the most significant towards revenues considered for materiality: carried and participating interests (CAPI) (56.3%) and royalty (27.3%). These revenue streams apply both for oil and natural gas. As there were no payments for gas sales in the period under review, the report did not 	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.71,87- 89,90,102-105. GNPC (2019), 'GNPC's 2017 Consolidated financial statements.' Available at: http://www.gnpcghana.com/s peeches/2017 financial.pdf. Accessed in February 2020.	Oil and gas: Regarding <u>revenues</u> <u>from gas sales</u> , the MSG indicated that GNPC's audited financial statements report on an accrual basis, while EITI reports report on a cash basis. The MSG continues to maintain that there were no cash transfers of gas revenues received by GNPC in 2017 or 2018, and that these transactions were not material. The MSG also indicated that the differences in numbers between GNPC and GHEITI reporting, did not deter any confidence in the comprehensiveness of the EITI Report on in-kind revenues. Regarding the issue related to <u>proceeds from sales of CAPI</u> <u>and royalty</u> being much lower than what was transferred to the PHF, the MSG explained that these proceeds are not the only revenues paid to the PHF; additionally there are surface rentals, corporate tax and PHF interests. While the MSG maintains that this may explain the discrepancy, the issue	Meaningful progress	Ghana EITI should ensure that all volumes and values of the state's share from gas sales are disclosed, and that differences <i>within</i> GHEITI's disclosures or between GHEITI and other sources are adequately explained.
--	--	--	---	---	------------------------	--

reconcile any gas transactions	remains that CAPI and royalty	
in either volume nor value.	proceeds covered in the EITI	
However, the report does	report, for CAPI and royalty only,	
identify sales of gas from each	is much lower than what was	
producing field to GNGC,	transferred to the PHF for CAPI	
though it seems that GNGC	and royalty <u>only</u> , and these	
has not yet made payments for	differences were not adequately	
these gas sales. GNPC's	covered in the EITI Report	
audited financial statement for	(please refer to the main body	
2017 indicates that there	of this assessment for further	
were accrued earnings in	analysis).	
2017 alone, amounting to USD		
27.2m stemming from gas		
sales in 2017. There were no		
publicly available external data		
for gas sales to confirm the		
lack of payments for 2018, nor		
to indicate the materiality of		
the state's share of the		
proceeds from gas volumes		
provided to GNGC.		
The report includes contextual		
information and valuation of		
the proceeds from CAPI (oil) as		
well as royalty oil.		
Though in-kind volumes are		
disaggregated by revenue		
stream, all values are located		
in table 4.20, by field, date of		
sales, and buyer. Total		

		volumes amounted to almost				
		 volumes amounted to almost 9.8m barrels, valued at more than USD 688.2m. The report does not comment on the seeming discrepancy between payments into the PHF (Table 4.3), which suggests that proceeds of CAPI and royalties were valued at almost USD 814m. The report does not comment on the difference, but a possible explanation has been provided in the main body of this assessment (see chapter 6.3). Oil sales are not reconciled to buying companies' data, though this is encouraged, not required. The report also does not indicate whether a new 				
		commodity trading report will be produced for 2017-2018.				
Transactio ns between SOEs and governmen	The MSG have established whether SOEs make payments to the	Mining: This requirement continues to be not applicable in the mining sector. As mentioned under Requirement 2.6, the report clarifies that there were dividend payments from private companies to	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, section 4.7, 4.8 and 4.10 (pp.109-110). 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.45- 47,71,108,119,151.	Based on stakeholder consultation, the MSG and stakeholders confirmed that materiality was only assessed for payments to government, not payments to GNPC. While these deliberations may suggest	Satisfactory progress	To strengthen implementation, Ghana is recommended to revisit their procedures for ensuring

Third Validation of Ghana Draft assessment by the EITI International Secretariat

t entities	government,	government, but no activities	there may exist other material comprehensive
(#4.5)	collect	of SOEs in the mining sector.	transactions occurring during disclosure and
	material		the period under review, public reconciliation of
	revenues on	Oil and gas: The report clarifies	and non-publicly available data transactions of
	behalf of	that GNPC collects royalties	(e.g. GNPC's non-public audited state-owned
	the state, or	(and carried and additional	financial statements for 2018), enterprises, with
	both (#4.5)	participating interests) in kind	confirmed that relevant the aim to ensur
		on behalf of the government	transactions did not exceed the that all
		(see requirement 4.2 above).	materiality threshold. transactions of
		GNPC then markets the oil and	SOEs with
		gas, and transfers the	government –
		proceeds to the PHF. The	and payments
		report also indicates that	from extractive
		private companies contributed	companies,
		to 86% of royalty oil. The report	subsidiaries and
		indicates that, of the	joint ventures –
		USD977m received by the PHF	are reported and
		in 2018, USD814m were paid	considered for
		by GNPC, and these revenues	materiality.
		were considered material.	Where material,
		GNPC's receipts of oil from	Ghana should
		IOCs are clarified and	ensure that all
		considered material.	transactions are
			reported and
		The report does not seem to	reconciled.
		consider dividend incomes of	
		extractive subsidiaries for	
		materiality purposes, nor have	
		they been reported.	

Third Validation of Ghana Draft assessment by the EITI International Secretariat

The MSG has establish whether financial transfers between governm entities a SOEs exi and are material (#4.5)	includes a section on transactions related to SOEs, in which it claims all transactions are covered under issues concerning state participation. However, no information of any transactions nor discussions	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.45- 47,71,107-108. GNPC (2019), 'GNPC's 2017 Consolidated financial statements.' Available at: http://www.gnpcghana.com/s peeches/2017 financial.pdf. Accessed in February 2020.	Regarding supporting documentation that there were no additional transactions in 2018 between (i) GNPC and its subsidiaries/joint ventures, and (ii) GNPC and government agencies, the MSG indicated that GHEITI report confirmed this by referring to GNPC management accounts, and through the 2018 AFS, which was not final or publicly accessible at the time. The IA therefore also cautioned that there were some aspects that they were not able to publicise through the EITI Report, due to this limitation. It is worth noting that other reports, such as PIAC's 2018 Annual report (pp.103-105), references additional transactions in 2018, though other non-public documentation (ref. GNPC's audited financial statement for 2018), confirm that these transactions were not material.	Satisfactory progress	Ghana EITI is recommeended to revisit their procedures for assessing materiality and reconciliation of SOEs' transactions with the government and other SOEs. Where material, Ghana should ensure that all transactions are reported and reconciled.
---	---	--	---	--------------------------	--

Mater payme from compa to SOB have b compr ively a reliabl disclos (#4.5)	entsincludes data on payments from companies to GNPC foraniesCAPI, royalties, and other forms of transactions.Esforms of transactions.beenThere does not seem to be evidence of other transactionsIyfrom companies to GNPC (or any of its subsidiaries). There	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.45- 47,71,107-108. GNPC (2019), 'GNPC's 2017 Consolidated financial statements.' Available at: http://www.gnpcghana.com/s peeches/2017_financial.pdf. Accessed in February 2020. Ghana EITI (2020), 'Addendum to 2017/2018 GHEITI Reconciliation Reports – 26 February 2020'. Available at: http://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site/i ndex.php?option=com_phocad ownload&view=category&dow	Regarding the <u>lack of coverage</u> of GNPC's dividend income from its subsidiaries and joint <u>ventures</u> , the MSG indicated that those received by GNPC was not a revenue stream for reconciliation. MSG comments and non-public information confirmed that such transactions were not material for the period under review. Regarding <u>differences between</u> <u>EITI reporting and GNPC's</u> <u>audited financial statement for</u> <u>2017. including gas sales</u> , the MSG indicated that the differences were due to	Satisfactory progress	Ghana EITI is recommeended to revisit their procedures for assessing materiality and reconciliation of SOEs' receipts from extractive companies, including those of its subsidiaries and joint ventures. Where material, Ghana should ensure that all transactions are
		ndex.php?option=com_phocad	MSG indicated that the		that all

Materia SOE transfer governn (includir statutor and ad have be compre ively an reliably disclose (#4.5)	PHF related to CAPI and proceeds from marketing in- kind royalties, this <i>is</i> reconciled in the EITI report. No other transactions to government are mentioned, though there are some outstanding questions regarding payments related to	for Oil and Gas, pp.45- 47,71,107-108,125.	Regarding the issue of <u>GNPC's</u> <u>loan to the MoF of USD 50m</u> , the MSG, MoF and GNPC all confirm that there were no transactions related to the loan in 2017-2018. The PIAC 2018 report was also cited as a reference, which confirms the lack of any transaction related to this particular arrangement, though highlighting others. Regarding the <u>transaction</u> <u>related to the Western Corridor</u> <u>roads</u> , which was highlighted in the report, the MSG clarified that these expenditures occurred in 2015 and were not relevant for the reporting period. The IA also cautioned that there were some aspects that they were not able to publicise through the EITI Report, due to delays in auditing procedures.	Satisfactory progress	
Materia governr transfei SOEs ha	nent reconciliation of transactions s to back to GNPC from the PHF,	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas, pp.45- 47,71,107-108.	Regarding the <u>lack of</u> <u>reconciliation of transactions</u> <u>from the PHF to GNPC</u> , the MSG indicated that the reconciliation	Satisfactory progress	When material, Ghana EITI should ensure that all transfers

	been comprehens ively and reliably disclosed (#4.5)	above the materiality threshold, at USD 305.3m. However, reliable and publicly accessible documentation confirm the amounts transferred to GNPC, through a procedure which is scrutinised and overseen by parliament.	GNPC (2019), 'GNPC's 2017 Consolidated financial statements.' Available at: http://www.gnpcghana.com/s peeches/2017_financial.pdf. Accessed in February 2020. Ministry of Finance (2019), 'End-Year Report on the Budget Statement and Economic Policy of the Republic of Ghana for the 2018 Financial Year'. Page 17. Available at: https://www.mofep.gov.gh/sit es/default/files/reports/econo mic/2018-CONSOLIDATED- MDAs-ANNUAL-BUDGET- PERFORMANCE-REPORT- Final.pdf. Accessed on 9 July 2020.	exercise was performed for transactions <i>to</i> the Government of Ghana, only. They further added that amounts transferred to the GNPC from the PHF were extensively detailed in section 5 of the report.		from the Government of Ghana to GNPC are reported and reconciled, including transactions from the PHF to GNPC, and others.
Disaggrega tion (#4.7)	The financial data disclosed is disaggregat ed by individual company, government	Mining: Financial data is disaggregated by individual company, <i>central</i> government entity and revenue stream. However, the report does not seem to provide subnational payments (property rates) by individual Municipal and District Assembly, as noted	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pp.62-66. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and gas, pp.11- 14,71,73-76,87-96,148-157.	Mining: Regarding comprehensiveness of property rates and disaggregation by individual subnational entity, both the IA and the MSG confirmed that data disaggregated by District Assemblies (for Property Rates, specifically) is not practically	Satisfactory progress	

entity and	under Requirement 4.1, even	feasible; an issue raised and	
revenue	if the revenue stream was	accepted during Ghana's first	
stream, in	deemed material.	Validation. However, through	
accordance		written comments, references	
	Oil and gas: The report	were also made to final	
0.01110.01101	includes disaggregation of	accounts of the District	
project	financial data by government	Assemblies; the report does not	
provided in	entity, revenue stream, and	mention attempts of reviewing	
the EITI	company. As royalties and	these accounts to confirm total	
Standard	CAPI are marketed by GNPC,	Property Rates values, and	
(#4.7).	these revenues are	citing lack of disaggregation as	
	disaggregated by volume	due to the immateriality of	
	between the different interest	Property Rates.	
	holders of various fields, while		
	the cash-equivalents are	The MSG highlighted that while	
	reported as from GNPC only.	these revenues did not exceed	
		the materiality threshold set for	
		revenue streams, it was	
		nonetheless included in	
		reconciliation with the purpose	
		to perform post-hoc	
		confirmation of data reliability	
		compared to preliminary data.	
		Any omissions should therefore	
		be considered as not significant	
		or not material.	
		Through consultations it was	
		also clarified that the report	
		contains a misstatement: Table	
		4.3 indicates a total of GHS	
		1,498,526.82 in both years, but	

EITI International Secretariat

Phone: +47 222 00 800 • E-mail: secretariat@eiti.org • Twitter: @EITlorg • www.eiti.org Address: Rådhusgata 26, 0151 Oslo, Norway

contracts; Development	Petroleum-Operation.pdf	which defines a Mining	multiple such
Agreements (DAs). No	Accessed on 20 March 2020.	operation to be related to a	agreements are
revenues are reported at other		single Mining Lease or	substantially
levels of disaggregation, than	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report	Restricted Mining Lease (ref.	interconnected,
those previously mentioned.	for Oil and Gas, pp.56-	Minerals and Mining Act, 2016	the multi-
	58,61,64-66,71,87-91,104-	and Section 23 of Minerals and	stakeholder
Lastly, the Ghana license	105.	Mining (General) Regulations,	group must
register (Ghana Mining		2012), and defines Mineral	clearly identify
Repository) does present		Royalties as payments arising	and document
several payments by individual		from "Mining Leases" (ref.	which instances
license or permit number,		Section 25 of Minerals and	are considered a
including for material		Mining Act, 2016).	single project. []
companies, indicating that			Where a payment
government systems do		The MSG indicated that only	covered by the
indeed disaggregate non-tax		Ground Rent is a payment type	scope of EITI
revenues beyond what is		imposed on a license,	disclosures is
implied in the EITI Report.		concession or lease level, and	levied at entity
Some payment types include		confirmed that Ground Rent	level rather than
Ground rent, Application fees,		data was not available per	at project level,
various rights fees and		concession/lease.	the company may
Royalty.		F NOO	disclose the
		From MSG meeting minutes of	payment at the
Oil and gas: For project-level		9 May 2019, indicated that the	entity level."
reporting it notes that the		MSG already considered	
Income Tax Act limits reporting		reporting to be on per-project	
of income for tax purposes to		basis and therefore that no	
each development and		further action was required for	
production plan (a similar		project-level reporting for mining	
approach as for mining). This		operations.	
is also in coherence with a		Oil and door The MCC referred	
practice note issued by the		Oil and gas: The MSG referred	
GRA. However, similar to the		to the process and definitions	

mining sector, the practice	as outlined in the EITI Report,	
note only clarifies practices for	and cited that a technical sub-	
"tax purposes" and does not	committee was established to	
address non-tax payments.	create reporting templates for	
There is no attempt of	project-level reporting	
clarifying which non-tax	(confirmed through MSG	
revenues are imposed or	meeting minutes of 9 May	
levied other levels, such as	2019).	
licenses or contract level.		
	The MSG further identified	
The report does not identify	through consultations that	
fields, contract areas, licenses	Signature bonuses were	
or other potential project	realised on the basis of	
definitions.	Petroleum Agreements,	
	according to Regulation 74 1(a)	
In terms of actual disclosures,	of LI 2359, while Surface Rental	
the report disaggregates in-	was payable to the level of	
kind liftings and production	contract area Regulation 72(1)	
per field (not per revenue	of LI 2359. The latter, Surface	
stream) in tables 3.2-3.3,	Rental was disaggregated to the	
4.14-4.15 and 3.7. Gas sales	level of contract area.	
and values are covered in		
table 3.10, although none of	The MSG did not comment on	
these are proceeds due to the	the level of disaggregation of	
payment issue between GNGC	CAPI or Royalties by field, nor	
and GNPC.	indicated these payments to be	
	imposed on such levels of	
Proceeds of the PHF are	disaggregation.	
indicated by field and revenue		
stream in table 4.3.		
Additionally, tables 4.12-4.13		
disaggregates the in-kind		

		revenues of CAPI and royalty by field for the Jubilee and TEN fields, although SGN is not covered. For surface rentals, these are disaggregated by company and contract area (table 4.16), suggesting that there are different payments levied at different stages – some for contract area, some by entity/company, and some by field. Finally, commodity sales are also disaggregated by field				
Data quality (#4.9)	The MSG agreed standard procedures in accordance with the standard procedures endorsed by the EITI	are also indicated (table 3.11). Oil and Gas: The 2017-2018 oil and gas report suggested that the Multi-Stakeholder Group (MSG) provided the terms of reference on which the report was based (p.16). The reconciliation approach and methodology adopted outlined in the report suggested that the processes were in accordance with the	2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p. 6 – 10 on Methodology, p.78-83 on data quality, p.72 -80 on Reconciliation approach) 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report (p.18-19 on Methodology, p.81-84 on data quality)	The MSG confirmed as part of stakeholder consultation that it agreed standard procedures were in accordance with the standard procedures endorsed by the EITI Board?	Satisfactory progress	N. A

Third Validation of Ghana Draft assessment by the EITI International Secretariat

Board, which ensure that the payments and revenues disclosed are subject to credible, independen t audit, applying internationa I auditing standards (#4.9.a-b).	standard procedures endorsed by the EITI Board and subject to credible, independent audit, applying international auditing standards. At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed standard procedures in accordance with the standard procedures endorsed by the EITI Board. Following the commencement of Validation, Ghana EITI published relevant minutes of MSG meetings and discussions on the TOR for the independent Administrator demonstrating extensive discussions and decisions regarding data quality of the 2017-2018 report. Mining: The 2017-2018 mining report suggested that the Multi-Stakeholder Group	 GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (Minute of Meetings). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website (Validation Report). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020. GHEITI (16.04.2019) Technical Roundtable 2017- 18 GHEITI Templates-Final. Available on GHEITI Website (Validation Report). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 				
--	--	--	--	--	--	--

EITI International Secretariat

Phone: +47 222 00 800 • E-mail: secretariat@eiti.org • Twitter: @EITlorg • www.eiti.org Address: Rådhusgata 26, 0151 Oslo, Norway

(MSG) provided the terms of
reference on which the report
was based (p.6). The
reconciliation approach and
methodology adopted outlined
in the report suggested that
the processes were in
accordance with the standard
procedures endorsed by the
EITI Board and subject to
credible, independent audit,
applying international auditing
standards. At the time of
writing, the International
Secretariat was not privy to
information regarding the
terms of reference (TOR).
Minutes of MSG meetings and
the TOR for the Independent
Administrator (both published
after the commencement of
Validation) confirmed that the
MSG agreed standard
procedures in accordance with
the standard procedures
endorsed by the EITI Board.
Following the commencement
of Validation, Ghana EITI
published relevant minutes of
MSG meetings and
discussions on the TOR for the

The MSG had	independent Administrator demonstrating extensive discussions and decisions regarding data quality of the 2017-2018 report. Oil and Gas: According to the report, Messrs Boas &	2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report	N. A	Satisfactory progress	N. A
oversight of the procuremen t of the Independen t Administrat or (#4.9. a- b).	Associates was engaged by the Ministry of Finance and GHEITI to produce EITI report for 2017 and 2018 (p.16). The	2017-2018 Mining EITI Report Ghana Extractive Industries Transparency Initiative (GHEITI) 2019 Annual Workplan. Available at https://www.gheiti.gov.gh/site /index.php?option=com_phoc adownload&view=category&id =18:workplans&Itemid=54. Assessed on 23. 03.2020 GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (Minute of Meetings). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website		μισειεσο	

At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR fo the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG maintained oversight of the procurement of the Independent Administrator.Mining: According to the report, Messrs Boas & Associates was engaged by the Ministry of Finance and GHEITI to produce EITI Report for 2017 and 2018 (p.7 and 16). The comments above on the oil and gas sector equally applies on the mining sector report. At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR fo the Independent Administrator	Technical Roundtable 2017- 18 GHEITI Templates-Final. Available on GHEITI Website (Validation Report). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020				
---	---	--	--	--	--

	commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG maintained oversight of the procurement of the Independent Administrator.				
The MSG agreed a procedure to address data quality and assurance based on a standard procedure endorsed by the EITI Board (#4.9. b)	Oil and Gas: The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the MSG, in its effort to ensure data quality, requested extractive companies and Government Agencies to provide assurances through certification by senior company and government officials (p.81.82). As noted above, the MSG additionally approved a reconciliation process of data disclosed by companies and government agencies, including a review of quality assurance mechanism used by reporting entities and companies. Mining: The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the MSG, in its effort to ensure data quality, requested extractive companies and Government Agencies to provide	2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p. 6 – 10 on Methodology, p.78-83 on data quality, p.72 -80 on Reconciliation approach) 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report (p.18-19 on Methodology, p.81-84 on data quality)	N. A	Satisfactory progress	N. A

	assurances through certification by senior company and government officials (p.79-80). As noted above, the MSG additionally approved a reconciliation process of data disclosed by companies and government agencies, including a review of quality assurance mechanism used by reporting entities and companies.				
The MSG has agreed on reporting templates (IA ToR)	Oil and Gas : The 2017-2018 report provided evidence to suggest that the MSG agreed the template (p.19, 44, 82). At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on reporting templates.	2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (<u>Minute of</u> <u>Meetings</u>). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website (<u>Validation Report</u>). Published	N.A	Satisfactory progress	N. A

	Mining: The 2017-2018 report provided evidence to suggest that the MSG agreed the template (p.80). At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on reporting templates	on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020. GHEITI (16.04.2019) Technical Roundtable 2017- 18 GHEITI Templates-Final. Available on GHEITI Website (Validation Report). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020			
The MSG has undertaken a review of the audit and assurance procedures in companies and government entities participating	Oil and Gas: The 2017-2018 report provided a review of audit and assurance procedures in companies and government entities participating in EITI reporting. For government agencies, the report noted that the Auditor General of Ghana audits all participating government Agencies for 2017 and 2018 and applies the International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions (ISSAI) issued by	2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (<u>Minute of</u> <u>Meetings</u>). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the	N. A	Satisfactory progress	N. A

in EITI	(INTOSAI) in its auditing work	Independent Administrator.		
reporting (IA	(p.81). With regards to	Available on GHEITI Website		
ToR)	companies, the report	(<u>Validation Report</u>). Published		
	confirmed that companies are	on 29.03.2020. Accessed on		
	audited by independent	07.04.2020.		
	auditors while some IOCs are			
	also listed in stock exchanges	GHEITI (16.04.2019)		
	(p.81).	Technical Roundtable 2017-		
		18 GHEITI Templates-Final.		
	At the time of writing, the	Available on GHEITI Website		
	International Secretariat was	(<u>Validation Report</u>). Published		
	not privy to information	on 29.03.2020. Accessed on		
	regarding the terms of	07.04.2020		
	reference (TOR). Minutes of			
	MSG meetings and the TOR for			
	the Independent Administrator			
	(both published after the			
	commencement of Validation)			
	confirmed that the MSG			
	undertook a review of the			
	audit and assurance			
	procedures.			
	Mining: The report also			
	described the data quality			
	assurance mechanism by			
	government (p.78-79) and			
	reporting companies (p.81-			
	82). The comments above on			
	the oil and gas sector equally			
	applies to the mining sector. At			
	the time of writing, the			

The MSG	International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG undertook a review of the audit and assurance procedures.	2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI	N. A	Satisfactory	N. A
has agreed on the assurances to be provided by the participating companies and government entities to assure the credibility of the data, including the types of assurances	the MSG adopted at least three types of quality assurance mechanism to ensure credibility of data. These include government entity and companies' internal/external auditing procedures, additional certification by senior officials and the Independent Administrator's data reliability checks. The descriptions of the types and reasons are summarised in the 2017-2018 report (p.81.84).	Report 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (<u>Minute of</u> <u>Meetings</u>). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website (<u>Validation Report</u>). Published		progress	

to be provided, the options considered and the rationale for the agreed assurances (IA ToR)	At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the assurances to be provided by the participating companies and government entities. Mining: The comments on the oil and gas sector equally applies to the mining sector report.	on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020. GHEITI (16.04.2019) Technical Roundtable 2017- 18 GHEITI Templates-Final. Available on GHEITI Website (Validation Report). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020			
The MSG has agreed on appropriate provisions for safeguardin g confidential	Oil and Gas: At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on appropriate	GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (<u>Minute of</u> <u>Meetings</u>). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website	NA	Satisfactory Progress	NA

information (IA ToR)	provisions for safeguarding confidential information entities. Mining: The comments on the oil and gas sector equally applies to the mining sector report.	(Validation Report). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020. GHEITI (16.04.2019) Technical Roundtable 2017- 18 GHEITI Templates-Final. Available on GHEITI Website (Validation Report). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020			
Reporting companies and government entities had their financial statements audited in the financial year(s) covered by EITI reporting, and any gaps have	Oil and Gas : For government agencies, the report confirmed that the Auditor General of Ghana had completed the audit of all participating government Agencies for 2017 and 2018 and had applied the International Standards of Supreme Audit Institutions (ISSAI) issued by (INTOSAI) in its auditing work (p.81). A link was provided to the audits conducted by the Auditor General. With regards to companies, the report confirmed that companies are	2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report The Auditor-General's reports. Available at https://ghaudit.org/web/repor ts/ Assess on 23.03.2020	N. A	Satisfactory progress	N. A

identified (#4.9.a)	auditors while some IOCs are also listed in stock exchanges. Mining: The comments on the oil and gas sector equally applies to the mining sector report.				
A summary of the key findings from the assessment of the reliability of the data disclosed by companies and government entities has been disclosed (IA ToR)	Oil and Gas : At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks and found them satisfactory (p.83 and 82 of the oil, gas and mining reports respectively . The	2017-2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report 2017-2018 Mining EITI Report The Auditor-General's Reports. Available at https://ghaudit.org/web/repor ts/ Assess on 23.03.2020 GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (Minute of Meetings). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website (Validation Report). Published	The IA indicated that all government agencies reported appropriately and since no agency went against the requirements of the MSG on assurances, there was no need to state categorically that all of them complied with the requirements, as it was implied (exceptional reporting format). The MSG confirmed that the data presented was reliable and comprehensive and that all reporting entities certified their templates. It was also noted as part of consultation that the steps undertaken by the IA to assure data quality and comprehensiveness of government data in the 2017- 2018 report were similarly steps employed in the 2016	Meaningful Progress	In accordance with Requirement 4.9 and the standard Terms of Reference for Independent Administrators, Ghana should ensure that the Independent Administrator provides an assessment of the comprehensivene ss and reliability of the (financial) data presented in future EITI reporting. In addition

report confirmed that the	on 29.03.2020. Accessed on	report which was found to be	
Auditor General's reports did	07.04.2020.	satisfactory.	
not qualify any accounts of the			
government entities that are	GHEITI (16.04.2019)		
required to provide data for	Technical Roundtable 2017-		
the 2017/18 GHEITI report	18 GHEITI Templates-Final.		
(p.81). While the evidences	Available on GHEITI Website		
noted above (under	(Validation Report). Published		
Requirement 4.9) suggest	on 29.03.2020. Accessed on		
steps to ensure reliability of	07.04.2020		
data presented, the report did			
not include an assessment of			
data reliability by the			
Independent Administrator.			
For example, there is no			
discussion on whether (or how			
many) companies/government			
entities did not certify/endorse			
reported templates and			
whether (how much) any lack			
of certification affected the			
overall reliability of the			
reconciled data. While the			
effect of non-reporting and			
out-of-scope companies are			
captured in the report (see			
additional information on			
comprehensiveness of oil and			
gas sector above under 4.1),			
the IA does not provide a			
categorical statement on			

Image: Control of the second of the secon				
the EITI oil and gas report were comprehensive. Mining: At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEII' report		categorical statement on		
comprehensive. Mining: At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		-		
Mining: At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for		the EITI oil and gas report were		
the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEIII report		comprehensive.		
the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEIII report				
was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEIT report				
regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report				
reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report				
MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report				
the Independent Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report				
(both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		MSG meetings and the TOR for		
commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		the Independent Administrator		
confirmed that the MSG agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		(both published after the		
agreed on the inclusion of an assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		commencement of Validation)		
assessment from the Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		confirmed that the MSG		
Independent Administrator on the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		agreed on the inclusion of an		
the comprehensiveness and reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		assessment from the		
reliability of the (financial) data presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		Independent Administrator on		
presented. The 2017-2018 report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		the comprehensiveness and		
report confirmed that the IA reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		reliability of the (financial) data		
reviewed all completed templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		presented. The 2017-2018		
templates based on four data reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		report confirmed that the IA		
reliability checks (p. 81-82). The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		reviewed all completed		
The report confirmed that the Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		templates based on four data		
Auditor General's reports did not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		reliability checks (p. 81-82).		
not qualify any accounts of the government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		The report confirmed that the		
government entities that are required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		Auditor General's reports did		
required to provide data for the 2017/18 GHEITI report		not qualify any accounts of the		
the 2017/18 GHEITI report		government entities that are		
		_		
		the 2017/18 GHEITI report		

EITI International Secretariat

Phone: +47 222 00 800 • E-mail: secretariat@eiti.org • Twitter: @EITlorg • www.eiti.org Address: Rådhusgata 26, 0151 Oslo, Norway

statement that none of the
companies had qualified
auditor's financial statement
(p.79). In addition to these
detailed evidences on the
reliability of data presented,
the report included an
assessment of reliability by the
Independent Administrator.
For example, there is a
categorical statement from the
Independent Administrator
confirming that companies'
templates submitted (with
exception of three in-scope
companies that did not report)
were embossed with company
stamps and signed. It also
stated that all government
submitted templates passed
the data reliability tests and
that based on these, the data
used for the reconciliation
exercise overall was high in
quality. The report also noted
that the absence of the three
companies and the four
District Assemblies did not
have any significant effect on
the reconciliation process in
terms of comprehensiveness

	(See more on comprehensiveness of reconcialiation under 4.1). While this could be implied, the IA did not provide a categorical statement on whether the data presented in the EITI report were comprehensive				
Any non- financial (contextual) information is clearly sourced (IA ToR)	Oil and Gas : Non-financial information were adequately sourced in the 2017-2018 oil and gas report. For example, the report referenced information from the Public Interest and Accountability Committee (PIAC) reports (p.21, 120). However, not all information is sourced. For example, the Minerals and Mining Act, 2006 is frequently mentioned in the Mining sector report without reference (source/link). At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent	2017-2018 Oil and Gas report 2017-2018 mining report GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (<u>Minute of</u> <u>Meetings</u>). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website (<u>Validation Report</u>). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020. GHEITI (16.04.2019) Technical Roundtable 2017- 18 GHEITI Templates-Final.	N. A	Satisfactory progress	The MSG may seek to ensure that contextual information in future EITI Reporting are clearly sourced and that there is adequate guidance on how to assess any externally referenced information and data

	Administrator (both published after the commencement of Validation) confirmed that the MSG requested the IA to clearly source contextual information. Mining: Non-financial information were adequately sourced in the 2017-2018 mining report. For example, the report referenced information from the Ghana Revenue Authority (p.35) and Minerals Commission (p.39). At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information regarding the terms of reference (TOR). Minutes of MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator (both published after the	Available on GHEITI Website (<u>Validation Report</u>). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020			
	MSG meetings and the TOR for the Independent Administrator				
Summary data has	Both sectors: The draft summary data file for the		The IA noted that the draft 2017/18 summary data file	Meaningful Progress	The MSG should ensure that

Third Validation of Ghana Draft assessment by the EITI International Secretariat

been prepared, in accordance with the summary data template.	2017-2018 report was submitted to the International Secretariat at the time of review. At the commencement of Validation, the final summary data had not been filed with the International Secretariat.		was completed and shared with the IS on February 19, 2020. The only outstanding portion was the provision of the links (disclosure checklist) which according to the understand is based on the 2019 Standard. The 2017/18 reports were produced, per the IA's and MSG's understanding, based on the 2016 Standard.		summary data is consistently published at the same time with associated EITI Reports.
EITI reporting has presented a set of recommend ations and there has been a review of follow-up on past EITI recommend ations through EITI reporting (IA ToR).	Oil and Gas: The 2017-2018 report provided a status update on the implementation of recommendations from previous reports. This includes for example reimbursement to GNPC, investigation into non- reporting by material companies and the payment of outstanding surface rental and training allowances. In addition, the report provided it's on recommendations including issues around the governance and operations of GNPC. At the time of writing, the International Secretariat was not privy to information	GHEITI (2020). Minutes of MSG Meetings. Available at GHEITI Website (Minute of Meetings). Published on 27.04.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020 GHEITI (2020) Terms of Reference (TOR) for the Independent Administrator. Available on GHEITI Website (Validation Report). Published on 29.03.2020. Accessed on 07.04.2020. GHEITI (16.04.2019) Technical Roundtable 2017- 18 GHEITI Templates-Final.	N. A	Satisfactory Progress	N. A

EITI International Secretariat

regarding the terms of	Available on GHEITI Website		
reference (TOR). Minutes of	(Validation Report). Published		
MSG meetings and the TOR for	on 29.03.2020. Accessed on		
the Independent Administrator	07.04.2020		
(both published after the			
commencement of Validation)			
confirmed that the MSG			
requested the IA to comment			
on the progress in			
implementing corrective			
measures and make			
recommendations for			
strengthening the reporting			
process and the systematic			
disclosures of government.			
There is therefore evidence to			
suggest follow up on			
recommendations of EITI			
Reporting.			
Mining: The 2017-2018 report			
provides a status update on			
the implementation of			
recommendations from			
previous reports. This includes			
for example reimbursement to			
GNPC, investigation into non-			
reporting by material			
companies and the payment of			
outstanding surface rental and			
training allowances. In			
addition, the report provided			

	six observations and		
	recommendations (p.152-		
	153). At the time of writing,		
	the International Secretariat		
	was not privy to information		
	regarding the terms of		
	reference (TOR). Minutes of		
	MSG meetings and the TOR for		
	the Independent Administrator		
	(both published after the		
	commencement of Validation)		
	confirmed that the MSG		
	requested the IA to comment		
	on the progress in		
	implementing corrective		
	measures and make		
	recommendations for		
	strengthening the reporting		
	process and the systematic		
	disclosures of government.		
	There is therefore evidence to		
	suggest follow up on		
	recommendations of EITI		
	reporting.		

Requirement 5: Revenue management and distribution

Assessment table: Revenue management and distribution							
EITI Requirement	EITI sub- Requirement	Summary of main findings	Source(s) of information	Summary of stakeholder views	Recommendation on compliance with the EITI provisions (to be completed for 'required' provisions)	Proposed corrective actions and recommendations	
Subnational transfers (#5.2)	Constitutional, statutory and other mandatory revenue sharing requirements and the MSG's definition of materiality regarding mandatory subnational transfers have been documented (#5.2.a)	Mining: There are two forms of subnational transfers in Ghana, ground rent and mineral royalty. Ground rent is payable at the statutory rate to the Office of the Administrator of Stool Lands (OASL), a structure of the central government; thus, ground rent is administered by the central government but	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pp.57,76,111- 112,121-122. 2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Oil and Gas. Ghana EITI (2020), 'Addendum to 2017/2018 GHEITI Reconciliation Reports – 26 February 2020'. Available at: http://www.gheiti.g ov.gh/site/index.ph p?option=com_pho	When consulted, the MSG indicated that the question was unclear and their views were to be sought with further details as part of this draft assessment. With the additional disclosures of the addendum report, the changes to the Mineral Development Fund and its distributions to subnational entities have been clarified.	Satisfactory progress		

does not pass through the consolidated fund of the Treasury. Additionally, a 10 percent share of mineral royalty is paid to the OASL from the consolidated fund. Both revenue	cadownload&view= category&download =372:validation- addendum- 201718-gheiti- reports&id=19:vali dation- reports&Itemid=54. Accessed on 20 March 2020.		
mineral royalty is paid to the OASL from the consolidated fund.	dation- reports&Itemid=54. Accessed on 20		
stools, and 20 percent for traditional councils. Table 5.1 presents this distribution.			

	The formula for distribution of ground rent is indicated in the report, however, it is less clear for mineral royalties as precise percentages are not included. Figure 5.1 clarifies <i>how</i> mineral royalty disbursements flow, and the new distribution as of 2017 was included in the addendum of the 2017/2018 report. Oil and gas: There are no subnational transfers in the petroleum sector.				
The MSG has considered the data reliability (4.9) of disclosures of mandatory	Mining: The MSG considered subnational transfers material and report on mineral royalty	2017-2018 Ghana EITI Report for Mining, pp.113- 120,122-127.	Mining: When consulted, the MSG explained that Ground rents are only received by District Assemblies	Meaningful progress	Ghana EITI should ensure that, in accordance with requirement 5.2.a, Ghana discloses both the transfer

tables i Ground disburs covere 5.1 to i does no amoun each of benefic It is un whether rent dis are cor as Dist Assemi Traditic Authori regions indicate Likewis royaltie indicate their Di Assemi	actuals in 5.5 to 5.14. d rent sements are ed in tables 5.4, but it not cover its due to of the ciaries. aclear er ground sbursements mprehensive, trict ablies and onal rities of some s are not sed. 7 ⁶ se, mineral es are only ted for three s and/or base actuals in 5.5 to 5.14. d rent Reconciliation Reports – 26 February 2020'. Available at: http://www.gheiti.g ov.gh/site/index.ph p?option=com_pho cadownload&view= category&download =372:validation- addendum- 201718-gheiti- reports&id=19:vali dation- reports<emid=54. Accessed on 20 March 2020.	and Traditional Authorities (not by Regional Offices). Regarding which District Assemblies and Traditional Authorities were reported for, the MSG explains that only the relevant ones for material companies, were reported on. Lastly, the MSG did provide a reference to documentation which may contain the <i>accrued</i> shares of Mineral Royalties due to each subnational entity, however these do not cover Ground Rents.	amount <i>due</i> , as calculated in accordance with the relevant revenue sharing formulas, and the actual transfers to each subnational entity. All material transfers must be disclosed, for each subnational entity.

⁷⁶ Included regions are Western and Eastern, while other regions are missing, such as Brong Ahafo, Ashanti, and possibly others.

	ifo, and		
We	stern.		
Whi	ile the		
ado	lendum to the		
202	17 and 2018		
rep	orts clarifies a		
nev	v disbursement		
stru	icture of the		
Min	eral		
Dev	elopment Fund,		
tho	ugh it does not		
go i	nto details for		
	v calculations of		
рау	ments due are		
per	formed. The		
ado	lendum also		
doe	es not comment		
ont			
	nprehensivenes		
	disclosures nor		
	ch District		
	emblies and		
	ditional		
	horities of other		
	ions may have		
bee	en excluded.		

Requirement 6: Social and economic spending

Assessment table	Assessment table: Social and economic spending									
EITI Requirement	EITI sub- Requirement	Summary of main findings	Source(s) of information	Summary of stakeholder views	Recommendation on compliance with the EITI provisions (to be completed for 'required' provisions)	Proposed corrective actions and recommendations				
SOE quasi fiscal expenditures (#6.2)	The MSG has agreed a definition of materiality with regards to quasi- fiscal expenditures by SOEs, including SOE subsidiaries and joint ventures (#6.2)	Oil and Gas: The 2017-2018 report confirmed that no quasi-fiscal expenditures were identified in the reporting period. By the commencement of Validation, the multi-stakeholder group had not published any documentation and/or discussions on whether, and why, there were no quasi fiscal expenditures in 2017-2018. Minutes	Oil and Gas 2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.115 on QFE, p.125 on status of implementation of previous recommendations on QFEs, p.124 on GNPC's expenditure, p.119 on update on the settlement of the Fifty Million Dollar Ioan from the Ministry of Finance to GNPC). GHEITI (2020). Validation reports (1. Request for Information on the GNPC Quasi Fiscal Expenditures, 2. Re-Request for Information on GNPC Quasi Fiscal Expenditure and 3. Meeting on GHEITI Scoping Study report and Templates	The MSG confirmed that it had agreed a materiality threshold for the 2017-2018 reporting year based on which QFEs are to be identified and documented.	Satisfactory progress					

EITI International Secretariat

			·	
	SG meetings	finalization). Available at		
and	the Terms of	https://tinyurl.com/w6gnmj8.		
Refe	erence (TOR) for	Accessed on 07.04.2020.		
the I	ndependent			
Adm	inistrator	Mining		
(pub	lished after the			
com	mencement of	2017 - 2018 Mining EITI Report		
Valid	lation)	(p.141 on QFE)		
conf	irmed extensive			
MSG	discussions on			
QFE	s in Ghana. For			
insta	ance, the MSG			
mee	ting held on the			
15.1	L1.2019 showed			
that	the MSG			
appr	roved GNPC's			
socia	al expenditures			
(at to	otal of USD 13			
millio	on) as material.			
	formed the			
	s of further			
discu	ussion on			
	ther any of			
	C's expenditures			
qual	ified as QFE.			
	ing: According to			
	2017-2018			
	ng report, the			
	oing study, based			
	hich the report			
was	drafted, did not			

	come across any quasi fiscal expenditures by SOEs' in 2017 and 2018. As a result, quasi fiscal expenditures were not covered in the report.		Stakeholders		In accordance with
Where quasi- fiscal expenditures exist and are material, the MSG has developed a reporting process for disclosure of quasi-fiscal expenditures and these expenditures have been disclosed accordingly (6.2)	Oil and Gas. The 2017 -2018 report did not disclose any new quasi-fiscal expenditure. The report provided updates on two previously reported QFEs. It noted efforts to settle two previously identified quasi fiscal expenditures based on recommendations from the 2016 EITI Report. The same report also flagged that in line with the Corporation's role as the gas aggregator,	Oil and Gas 2017 - 2018 Oil and Gas EITI Report (p.115 on QFE, p.125 on status of implementation of previous recommendations on QFEs, p.124 on GNPC's expenditure, p.119 on update on the Fifty Million Dollar Ioan from the Ministry of Finance to GNPC). Public Interest and Accountability Committee (2018). Annual report on the management and use of petroleum revenues for the period 2018. Available on https://www.piacghana.org/portal/ GHEITI (2020) Validation reports (Request for Information on the GNPC Quasi Fiscal Expenditures and Technical Roundtable-2017-	indicated that there were no evidence of QFEs by GNPC within the 2017-2018 fiscal year? According to stakeholders, reports by PIAC and the Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS) were mainly statements of caution and not actual happenings. Stakeholders (from GNPC) noted that while their expenditure on the	Meaningful progress	Requirement 6.2, Ghana should continuously monitor and fully disclose any quasi-fiscal expenditure undertaken by extractives SOEs. Where such quasi- fiscal expenditures are identified, the MSG should work closely with GNPC, MOF, PIAC and stakeholders to clarify specific quasi- fiscal expenditures within the years under review and to develop a reporting process for quasi- fiscal expenditures

 The expenditures were not undertaken on the request of government Educational related 		
related expenditures were in line with GNPC's mandate 4. GNPC		
corporate social responsibility strategy is not different from that of other partners		
In addition, the MSG sent a letter (dated 19.11.2019 and 20.11.2019) to the Ministry of Finance and GNPC		

respectively to both		
confirm (or		
otherwise) the		
conclusion of the		
MSG with regards to		
QFEs in 2017-2018		
reporting year. A		
reply from GNPC		
confirmed that		
though USD 6.76		
million and USD		
4.14 million were		
paid in 2017 and		
2018 respectively by		
the corporation for		
the Gas Enclave		
Road of 2015, there		
were no quasi-fiscal		
expenditures in		
2017-2019. A reply		
from MOF confirmed		
that there were no		
quasi-fiscal		
expenditures in		
2017-2019 and that		
the previous QFEs in		
2015/2016 had		
been honored in		
2017/2018 fiscal		
year.		

	All letters and		
	documentation of		
	MSG discussions are		
	available on GHEITI		
	website.		
	Available public		
	report suggests that		
	there is a tendency		
	for the government		
	to use GNPC to		
	finance quasi-fiscal		
	expenditures. The		
	2018 report by the		
	Public Interest and		
	Accountability		
	Committee (PIAC)		
	specify at least eight		
	GNPC activities that		
	could be considered		
	QFEs. It must be		
	noted that it remains		
	unclear how many of		
	these specifically		
	took place in the		
	year under review		
	(2017 and 2018).		
	The PIAC report		
	highlights a GHC		
	102,537,354.00		
	(USD		

21,270,220.92)		
GNPC expenditure		
on gas debt involving		
the government of		
government. The		
same report also		
notes two different		
payments to the		
MOF involving the		
enclave roads and a		
total loans and		
guarantees provided		
by GNPC to other		
SOEs totalling USD		
325m as of end-		
2018 (p.104-105).		
Beside notes that		
this information was		
sourced from GNPC		
in 2018, the exact		
dates, terms and		
conditions related to		
this expenditure is		
not clarified in the		
PIAC report. It is		
important to note		
that the GHEITI		
Report did not cover		
some of the		
expenditures within		
the same year under		

review. The PIAC
report concludes
that there is a
consistent and
disturbing pattern of
political interference
in the affairs of
GNPC. As discussed
below, GNPC 2017
AFS confirms that
additional loans
extended from GNPC
to other related
parties exist. Note
18 of the AFS
indicates that GNPC
is owed USD 290.9m
from the government
and its agencies in
2017. The PIAC
report also
maintains
reservation
regarding the
manner in which the
USD 50m loan was
requested by the
Ministry to be
expunged by GNPC,
noting that the
arrangement was

not based on mutual
consent of the two
parties (p.105). As a
result of these, EITI
Reports and other
publicly available
reports have
cautioned about the
tendency of the
government to use
GNPC to finance
quasi-fiscal
expenditures.
According to the
Ghana Institute of
Fiscal Studies (IFS),
"given GNPC's
relatively strong
balance sheet and
cash flows, and the
government's
disinclination to
issue sovereign
guarantees in
support of state-
owned enterprises,
the Corporation
(GNPC) has become
a de facto
"guarantor of last
resort" in the energy

	sector, providing		
	guarantees on		
	behalf of Electricity		
	Company of Ghana		
	(ECG), Volta River		
	Authority (VRA),		
	Tema Oil Refinery		
	(TOR), and Bulk Oil		
	Storage and		
	Transportation		
	Company (BOST)"		
	(p.4).		
	Mining: According to		
	the 2017-2018		
	mining report, the		
	scoping study, based		
	on which the report		
	was drafted, did not		
	come across any		
	quasi fiscal		
	expenditures by		
	SOEs' in 2017 and		
	2018. As a result,		
	quasi fiscal		
	expenditures were		
	not covered in the		
	report		

Annex B: MSG members and composition

Member	Constituency	Organisation
MR. PATRICK NOMO	Government / MSG Chair	Ministry of Finance
MR. FRANKLIN ASHIADEY	Government	Ministry of Finance
MR. WAYO ABUBAKARI	Government	Office of the President
MR. SULEMANU KONEY	Government	Ghana Chamber of Mines
MR DICKSON AGBOGOH	Civil Society	ISODEC/Publish What You Pay
MR. BEN GRAHAM	Government	Ghana Revenue Authority (Customs)
Mr. WISDOM POPULAMPO	Government	Minerals Commission
MR. J.B. OKAI	Government	Ministry of Petroleum
MR. HABIB IDRISSU	Industry	Ghana Manganese Co. Ltd
DR. STEVE MANTEAW	Civil society / Co-chair	ISODEC/Publish What You Pay-Ghana
MR. ALEC FRIMPONG KUMI	Government	Ghana Revenue Authority (Mining Desk)
MR BEN ARYEE	Government	Ministry of Lands and Natural Resources
MRS. ZULEIKA MATE	Industry	Eni (E&P) Ghana Ltd
MR.SAMUEL SACKEY	Government	Ghana Revenue Authority
MR.HABIB IDRISU	Companies	Ghana Manganese Company
MRS. HANNAH O. KORANTENG	Civil Society	Wacam
MRS CYNTHIS LUMOR	Industry	Tullow Gh. Ltd
DR. FRANK BOATENG	Government (sub-national rep.)	Tarkwa-Nsuaem Municipal Assembly
MR. GEORGE SARPONG	Companies	Kosmos Energy
MR. FRANCIS APENI Fr	Government (sub-national rep.)	Ellembelle District Assembly
MR. GEORGE ADDY- MORTON	Government	Petroleum Commission
MS. LINDATAMAKLOE	Government	GNPC
MR. DAMD K. OWUSU	Government (alternate)	Petroleum Commission
MR. SAMPSON KUSI - APPIAH	Companies (alternate)	Kosmos Energy
MR. CHRISTOPHER NYARKO	Companies (alternate)	Ghana Chamber of Mines
MR. FRANCIS SAMANHYIA	Companies (alternate)	Eni (E&P) Ghana Ltd
MR. GEORGE ALLAN LASSEY	Companies (alternate)	Tullow Ghana Ltd
MR. DAVID K. OWUSU	Government (alternate)	Petroleum Commission

GHEITI secretariat	Role	Organisation
MR. M. B. ABDUL-RAZAK	National coordinator	Ministry of Finance
MS. VICTORIA BENSON	Secretariat staff	Ministry of Finance
MRS. ADWOA FRAIKUE	Secretariat staff	Ministry of Finance
MRS. ADWOA AMPEAH-ASANTE	Secretariat staff	Ministry of Finance
MR. FOSTER GYAMFI	Secretariat staff	Ministry of Finance
MR. IDRISSU SHARUDEEN	Secretariat staff	Ministry of Finance
MR. ROBERT MENSAH	Secretariat staff	Ministry of Finance
MR. BEDIAKO KELVIN	Secretariat staff	Ministry of Finance

Annex C: Statutory subnational transfers of mineral royalties as of 2017

Mineral royalties	Stage 1 distribution	Stage 2 distribution	Stage 3 distribution	Stage 4 distribution
Consolidated fund	80.00%			
Mineral Development Fund	20.00%			
OASL		10.00%		
OASL central			1.00%	
District assemblies			4.95%	
Stool lands			2.25%	
Traditional councils			2.00%	
Mining sector institutions Mining Community		10.00%		
Development Scheme			2.00%	
Mining Ministry			0.40%	
Minerals Commission Geological Survey			1.30%	
Department Research, training and			0.80%	
projects Geological Survey			0.50%	
Department Research, training and				0.20%
projects: other				0.30%

